World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 May 16; 10(14): 4327-4712





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 14 May 16, 2022

OPINION REVIEW

4327 Emerging role of biosimilars in the clinical care of inflammatory bowel disease patients Najeeb H, Yasmin F, Surani S

MINIREVIEWS

- 4334 Practical insights into chronic management of hepatic Wilson's disease Lynch EN, Campani C, Innocenti T, Dragoni G, Forte P, Galli A
- 4348 Adipose-derived stem cells in the treatment of hepatobiliary diseases and sepsis Satilmis B. Cicek GS. Cicek E. Akbulut S. Sahin TT. Yilmaz S

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical and Translational Research

4357 Learning curve for a surgeon in robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy through a "G"-shaped approach: A cumulative sum analysis

Wei ZG, Liang CJ, Du Y, Zhang YP, Liu Y

4368 Clinical and prognostic significance of expression of phosphoglycerate mutase family member 5 and Parkin in advanced colorectal cancer

Wu C, Feng ML, Jiao TW, Sun MJ

Case Control Study

Significance of preoperative peripheral blood neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in predicting postoperative 4380 survival in patients with multiple myeloma bone disease

Xu ZY, Yao XC, Shi XJ, Du XR

Retrospective Study

4395 Association between depression and malnutrition in pulmonary tuberculosis patients: A cross-sectional study

Fang XE, Chen DP, Tang LL, Mao YJ

4404 Pancreatic cancer incidence and mortality patterns in 2006-2015 and prediction of the epidemiological trend to 2025 in China

Yin MY, Xi LT, Liu L, Zhu JZ, Qian LJ, Xu CF

4414 Evaluation of short- and medium-term efficacy and complications of ultrasound-guided ablation for small liver cancer

Zhong H, Hu R, Jiang YS



Conton	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 14 May 16, 2022
4425	Hematopoiesis reconstitution and anti-tumor effectiveness of Pai-Neng-Da capsule in acute leukemia patients with haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
	Yuan JJ, Lu Y, Cao JJ, Pei RZ, Gao RL
4436	Oral and maxillofacial pain as the first sign of metastasis of an occult primary tumour: A fifteen-year retrospective study
	Shan S, Liu S, Yang ZY, Wang TM, Lin ZT, Feng YL, Pakezhati S, Huang XF, Zhang L, Sun GW
4446	Reduced serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and aberrantly expressed cholesterol metabolism genes in colorectal cancer
	Tao JH, Wang XT, Yuan W, Chen JN, Wang ZJ, Ma YB, Zhao FQ, Zhang LY, Ma J, Liu Q
	Observational Study
4460	Correlation of pressure gradient in three hepatic veins with portal pressure gradient
	Wang HY, Song QK, Yue ZD, Wang L, Fan ZH, Wu YF, Dong CB, Zhang Y, Meng MM, Zhang K, Jiang L, Ding HG, Zhang YN, Yang YP, Liu FQ
4470	Multi-slice spiral computed tomography in diagnosing unstable pelvic fractures in elderly and effect of less invasive stabilization
	Huang JG, Zhang ZY, Li L, Liu GB, Li X
	SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS
4480	Distribution and changes in hepatitis C virus genotype in China from 2010 to 2020
	Yang J, Liu HX, Su YY, Liang ZS, Rao HY
4404	CASE REPORT
4494	report and review of literature
	Orlandi N, Cavallieri F, Grisendi I, Romano A, Ghadirpour R, Napoli M, Moratti C, Zanichelli M, Pascarella R, Valzania F, Zedde M
4502	Histological remission of eosinophilic esophagitis under asthma therapy with IL-5 receptor monoclonal antibody: A case report
	Huguenot M, Bruhm AC, Essig M
4509	Cutaneous mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma complicating Sjögren's syndrome: A case report and review of literature
	Liu Y, Zhu J, Huang YH, Zhang QR, Zhao LL, Yu RH
4519	Plexiform neurofibroma of the cauda equina with follow-up of 10 years: A case report
	Chomanskis Z, Juskys R, Cepkus S, Dulko J, Hendrixson V, Ruksenas O, Rocka S
4528	Mixed porokeratosis with a novel mevalonate kinase gene mutation: A case report
	Xu HJ, Wen GD
4535	Isolated pancreatic injury caused by abdominal massage: A case report
	Sun BL, Zhang LL, Yu WM, Tuo HF



