

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70930

**Title:** A giant retroperitoneal lipoma presenting with abdominal distention: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06124643

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MBBS

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Doctor, Surgeon, Teacher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-08-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-21 18:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-23 10:18

**Review time:** 1 Day and 15 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case by Qi Yu and colleagues describing a rare retroperitoneal tumour with successful management. Despite the case being interesting, I have some concerns regarding the clinical workup and grammatical/spelling errors noted throughout the manuscript. Minor revisions are required. Good luck! What do the authors mean by 'left retroperitoneal area' in the case report section? Why did the authors think about lipoblastoma intraoperatively? Kindly provide the H&E images of lipoma and myelolipoma. Was it a mixed tumor or myelolipoma alone? Was IHC done for confirmation? What laboratory investigations could possibly point towards relapse? What is the difference between first line investigation and initial investigation as described in the discussion section? Some texts in the discussion section are repeated, specially in the radiological investigation part. Kindly be uniform. How will IHC detect molecular patterns like '12q13-15' ? Some unremarkable details are there in the case report. Kindly put only relevant information in the text. Authors have not mentioned the figure legends in the case report.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 70930

**Title:** A giant retroperitoneal lipoma presenting with abdominal distention: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06130469

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** Doctor

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-08-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-21 18:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-29 10:52

**Review time:** 7 Days and 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this case report, a rare case of giant retroperitoneal lipoma is described in a very clear and detailed way. I have few comments: Page 2 Line 49: "tumor in the previous literature". The author should clarify which database was used to analyze the previous literature (PUBMED, EMBASE??) Page Line 93 "CT scanning demonstrated a ". Was the CT performed with or without contrast? And if contrast was used, what were the characteristics of the mass?