

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70964

Title: An atypical primary malignant melanoma arising from the cervical nerve root: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06148582

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Neurosurgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Serbia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-23 07:24

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-30 18:25

Review time: 7 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

comment Based on criteria of the journal , I suggest adding the key point (the growth pattern of tumor in this case) in the Title to reflect the main hypothesis of the manuscript, also adding Review of the Literature would make the title reflect the main subject

comment Organization and presentation of the report. i suggest reorganizing the order of manuscript based on the criteria of the journal by putting the key words after the abstract and the illustrations and tables before the references.

comment The manuscript aim to a review of literature and its existence to be taken into account in differential diagnosis of these forms, i suggest to the authors to carry out a revision of the text highlighting better the clinical message of the manuscript and try to strengthen the explanation of the growth pattern of the tumor as a category of the differential diagnosis as well as putting it in comparison with other similar cases in details and making the teaching point more practical.

comment As mentioned above , authors would emphasize in this case report the existence of this clinical feature, generalization results from the fact that this entity , although not described in the literature , exists and should be taken into account in differential diagnosis .

Additional comment Overall, this is well written. I think a few more details would help with this case report.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 70964

Title: An atypical primary malignant melanoma arising from the cervical nerve root: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03967085

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-21 18:16

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-30 21:56

Review time: 9 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title is informative and relevant. The references are relevant and recent. The cited sources are referenced correctly. Appropriate and key studies are included. The introduction reveals what is already known about this topic. The research question is clearly outlined. The case is well-described, the used methods methods for diagnosing and therapy are valid and reliable. The patient data is presented in an appropriate way. The illustrative materials are relevant and clearly presented. Data is discussed from different angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted. The conclusions are supported by references and own results. This paper added to what is already in the topic. The article is consistent within itself. Specific comments on weaknesses of the article and what could be improved: Major points - none Minor points - what is the prognosis of the patient