<u> </u>	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 14 May 16, 2022
4541	Bronchiolar adenoma with unusual presentation: Two case reports
	Du Y, Wang ZY, Zheng Z, Li YX, Wang XY, Du R
4550	Periodontal-orthodontic interdisciplinary management of a "periodontally hopeless" maxillary central incisor with severe mobility: A case report and review of literature
	Jiang K, Jiang LS, Li HX, Lei L
4563	Anesthesia management for cesarean section in a pregnant woman with odontogenic infection: A case report
	Ren YL, Ma YS
4569	Convulsive-like movements as the first symptom of basilar artery occlusive brainstem infarction: A case report
	Wang TL, Wu G, Liu SZ
4574	Globe luxation may prevent myopia in a child: A case report
	Li Q, Xu YX
4580	Computer tomography-guided negative pressure drainage treatment of intrathoracic esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage: A case report
	Jiang ZY, Tao GQ, Zhu YF
4586	Primary or metastatic lung cancer? Sebaceous carcinoma of the thigh: A case report
	Wei XL, Liu Q, Zeng QL, Zhou H
4594	Perianesthesia emergency repair of a cut endotracheal tube's inflatable tube: A case report
	Wang TT, Wang J, Sun TT, Hou YT, Lu Y, Chen SG
4601	Diagnosis of cytomegalovirus encephalitis using metagenomic next-generation sequencing of blood and cerebrospinal fluid: A case report
	Xu CQ, Chen XL, Zhang DS, Wang JW, Yuan H, Chen WF, Xia H, Zhang ZY, Peng FH
4608	Primary sigmoid squamous cell carcinoma with liver metastasis: A case report
	Li XY, Teng G, Zhao X, Zhu CM
4617	Acute recurrent cerebral infarction caused by moyamoya disease complicated with adenomyosis: A case report
	Zhang S, Zhao LM, Xue BQ, Liang H, Guo GC, Liu Y, Wu RY, Li CY
4625	Serum-negative Sjogren's syndrome with minimal lesion nephropathy as the initial presentation: A case report
	Li CY, Li YM, Tian M
4632	Successful individualized endodontic treatment of severely curved root canals in a mandibular second molar: A case report
	Xu LJ, Zhang JY, Huang ZH, Wang XZ



Conton	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 14 May 16, 2022
4640	Successful treatment in one myelodysplastic syndrome patient with primary thrombocytopenia and secondary deep vein thrombosis: A case report
	Liu WB, Ma JX, Tong HX
4648	Diagnosis of an extremely rare case of malignant adenomyoepithelioma in pleomorphic adenoma: A case report
	Zhang WT, Wang YB, Ang Y, Wang HZ, Li YX
4654	Management about intravesical histological transformation of prostatic mucinous carcinoma after radical prostatectomy: A case report
	Bai SJ, Ma L, Luo M, Xu H, Yang L
4661	Hepatopulmonary metastases from papillary thyroid microcarcinoma: A case report
	Yang CY, Chen XW, Tang D, Yang WJ, Mi XX, Shi JP, Du WD
4669	PD-1 inhibitor in combination with fruquintinib therapy for initial unresectable colorectal cancer: A case report
	Zhang HQ, Huang CZ, Wu JY, Wang ZL, Shao Y, Fu Z
4676	Cutaneous metastasis from esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: A case report
	Zhang RY, Zhu SJ, Xue P, He SQ
4684	Rare pattern of Maisonneuve fracture: A case report
	Zhao B, Li N, Cao HB, Wang GX, He JQ
4691	Suprasellar cistern tuberculoma presenting as unilateral ocular motility disorder and ptosis: A case report
	Zhao BB, Tian C, Fu LJ, Zhang XB
4698	Development of plasma cell dyscrasias in a patient with chronic myeloid leukemia: A case report
	Zhang N, Jiang TD, Yi SH
4704	Ovarian growing teratoma syndrome with multiple metastases in the abdominal cavity and liver: A case report
	Hu X, Jia Z, Zhou LX, Kakongoma N
	LETTER TO THE EDITOR
4709	Perfectionism and mental health problems: Limitations and directions for future research

Nazari N



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 14 May 16, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Jamir Pitton Rissardo, MD, Academic Research, Adjunct Associate Professor, Research Associate, Department of Medicine, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105110, Brazil. jamirrissardo@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2021 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.337; IF without journal self cites: 1.301; 5-year IF: 1.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.33; Ranking: 119 among 169 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2020 is 0.8 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: General Medicine is 493/793.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang,

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Clinical Cases	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Thrice Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 16, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2022 May 16; 10(14): 4414-4424

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4414

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Evaluation of short- and medium-term efficacy and complications of ultrasound-guided ablation for small liver cancer

Hua Zhong, Rong Hu, Yun-Shan Jiang

Specialty type: Radiology, nuclear medicine and medical imaging

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Gall TMH, United Kingdom; Lachenmayer A, Switzerland

Received: November 21, 2021 Peer-review started: November 21, 2021 First decision: December 9, 2021 Revised: December 19, 2021 Accepted: March 14, 2022 Article in press: March 14, 2022 Published online: May 16, 2022



Hua Zhong, Rong Hu, Yun-Shan Jiang, Department of Ultrasound Medicine, The Central Hospital of Yongzhou, Yongzhou 425000, Hunan Province, China

Corresponding author: Yun-Shan Jiang, MD, Chief Doctor, Department of Ultrasound Medicine, The Central Hospital of Yongzhou, No. 151 Xiaoshui West Road, Yongzhou 425000, Hunan Province, China. jys111112021@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

To ensure clinical efficacy and prolong patient survival, treatments such as surgery and microwave ablation (MWA) are used for early liver cancer. MWA is preferred because it effectively preserves the normal liver tissue and causes transient coagulation necrosis of local liver tumor cells. However, due to technical limitations, the cancerous liver tissue cannot be completely ablated; therefore, the probability of local tumor recurrence is high.

AIM

To investigate the clinical efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer.

METHODS

A total of 118 patients treated for small liver cancer in The Central Hospital of Yongzhou from January 2018 to April 2019 were selected. Sixty-six patients received ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA (MWA group) and 52 received laparoscopic surgery (laparoscope group). The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses of both groups were statistically analyzed. Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin (TBIL), albumin (ALB), alpha fetal protein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and peripheral blood regulatory T lymphocytes (Treg) levels were evaluated pre- and post-operatively. The cross-sectional area of tumors measured before and after ablation was analyzed statistically; the therapeutic effect was compared between both groups in terms of surgical complications, 2-year progression-free survival rate, and overall survival rate.

