

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70905

Title: Tension pneumocephalus following endoscopic resection of a mediastinal thoracic spinal tumor: Case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00070191

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-19 08:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-20 07:41

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Title. Does the title reflect the main subject of the manuscript? YES 2. Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? YES 3. Keywords. Do the keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript? YES 4. Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status, and significance of the study? YES 5. Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods in adequate detail? YES 6. Results. Are the research objectives achieved by this study? YES 7. What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? In this manuscript, pneumocephalus occurring in a case of a thoracoscopically resected spinal tumor is reported. As emphasized by the authors, this is a challenging complication that is difficult to repair. Therefore, its rarity does not exclude the importance of considering it during and/or after surgery. The information given throughout the presentation is essential in increasing awareness of the existence of this complication. It also contains valuable information to consider the techniques that can be used in the repair. 8. Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly, and logically? YES, Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? YES Is the discussion accurate, and does the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? YES Comment: In lines 161-166 in the discussion section, it would be more appropriate to take the information between the lines in the materials and method section. 9. Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams, and tables sufficient, good quality, and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks, etc., better



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

legends? Comment: In figure 3a, histopathological findings of the lesion cannot be distinguished very well, and the hyalinization, calcification, and hemorrhage areas mentioned in the legend cannot be observed. A new microscopic photograph with higher magnification should be included to describe these findings. If these findings cannot be fully demonstrated, they should be removed from the legend. 10. Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? This is a case report 11. Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of the use of SI units? YES 12. References. Does the manuscript cite the latest, influential, and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections appropriately? YES Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite, and/or over-cite references? NO 13. Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely, and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language, and grammar accurate and appropriate? YES 14. Research methods and reporting. (1) CARE Checklist (2013) and Informed consent Statement are presented. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? YES 15. Ethics statements Did the manuscript meets the requirements of ethics? YES

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70905

Title: Tension pneumocephalus following endoscopic resection of a mediastinal thoracic spinal tumor: Case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05377450

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-19 11:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-28 11:42

Review time: 9 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

gelform or geofoam -> gelfoam