



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70479

Title: Life-threatening subclavian artery bleeding following percutaneous coronary intervention with stent implantation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05117991

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Turkey

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-04 09:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-04 19:49

Review time: 10 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |



| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As the authors mentioned, It has been proven through randomized controlled clinical trials that trans-radial percutaneous coronary intervention has significantly less bleeding, vascular complications, and mortality. 1-The case described is a male patient 59 years old with a history of hypertension for 30 years. Through calculation, one can refer that he was only 29 years when he was diagnosed with hypertension. His hypertension treatment requires multi-drug treatment; calcium channel blockers, diuretics, and ACE inhibitors. Anyone who reads this story should be suspicious of the secondary causes of hypertension. Was this sought for this patient? 2- I would appreciate it if the authors comment on the number of trans-radial percutaneous coronary interventions they perform in a year. Additionally, the total number of percutaneous interventions performed in the authors' center should be noted so that the reader can have an estimated proportion reading the manuscript. With these numbers, the reader can discern the level of experience of the clinic regarding percutaneous interventions. 3- In the discussion section under the modifiable factors section listed was hypertension. On the other hand, diabetes was listed under non-modifiable factors. In the second paragraph of the discussion, the authors discuss the predictors of vascular injury and potential associated factors. However, this section can be improved. 4- Since this case report describes a rare complication managed well, some comments should be made on how to prevent it from happening. Thank you for submitting this case report to the World Journal of Clinical Cases. It has been well managed and with the revisions described above would qualify publication. Kind Regards, Sanem Guler Cimen



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70479

Title: Life-threatening subclavian artery bleeding following percutaneous coronary intervention with stent implantation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05226306

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MBBS, MCh, MD, MNAMS

Professional title: Additional Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-04 07:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-06 07:23

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Any preventive strategies pursued (pt smoking 60 cigars / day)? 2. BP is expressed in mmHg more commonly than kpa 3. In retrospect, would giving heparin played a role in life threatening bleed? 4. Should there be a cap on the no of attempts and intervention time? 5. Prevention of the life threatening bleed is also an important take home message, not reflected in the conclusion