RESULTS

The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses in the MWA group were lower than those of the laparoscope group, and the differences were significant (*P* < 0.05); these parameters, and ALT, AST, TBIL, and ALB levels were



WJCC https://www.wjgnet.com

compared preoperatively between both groups, and there was no significance (P > 0.05). The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses for 2 d and 1 wk after surgery, the ALT and AST of the MWA group were lower than those of the laparoscope group, and the difference was significant (P < 0.05). The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses, and serum AFP, CEA, and Treg levels were measured preoperatively and 4 and 8 wk postoperatively, and there were no significant differences between the two groups (P > 0.05). Compared with preoperative levels, serum AFP, CEA, and Treg levels in both groups were decreased (P < 0.05). The lesion in the MWA group had a maximum area of 4.86 ± 0.90 cm², 1.24 ± 0.57 cm², and 0.31 ± 0.11 cm² preoperatively, 1 and 3 mo postoperatively, respectively. Fifty-eight of them achieved complete response and eight achieved a partial response. After 2 years of follow-up, the progression-free and overall survival rates in the MWA group were 37.88% and 66.67%, respectively, compared with 44.23% and 76.92% in the laparoscope group, with no significant difference (P > 0.05).

CONCLUSION

The effects of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer are similar to those of laparoscopic surgery. However, ablation causes less trauma and liver dysfunction.

Key Words: Ultrasound guidance; Microwave ablation; Small liver cancer; Laparoscope; Laparoscopic surgery; Percutaneous microwave ablation

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Through a set of controls, it was confirmed that the effect of ultrasound-guided percutaneous microwave ablation in the treatment of small liver cancer is similar to that of laparoscopic surgery. However, ablation will also cause less trauma and liver dysfunction, so there is more room for development in clinical applications.

Citation: Zhong H, Hu R, Jiang YS. Evaluation of short- and medium-term efficacy and complications of ultrasound-guided ablation for small liver cancer. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(14): 4414-4424 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i14/4414.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4414

INTRODUCTION

Liver cancer is a common malignancy in oncology, with a high incidence and mortality; primary liver cancer is the main clinical tumor^[1]. The specific cause of liver cancer is still unclear but long-term studies have found that it may be related to cirrhosis and viral hepatitis[1]. In order to ensure clinical efficacy and prolong patient survival, radical treatments such as surgery and microwave ablation (MWA) are used for early liver cancer, while local chemoradiotherapy combined with systemic therapy is used for advanced liver cancer^[2]. MWA is a rapidly developing interventional therapy technique for liver cancer; it is safe, reliable, well-tolerated, and has few postoperative complications[3]. The main principle of MWA is the puncture of the central area of the tumor (through the skin) using a special microwave needle. When the tissue is treated using microwaves, it absorbs a large amount into itself, resulting in rapid production of a high amount of heat (up to 100 °C instantly). Next to surgery and liver transplantation, it is the preferred method because it effectively preserves the normal liver tissue and causes transient coagulative necrosis of local liver tumor cells due to hyperpyrexia; it may also increase the immunity of the body[4]. However, due to technical limitations, the cancerous liver tissue cannot be completely ablated; therefore, the probability of local tumor recurrence is high[5]. Presently, MWA for liver cancer has a variety of guidance methods, including laparoscopy, computed tomography (CT), ultrasound, intraoperative methods, etc. Ultrasound-guided MWA for primary liver cancer is widely used[6]. This study aimed to investigate the clinical efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer.

Raishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data

A total of 118 patients with small liver cancer treated at The Central Hospital of Yongzhou of Hunan Province from January 2018 to December 2020 were selected for this study. Sixty-six patients underwent ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA (MWA group) and 52 underwent laparoscopic surgery (laparoscope group).

Inclusion criteria: (1) Patients aged 42 to 79 years; (2) primary liver cancer diagnosed based on the Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Primary Liver Cancer in China (2019 Edition)[7,8]; (3) maximum lesion diameter less than 3.0 cm, and disease confirmed by CT, magnetic resonance imaging, or liver puncture examination; (4) no distant metastasis to bile duct or vessels found in pre-treatment examination; (5) all patients who received treatment diagnosed for the first time; and (6) those with provided informed consent.

Exclusion criteria: Those (1) Diagnosed with other malignant tumors; (2) with Child-Pugh classification Grade C; (3) who had abdominal cavity and hepatobiliary operations within the past 6 mo; (4) with a history of acute myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular disease within the past 6 mo; (5) experiencing blood coagulation abnormalities, blood system diseases, serious infections, *etc.*; and (6) with other treatment contraindications.

Ultrasound-guided MWA

The patient was placed in the supine position. After successful local anesthesia, routine disinfection and towel laying were performed. Conventional color Doppler and contrast-enhanced ultrasound were performed again to determine the puncture point and angle and depth of needle insertion. An MWA needle was used under the guidance of color Doppler ultrasound according to the predetermined puncture path and depth. The MWA needle was inserted into the edge of the tumor area. According to the diameter of the tumor, the best location of the electrode should be the site where the tumor and surrounding normal tissue can be thermally coagulated and necrotized to within at least 1 cm. Different microwave programs and powers can be selected according to the size of the tumor, the microwave device was activated. The MWA needle reached the puncture edge of the tumor, the microwave device was activated. The MWA time was about 8-12 min, after which the cold circulation system was turned off. After ablation, needle ablation was performed. Patients with cancer greater than 3.0 cm in diameter with multi-needle multi-point MWA. The changes in tumor tissue during MWA can be monitored in real-time. Hemostatic drugs, analgesics, sedatives, and fluids can be administered intravenously if necessary[8].

