

December 11, 2021

Dear editor,

Please find attached files of revised manuscript in word format

Title: Evaluation of short- and medium-term efficacy and complications of ultrasound-guided ablation for small liver cancer

Author: Hua Zhong¹, Rong H i ž Yunshan ǂUb[

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70614

First of all, thank you for your careful guidance of this article. Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer:

Reviewer: 05195324

General comments: Authors retrospectively analyzed the clinical efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous MWA in the treatment of 118 patients with small liver cancer treated at the Yongzhou Central Hospital of Hunan Province from January 2018 to December 2020. The manuscript is informative and well presentation. The reviewer has minor comments. Comments: 1- The Abstract is good enough and reflects the core content of the article. 2- The background part of the text is well written and presents status and significance of the study clearly. 3- Method: the paragraphs are generally well structured and explained. 4- Result: well and clearly presented with pertinent statistics. However, I have a small doubt. On page 8, the author first described that "The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses in the MWA group were lower than those in the laparoscope group, and the differences were statistically significant". However, in the "Effects of surgical treatment on "Liver function indexes in two groups" parts, they also described some results related to operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses. Are they repeated? 5- Discussion: The manuscript clearly interprets the finding adequately and appropriately. In addition, the manuscript could highlight the key points clearly.

Reviewer: 05338673

I read the manuscript written by Hua Zhong and others with great interest. In my honest opinion, the topic is interesting and the retrospectively studies novel enough to attract the readers' attention. The discussion section is well written. The authors clarified some points and cite relevant and novel key articles on the subject to discuss their findings. I just have some small comments: 1. The description of the observation indicators part of the method is incomplete, and it does not describe all the important indicators in the result description, for example: The operation time, blood loss, hospital stay, and medical expenses are not described. 2. Corresponding Statistical methods need to be completed in conjunction with observation indicators.

Thank you for your advice.

After receiving the comments, (1) We have revised the duplicate content on page 8. (2) We modified the observation index part of the method. (3) We have completed the corresponding statistical methods in combination with the observed indicators.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Sincerely Yours,

Yunshan Jiang