

Answering Reviewers

Dear editor:

We are pleased to submit the new revised version of our manuscript entitled “Comprehensive molecular characterization and identification of prognostic signature in stomach adenocarcinoma on the basis of energy-metabolism -related genes” for possible publication in the journal of WJGO.

We are very grateful to your and the reviewers’ critical comments and thoughtful suggestions. We have read their advices carefully and have revised our manuscript according to the suggestions. As can be seen in our revision and the point-to-point response letter to reviewers, the manuscript has been significantly improved.

We would like to show our gratitude to all the reviewers and editors for your patience and kindness. We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely yours,

Midie Xu

Department of Pathology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, 200032, China

Email: xumd27202003@sina.com & xumd@shca.org.cn

Reviewer reports:

1. *Science editor*

Table 2 - the second heading should be "hazard" not "harzard", please change this.

Response: Thanks for the valuable suggestion. We had revised this typo.

2. *Company editor-in-chief*

Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file. Before final acceptance, authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response: We had revised our manuscript according to the your suggestion. Thank your so much for your hard work.