Laparoscopic surgery

The patient was placed in the supine position and under general anesthesia, a 1-cm incision was made under the umbilical cord. After establishing pneumoperitoneum, a laparoscope was inserted to explore the exact location, the number of the mass(es), and the surrounding blood vessels. The ligaments around the liver were removed with the ultrasonic knife and the liver was mobilized. The excision line was marked 2 cm away from the tumor margin, and the liver tissue was removed. Titanium clips were used whenever large vessels were encountered during excision. If the liver section appeared to be bleeding, bipolar electrocoagulation was used to stop the bleed. After resecting the tumor and confirming that there was no active bleeding in the abdominal cavity, the laparoscopic instrument was withdrawn, the air in the abdominal cavity was discharged, and the incision sutured layer by layer.

Observation indices

Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin (TBIL), albumin (ALB), alpha fetal protein (AFP), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), and peripheral blood regulatory T lymphocytes (Treg) levels were evaluated before and after the surgery. The cross-sectional area of tumors measured before and after ablation was analyzed statistically, and the therapeutic effect in terms of surgical complications and 2-year progression-free and overall survival rates were compared between the two groups.

Five mL of venous blood was extracted in the fasting state, centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 5 min, and the serum was separated. AFP and CEA were detected using enzyme-linked immunoassay. Serum ALT, AST, TBIL, and ALB levels were detected in the laboratory to evaluate the liver function in the patients. FACSCalibur flow cytometry (Bectond-Dickinson, USA) was used to detect peripheral blood regulatory T cells.

Short-term efficacy evaluation criteria for treatment effects

According to RECIST efficacy evaluation criteria[9,10] for solid tumors, they can be divided into complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease, and progressive disease.

WJCC https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Comparison of basic information between the two groups, n (%)						
Basic information	MWA group (<i>n</i> = 66)	Laparoscope group (<i>n</i> = 52)	t/χ²	P value		
Age (yr)	58.7 ± 8.6	57.3 ± 9.1	0.856	0.394		
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.1 ± 2.0	23.8 ± 2.2	0.774	0.440		
Lesion diameter (cm ²)	2.13 ± 0.48	2.01 ± 0.44	1.398	0.165		
Number of lesions	2.14 ± 0.50	2.03 ± 0.48	1.207	0.230		
Gender			0.952	0.329		
Male	36 (54.55)	33 (63.46)				
Female	30 (45.45)	19 (36.54)				
Smoking			1.187	0.276		
Yes	24 (36.36)	14 (26.92)				
No	42 (63.64)	38 (73.08)				
Alcohol			2.638	0.104		
Yes	22 (33.33)	25 (48.08)				
No	44 (66.67)	27 (51.92)				
Child-pugh			2.336	0.126		
Class A	30 (45.45)	31 (59.62)				
Class B	36 (54.55)	21 (40.38)				
History of hepatitis B			1.291	0.256		
Yes	39 (59.09)	36 (69.23)				
No	27 (40.91)	16 (30.77)				
AFP (ng/mL)			0.968	0.325		
≤ 20	22 (33.33)	13 (25.00)				
>20	44 (66.67)	39 (75.00)				

MWA: Microwave ablation; BMI: Body mass index; AFP: Alpha fetal protein.

The two groups of patients were followed up for 3 years by return visits or *via* telephone. The followup ended in April 2021, and patient survival rates in both groups were recorded.

Statistical analysis

In this study, the serum ALT, AST, TBIL, and ALB levels in the patients were tested by normal distribution test, and were in line with the approximate normal distribution or normal distribution, represented by mean \pm SD; *t*-test was used for comparison between groups. Enumeration data (gender, smoking, drinking, Child-pugh, *etc.*) were compared by χ^2 test and expressed as percentages. The Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival analysis, and the log-rank test was used for the comparison of survival time. Professional SPSS 21.0 software was used for data processing, and the test level was $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Comparison of basic data between the two groups

There were no significant differences in age, body mass index, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, and the number of lesions between the MWA and endoscopic groups (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

Comparison of surgical trauma indexes between the two groups

The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses in the MWA group were lower than those in the laparoscope group, and the differences were significant (P < 0.05, Table 2).

Baishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Zhong H et al. Efficacy and complications of US-guided ablation

Table 2 Comparison of surgical trauma indexes between the two groups (mean ± SD)						
Group n		Operation time (min)	Blood loss (mL) Hospital stays (d)	Medical expenses (Thousand Yuan)		
MWA group	66	55.81 ± 9.64	8.94 ± 2.26	3.3 ± 0.8	2.28 ± 0.21	
Laparoscope group	52	62.30 ± 10.57	22.83 ± 6.18	5.1 ± 1.3	2.91 ± 0.30	
<i>t</i> value		-3.479	-16.897	-9.249	-13.401	
<i>P</i> value		0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	

