

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70617

Title: Mucinous cystic neoplasm of the liver: A case report

Reviewer's code: 04543416

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-11 11:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-13 18:39

Review time: 2 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Although radiological images and pathological images were used in the article, I could not see a radiologist or pathologist among the authors. Are there any radiologists or pathologists among the authors? Or did you get permission to use the images? If permission is granted, please inform the journal the names of the physicians and the permission form. Some radiological terms need to be used in the same way that they are used routinely to make it easier for the reader to understand. For example, "diffusion restricted" instead of diffusion limited; "Non-contrast-enhanced axial computed tomography" instead of plain axial computed tomography; The terms "Conventional magnetic resonance imaging" should be used instead of plain magnetic resonance imaging. Radiologically, the presence of a contrast-enhancing and diffusion-restricting nodule in the cyst wall is an important finding, and adding the radiological images of this finding to the article will enrich the presentation.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70617

Title: Mucinous cystic neoplasm of the liver: A case report

Reviewer's code: 06121314

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-14 02:30

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-14 13:19

Review time: 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I won't talk about the advantages. Please check the CT and MRI imagings. CT imagings should be amplified and CT values should be measured in your figures. MRI imagings should be give very similar axial views, included T2WI、T1WI、DWI and T1WI fs+.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70617

Title: Mucinous cystic neoplasm of the liver: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05516874

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-11 07:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-20 10:18

Review time: 9 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of the manuscript "Mucinous cystic neoplasm of the liver: A case report" present a detailed clinical case that, according to them, reinforces the need for surgery to definitively clarify the nature of this type of tumors. This work is a contribution to an atypical neoplastic tumor in the liver that will contribute to new approaches that try to obtain better mechanisms for further diagnosis and treatment. However, some points listed below need clarification

1-Authors mentioned in chief complaints: "An asymptomatic 32-year-old female patient complained of a liver mass 4 months during hospitalization in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology" However, is the meaning of the 4 months unclear? 4 months after the interventions? or that the liver mass has had it for 4 months? Please clarify this point better.

2-The checklist cannot be clearly analyzed because the references indicated by the author in it are confusing. Please add the manuscript line numbers to clarify the places indicated by the authors. I have not been able to locate many of the references indicated in the checklist.

3-At some point in the manuscript, the authors must indicate whether or not there is a study on biomarkers that could be determined by biopsy or blood.