

**Responses to the reviewers' comments**

We would like to thank both reviewers for their efforts in improving our paper. Our point-by-point responses to the reviewer' and the editorial' comments are as follows, and all changed to the manuscript are indicated in the text by highlighting in red color.

**REVIEWER COMMENTS:**

**Reviewer 1:**

Specific Comments to Authors: **1)** Right hemithorax expressions should be used instead of right thorax. **2)** After the first abbreviation, the expressions should be used as abbreviations, as for CT. **3)** In the case of pneumothorax, the expression "collapsed" rather than "condensed" would be more accurate for the lung. **4)** Is the collapsed lung ratio calculation made visually only? This ratio can be written with "approximately" expression or calculated precisely at the workstation.

**Response:**

- 1)** All "right thorax" were changed into "right hemithorax", including "right hemithoracic" in red color.
- 2)** After the first abbreviation, all expressions were corrected as "CT".
- 3)** The expression "condensed" was replaced by "collapsed" on p. 4 ln. 109 and on p. 5 ln. 130. We totally agree with and appreciate your advice.
- 4)** Because the collapsed lung ratio 70% was visually estimated, it is more accurate in expression with "approximately", which was added after 70% on p. 4 ln. 109 and on p. 5 ln. 131.

**Reviewer 2:**

Specific Comments to Authors: This case report demonstrated the importance of confirming the intubation tube with an ETCO2 monitor after emergency tracheal intubation. It takes courage to report unexpected cases. I would like to commend the authors. This case report will definitely help train junior doctors and emergency physicians.

**Response:**

We appreciate your recommendation and pointing the purpose of this article-reminding junior doctors and emergency physicians of the importance and necessity of endotracheal tube confirmation.

**EDITORIAL COMMENTS:**

**Science editor:**

I agree with the reviewers that the paper is acceptable for publication. My comment is only that on p. 6 ln. 141 sentence "thoracic cavity and the right lung was condensed by 70%" be replaced with: "thoracic cavity and the right lung was collapsed by 70%" because "condensed" is usually associated with the existence of interstitial pneumonia. Figures are illustrative and appropriate.

I have no other comments.

**Response:**

The Answering is the same as above.

**Company editor-in-chief:**

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

**Response:**

The original figure documents and PowerPoint document with all editable graphs, arrows and text portions have been uploaded along with this revision submission.