Table 3 Effects of surgical treatment on liver function indexes in two groups (mean ± SD)					
Index	Before surgery	2 d after surgery	1 wk after surgery		
ALT (U/L)					
MWA group ($n = 66$)	32.5 ± 7.0	175.3 ± 36.8^{a}	57.1 ± 10.4^{a}		
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	34.1 ± 6.7	193.0 ± 40.2^{a}	66.5 ± 12.1^{a}		
<i>t</i> value	-1.256	-2.490	-4.535		
<i>P</i> value	0.212	0.014	0.000		
AST (U/L)					
MWA group ($n = 66$)	34.9 ± 8.6	193.7 ± 33.2^{a}	64.2 ± 15.0^{a}		
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	32.6 ± 7.3	218.0 ± 41.8^{a}	76.7 ± 16.3^{a}		
<i>t</i> value	1.540	-3.520	-4.326		
<i>P</i> value	0.126	0.001	0.000		
TBIL (µmol/L)					
MWA group ($n = 66$)	15.9 ± 3.0	36.8 ± 9.2^{a}	24.1 ± 6.5^{a}		
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	16.3 ± 4.1	39.5 ± 9.8^{a}	26.4 ± 7.3^{a}		
<i>t</i> value	-0.612	-1.538	-1.807		
<i>P</i> value	0.542	0.127	0.073		
ALB (g/L)					
MWA group ($n = 66$)	39.8 ± 3.1	35.0 ± 3.4^{a}	37.8 ± 2.8^{a}		
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	41.0 ± 4.4	34.2 ± 3.1^{a}	36.9 ± 3.1^{a}		
<i>t</i> value	-1.736	1.319	1.653		
<i>P</i> value	0.085	0.190	0.101		

 $^{a}P < 0.05 vs$ this group before operation.

MWA: Microwave ablation; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; TBIL: Total bilirubin; ALB: Albumin.

Effects of surgical treatment on liver function indexes in two groups

The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, medical expenses, and ALT, AST, TBIL, and ALB levels in the MWA and laparoscope groups before surgery were compared, and there was no significance (P >0.05). The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, medical expenses 2 and 7 d after surgery, and ALT and AST levels in the MWA group were lower than those in the laparoscope group, and the difference was significant (P < 0.05, Table 3).

Comparison of serum AFP, CEA, and Treg levels before and after surgery in the two groups

The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses, and serum AFP, CEA, and Treg levels were measured preoperatively and at 4 and 8 wk after the surgery, and there were no significant differences between the two groups (P > 0.05). Compared with preoperative levels, serum AFP, CEA, and Treg levels in both groups were decreased (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 4.

Observation of lesion change and treatment effect in the MWA group

The lesion in the MWA group had a maximum area of 4.86 ± 0.90 cm², 1.24 ± 0.57 cm², and 0.31 ± 0.11



WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 4 Comparison of serum alpha fetal protein, carcinoembryonic antigen and peripheral blood regulatory T lymphocytes levelsbefore and after surgery in 2 groups (mean ± SD)

Index	Before surgery	4 wk after surgery	8 wk after surgery	
AFP (ng/mL)				
MWA group ($n = 66$)	98.6 ± 20.5	43.2 ± 14.3^{a}	15.1 ± 4.6^{a}	
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	103.8 ± 25.1	39.7 ± 13.6^{a}	13.6 ± 4.8^{a}	
<i>t</i> value	-1.239	1.349	1.725	
<i>P</i> value	0.218	0.180	0.087	
CEA (ng/mL)				
MWA group ($n = 66$)	14.82 ± 4.16	7.18 ± 2.30^{a}	2.29 ± 0.77^{a}	
Laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	16.33 ± 5.28	8.01 ± 2.57^{a}	2.56 ± 0.81^{a}	
<i>t</i> value	-1.738	-1.848	-1.848	
<i>P</i> value	0.085	0.067	0.067	
Treg (%)				
MWA group ($n = 66$)	9.53 ± 2.33	6.81 ± 1.53^{a}	5.52 ± 1.00^{a}	
laparoscope group ($n = 52$)	10.04 ± 2.56	7.41 ± 1.76^{a}	5.80 ± 1.43^{a}	
<i>t</i> value	-1.130	-1.979	-1.250	
<i>P</i> value	0.261	0.050	0.214	

 $^{\mathrm{a}}P < 0.05 \ vs$ this group before operation.

AFP: alpha fetal protein; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; Treg: Peripheral blood regulatory T lymphocytes.

Table 5 Comparison of survival rate between the two groups, n (%)					
Group	n	Progression-free survival	Overall survival		
MWA group	66	25 (37.88)	44 (66.67)		
Laparoscope group	52	23 (44.23)	40 (76.92)		
x ²		0.486	1.492		
<i>P</i> value		0.486	0.222		

MWA: Microwave ablation.

cm² before, at 1, and 3 mo after the surgery, respectively. Among them, 58 and eight patients achieved CR PR, respectively.

Comparison of the survival rate between the two groups

After 2 years of follow-up, the progression-free and overall survival rates of patients in the MWA group were 37.88% and 66.67%, respectively, compared with 44.23% and 76.92% for those in the laparoscope group, respectively, with no significant difference (P > 0.05, Table 5, Figure 1A, and B).

Comparison of complication rate between two groups

There was no significant difference in the complication rate between the MWA (4.55%) and laparoscope (9.62%) groups (P > 0.05), as shown in Table 6.

Typical cases

These are presented in Figure 2.

Raishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 6 Comparison of complication rate between two groups, n (%)						
Group	n	Abdominal infection	Gastrointestinal bleeding	Upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage	Complication rate	
MWA group	66	2	0	1	3 (4.55)	
Laparoscope group	52	3	2	0	5 (9.62)	
<i>x</i> ²					1.183	
P value					0.277	

MWA: Microwave ablation.





