

Dear Editor:

We would like to thank you for your help with this manuscript and thank the reviewer for professional comments. We sincerely appreciate for your careful reading and invaluable comments to improve this manuscript. We have revised the manuscript according to the comments and suggestions of reviewers, and replied point by point to the comments as listed below. All the changes have been highlighted in the text by red color. The revised manuscript has been edited and proofread carefully. All authors have read and approved the final revised version.

We hope that the revised version of the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC)*.

We look forward to hearing from you soon. If you have any queries, please don't hesitate to contact me at the address below.

Best Wishes.

Yours sincerely,

Yan Tan

Department of Radiology, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan 030001, Shanxi Province, China. **E-mail:** tanyan123456@sina.com.

Responses to comments from editors and reviewer

Replies to Editors:

Science editor:

1: This case report has important clinical significance for the study of prostatic primordial neuroectodermal tumors.

Re: We appreciate the editor's positive evaluation of our work.

Company editor-in-chief:

1: Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Re: The figures have been prepared in the document named "70347-Figures.pptx". It will be uploaded together with the original figure documents.

2: Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Re: Thank you for your valuable comments on the manuscript. The three-line table has been rearranged in the document named "70347-Tables.docx".

Replies to Reviewer:

Reviewer #1:

1. The case of PNET of the prostate presented in this study will contribute to the literature as it is a rare case. The authors have adequately reviewed the literature with this case report.

Re: Thank you very much for your careful reading. We appreciate your positive evaluation of our work.

2. Common uses of some terms used in the article should be preferred (for example, “contrast-enhanced scan” instead of “post-contrast scan”; “tympanitic” instead of “tympanic”; “cystic-solid tumor” instead of “cystic solid tumor”)

Re: We apologize for the mistakes in the manuscript. These terms including “post-contrast scan”, “tympanic”, “cystic solid tumor” have been amended in the revised manuscript and we carefully checked the entire manuscript. Also, the entire manuscript had been language edited by a native English-language speaker before we sent it to the editorial office. The language editing proof will be uploaded together with the revised version.