

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 70741

Title: Arthroscopic surgery for synovial chondroma of subacromial bursa with nontraumatic shoulder subluxation complications: Two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00071178

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-23 06:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-28 15:47

Review time: 5 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? I think the title is too long. That's why I recommend shortening it. 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? It is prepared just like a review. Therefore, it should be rewritten according to the case report format. 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? It is appropriate to the 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the content of the topic. background, present status and significance of the study? Looking at the WJCC manual, it appears that the introduction should begin with "Introduction" 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Case reports were written in an appropriate format. However, subheadings should be written in bold font. 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? The case 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the report is appropriately written. findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? The word "synovial chondromatosis" is used almost entirely in the introduction. However, the discussion part started with the word "synovial osteochondromatosis". If these two words are the same, please use only one to avoid confusion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? Appropriate images was used. image quality should be improved 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Biostatistics is not required for this case report. 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? I suggest updating the references



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70741

Title: Arthroscopic surgery for synovial chondroma of subacromial bursa with non-traumatic shoulder subluxation complications: Two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00071178

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-28 09:51

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-28 16:40

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for revision