DISCUSSION

Based on previous studies, this study evaluated the efficacy of ultrasound-guided MVA and traditional surgery in the treatment of small liver cancer and compared the level of postoperative liver function recovery and the incidence of complications, so as to comprehensively and objectively evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of radiofrequency ablation.

Baisbideng® WJCC https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i14.4414 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 A 75-year-old woman was diagnosed with a right hepatic lobe tumor on preoperative examination. A: The size of the right hepatic lobe tumor before microwave ablation, with relatively clear boundaries; B: The puncture and ablation process during microwave ablation, indicating that the needle insertion position was relatively accurate; C: The examination after microwave ablation. It can be seen that there is no enhancement in the venous and arterial phases, and the ablation range is 41 cm × 36 cm; D: The reexamination with contrast-enhanced ultrasound 3 mo after ablation, with no enhancement in arterial and venous phases.

> During the treatment of liver cancer, the effects on liver function should be minimized. With the development of imaging, laparoscopy, and radiofrequency electrode technology, the application of minimally invasive surgeries, such as laparoscopic hepatectomy and MWA, in clinical practice, has increased. With its advantages of accuracy and efficacy, it has become the mainstay in the treatment of primary liver cancer[11]. MWA is a commonly used non-surgical treatment in clinical practice, which has a good targeting ability and can directly act on the tumor cells in patients[12]. Ultrasonography can determine the boundary and diameter of the tumor, and clearly show the perfusion situation of the tumor. It also helps to distinguish the tumor from the gallbladder, diaphragm, intestine, etc.; it can be used for radiofrequency ablation for providing an important reference for the path, range, and angle of radiofrequency needle insertion, effectively reducing heat damage to the surrounding organs[13,14]. MWA is key in the successful treatment of liver cancer. Under the guidance of contrast-enhanced ultrasound, a predetermined preoperative ablation plan and a reasonable needle insertion method should be formulated according to the tumor size, so as to achieve the goal of complete tumor ablation with the least number of points[3,8,13,15,16].

> The results of this study showed that the operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical cost of the MWA group were significantly lower than those of the endoscopic group (P < 0.05). This is mainly because ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA does not need to remove the tumor, but rather relies on the alternating current of the needle electrode to cause high-frequency movement of tissue cells and raise the local tissue temperature. The goal of tumor eradication can be achieved by causing coagulation inactivation or irreversible damage to tumor tissue, and high temperature can also disconnect the tumor from surrounding blood vessels[17,18]. Reducing the tumor tissue leads to its complete necrosis, thus reducing the chance of distant metastasis^[19]. Compared with preoperative levels, serum ALT, AST, AFP, CEA, and Treg levels in both groups were significantly decreased postoperatively (P < 0.05). These results suggest that MWA can effectively control the disease by restoring the normal range of liver function and inhibiting the invasion of the liver by tumor cells. It also indicates that ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation can improve liver function indices and reduce the proportion of Treg cells in patients with liver cancer. This could be due to the fact that proliferation and invasion of cancer cells in patients undergoing MWA can be inhibited after treatment, thus restoring liver function. Peripheral blood Treg cells are presently the focus of clinical research. It is generally believed that Treg cell levels can reflect the prognosis of patients with malignancies, with low levels often representing a good prognosis.



WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

The study showed that in the MWA group, the maximum cross-sectional area of the lesion was $1.24 \pm$ 0.57 cm² and 0.31 ± 0.11 cm² at 1 and 3 mo after surgery, respectively. Among them, 58 and eight patients achieved CR and PR, respectively. After 2 years of follow-up, there was no significant difference in survival rate between the two groups (P > 0.05). It is suggested that the effect of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA is similar to that of laparoscopic surgery in the treatment of primary liver cancer. Studies have shown that MWA is effective for liver cancer patients, who need liver protection treatment and cannot tolerate surgery, and not only achieves this purpose but also improves the quality of life of patients[20-22]. The complication rate in the MWA group was lower than that in the endoscopic group, and the difference was not significant (P > 0.05), indicating that during the actual operation, the choice should be made according to the specific situation of the tumor. MWA has higher requirements for operators. If the tumor is close to the blood vessels, it could easily cause blood vessel damage. If the tumor is located on the surface of the liver, in order to reduce damage to the liver, the trajectory of the needle insertion should be deviated. Low accuracy of needle insertion leads to high tumor residue, and the effect of laparoscopic hepatectomy in such a condition is better[23]. At the same time, if the tumor is located in the center of the liver parenchyma, MWA should be chosen to avoid excessive damage to normal liver tissue and function. However, the treatment methods of the patients in this study were chosen under the advice of the attending physicians and did not consider the actual clinical situation, which needs further discussion.

Based on previous studies, this study evaluated the efficacy of ultrasound-guided microwave ablation and traditional surgery in the treatment of small liver cancer[13,24-32]. There are some limitations of this study. Due to the lack of long-term follow-up and small sample size, there is a need for further studies with larger sample size and longer follow-up. A multi-center study should be carried out to provide more detailed and reliable data on the application of ultrasound-guided MWA.

CONCLUSION

There is no significant difference between the effect of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA and laparoscopy in the treatment of small liver cancer, but the degree of trauma caused by MWA is small and liver function is mildly affected.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Early-stage liver cancer is often treated with surgery and microwave ablation (MWA). MWA effectively preserves normal liver tissue and causes transient coagulation and necrosis of local liver tumor cells. However, due to technical limitations, cancerous liver tissue cannot be completely ablated; therefore, the probability of local tumor recurrence is high.

Research motivation

Improve the clinical efficacy and safety of percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer.

Research objectives

This study aimed to investigate the clinical efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer.

Research methods

A total of 118 patients treated for small liver cancer in The Central Hospital of Yongzhou from January 2018 to April 2019 were selected.

Research results

The operation time, blood loss, hospitalization time and medical expenses in the MWA group were lower than those in the laparoscopic group. The operation time, blood loss, hospitalization time, medical expenses, alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase of the MWA group were lower than those of the laparoscopic group at 2 d and 1 wk after surgery. Compared with preoperatively, the serum alpha fetal protein, carcinoembryonic antigen and Treg levels of the two groups were reduced. The maximum area of the lesion in the MWA group was $4.86 \pm 0.90 \text{ cm}^2$, $1.24 \pm 0.57 \text{ cm}^2$, and $0.31 \pm 0.11 \text{ cm}^2$ before operation, 1 and 3 mo after operation, respectively. Of these, 58 people achieved a complete response, and 8 achieved a partial response. After 2 years of follow-up, the progression-free survival rate and overall survival rate of the MWA group were 37.88% and 66.67%, respectively, and the laparoscopic group were 44.23% and 76.92%, the difference was not statistically significant.

Zaishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Research conclusions

The effect of ultrasounds-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer are similar to those of laparoscopic surgery. However, ablation causes less trauma and liver dysfunction.

Research perspectives

It can improve the clinical efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of small liver cancer in the future.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhong H designed the study; Hu R drafted the work; Jiang YS and Zhong H collected the data; Hu R analyzed and interpreted data; Jiang YS and Zhong H wrote and revised the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Central Hospital of Yongzhou.

Informed consent statement: Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest between them.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Hua Zhong 0000-0001-9637-379X; Rong Hu 0000-0003-4564-3993; Yun-Shan Jiang 0000-0003-4242-8649.

S-Editor: Wang JL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Wang JL

REFERENCES

- Sciarra A, Park YN, Sempoux C. Updates in the diagnosis of combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma. Hum Pathol 1 2020; 96: 48-55 [PMID: 31931021 DOI: 10.1016/j.humpath.2019.11.001]
- 2 Keane FK, Hong TS. Role and Future Directions of External Beam Radiotherapy for Primary Liver Cancer. Cancer Control 2017; 24: 1073274817729242 [PMID: 28975835 DOI: 10.1177/1073274817729242]
- Hartley-Blossom Z, Alam M, Stone J, Iannuccilli J. Microwave Ablation in the Liver: An Update. Surg Technol Int 2020; 3 37: 72-78 [PMID: 32681731]
- 4 Xu Z, Xie H, Zhou L, Chen X, Zheng S. The Combination Strategy of Transarterial Chemoembolization and Radiofrequency Ablation or Microwave Ablation against Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst) 2019; 2019: 8619096 [PMID: 31534899 DOI: 10.1155/2019/8619096]
- Shin SW, Ahn KS, Kim SW, Kim TS, Kim YH, Kang KJ. Liver Resection Versus Local Ablation Therapies for 5 Hepatocellular Carcinoma Within the Milan Criteria: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Ann Surg 2021; 273: 656-666 [PMID: 33074898 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.00000000004350]
- 6 Li S, Shi S, Li A, Liu H, Cai L. Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Assessment of Primary Liver Cancer after HIFU Treatment. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2019; 29: 305-308 [PMID: 30925949 DOI: 10.29271/jcpsp.2019.04.305]
- Department of Medical Administration, National Health and Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. [Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of primary liver cancer in China (2019 edition)]. Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi 2020; 28: 112-128 [PMID: 32164061 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-3418.2020.02.004]
- Zhu F, Rhim H. Thermal ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: what's new in 2019. Chin Clin Oncol 2019; 8: 58 [PMID: 31968982 DOI: 10.21037/cco.2019.11.03]
- Lencioni R, Llovet JM. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular carcinoma. Semin Liver Dis 2010; **30**: 52-60 [PMID: 20175033 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1247132]
- 10 Llovet JM, Lencioni R. mRECIST for HCC: Performance and novel refinements. J Hepatol 2020; 72: 288-306 [PMID: 31954493 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.09.026]
- 11 Tan W, Deng Q, Lin S, Wang Y, Xu G. Comparison of microwave ablation and radiofrequency ablation for hepatocellular



carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyperthermia* 2019; **36**: 264-272 [PMID: 30676100 DOI: 10.1080/02656736.2018.1562571]

- 12 Vogl TJ, Nour-Eldin NA, Hammerstingl RM, Panahi B, Naguib NNN. Microwave Ablation (MWA): Basics, Technique and Results in Primary and Metastatic Liver Neoplasms - Review Article. *Rofo* 2017; 189: 1055-1066 [PMID: 28834968 DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-117410]
- 13 Fang L, Meng X, Luo W, Zhou XD. Treatment of primary hepatic carcinoma through ultrasound-guided microwave ablation. Niger J Clin Pract 2019; 22: 1408-1411 [PMID: 31607731 DOI: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_368_18]
- 14 Lachenmayer A, Tinguely P, Maurer MH, Frehner L, Knöpfli M, Peterhans M, Weber S, Dufour JF, Candinas D, Banz V. Stereotactic image-guided microwave ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma using a computer-assisted navigation system. *Liver Int* 2019; **39**: 1975-1985 [PMID: 31276296 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14187]
- 15 Song H, Ding H, Zhu C. CT-Guided Percutaneous Microwave Ablation of Sclerosing Hepatic Carcinoma. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 2020: 8881978 [PMID: 32733821 DOI: 10.1155/2020/8881978]
- 16 Cui R, Yu J, Kuang M, Duan F, Liang P. Microwave ablation versus other interventions for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Ther* 2020; 16: 379-386 [PMID: 32474527 DOI: 10.4103/jcrt.JCRT 403 19]
- 17 Melikian R, Minocha J. Septic Shock and Death after Microwave Ablation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in a Liver Transplant Patient with a Bilioenteric Anastomosis. *Semin Intervent Radiol* 2019; 36: 137-141 [PMID: 31123387 DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1688430]
- 18 Facciorusso A, Di Maso M, Muscatiello N. Microwave ablation versus radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyperthermia* 2016; 32: 339-344 [PMID: 26794414 DOI: 10.3109/02656736.2015.1127434]
- 19 Wang T, Zhang XY, Lu X, Zhai B. Laparoscopic Microwave Ablation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma at Liver Surface: Technique Effectiveness and Long-Term Outcomes. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2019; 18: 1533033818824338 [PMID: 30803390 DOI: 10.1177/1533033818824338]
- 20 Makovich Z, Logemann J, Chen L, Mhaskar R, Choi J, Parikh N, El-Haddad G, Kis B. Liver tumor ablation in difficult locations: Microwave ablation of perivascular and subdiaphragmatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Imaging* 2021; **71**: 170-177 [PMID: 33285405 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2020.11.010]
- 21 Schaible J, Lürken L, Wiggermann P, Verloh N, Einspieler I, Zeman F, Schreyer AG, Bale R, Stroszczynski C, Beyer L. Primary efficacy of percutaneous microwave ablation of malignant liver tumors: comparison of stereotactic and conventional manual guidance. *Sci Rep* 2020; 10: 18835 [PMID: 33139835 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-75925-6]
- Vietti Violi N, Duran R, Guiu B, Cercueil JP, Aubé C, Digklia A, Pache I, Deltenre P, Knebel JF, Denys A. Efficacy of microwave ablation versus radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver disease: a randomised controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; 3: 317-325 [PMID: 29503247 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(18)30029-3]
- 23 Ma B, Liu X, Yu Z. The effect of high intensity focused ultrasound on the treatment of liver cancer and patients' immunity. *Cancer Biomark* 2019; 24: 85-90 [PMID: 30347603 DOI: 10.3233/CBM-181822]
- 24 Yang G, Xiong Y, Sun J, Wang G, Li W, Tang T, Li J. The efficacy of microwave ablation versus liver resection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg* 2020; 77: 85-93 [PMID: 32173611 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.03.006]
- 25 Ong SL, Gravante G, Metcalfe MS, Strickland AD, Dennison AR, Lloyd DM. Efficacy and safety of microwave ablation for primary and secondary liver malignancies: a systematic review. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 21: 599-605 [PMID: 19282763 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e328318ed04]
- 26 Glassberg MB, Ghosh S, Clymer JW, Wright GWJ, Ferko N, Amaral JF. Microwave ablation compared with hepatic resection for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol 2019; 17: 98 [PMID: 31182102 DOI: 10.1186/s12957-019-1632-6]
- 27 Zhang YX, Zhang XH, Yu XL, Han ZY, Yu J, Liu FY, Cheng ZG, Liang P. Prognosis of microwave ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma: does age make a difference? *Int J Hyperthermia* 2020; **37**: 688-695 [PMID: 32558602 DOI: 10.1080/02656736.2020.1778198]
- 28 Liu C, He J, Li T, Hong D, Su H, Shao H. Evaluation of the efficacy and postoperative outcomes of hydrodissectionassisted microwave ablation for subcapsular hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal liver metastases. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2021; 46: 2161-2172 [PMID: 33108496 DOI: 10.1007/s00261-020-02830-x]
- 29 Luo W, Zhang Y, He G, Yu M, Zheng M, Liu L, Zhou X. Effects of radiofrequency ablation versus other ablating techniques on hepatocellular carcinomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg Oncol* 2017; 15: 126 [PMID: 28693505 DOI: 10.1186/s12957-017-1196-2]
- 30 Dumolard L, Ghelfi J, Roth G, Decaens T, Macek Jilkova Z. Percutaneous Ablation-Induced Immunomodulation in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 21 [PMID: 32575734 DOI: 10.3390/ijms21124398]
- 31 Darweesh SK, Gad AA. Percutaneous microwave ablation for HCV-related hepatocellular carcinoma: Efficacy, safety, and survival. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2019; **30**: 445-453 [PMID: 31060999 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2019.17191]
- 32 Meloni MF, Chiang J, Laeseke PF, Dietrich CF, Sannino A, Solbiati M, Nocerino E, Brace CL, Lee FT Jr. Microwave ablation in primary and secondary liver tumours: technical and clinical approaches. *Int J Hyperthermia* 2017; 33: 15-24 [PMID: 27416729 DOI: 10.1080/02656736.2016.1209694]

Zaishideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

