

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 71020

**Title:** Surgical treatment for a combined ACL and PCL avulsion fracture: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05446574

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-12-07 10:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-08 22:33

**Review time:** 1 Day and 11 Hours

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No   |
| <b>Peer-reviewer</b>      | Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous   |

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Could be (Surgical treatment for combined ACL and PCL avulsion fractures: a case report) 2 Abstract. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript, however, Page 3, Line 14: fixation of huge fracture according to the author's expression by a single screw cannot be described as a rigid fixation, stable fixation could be more appropriate 3 Keywords. The keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 Background. Adequate with a good knowledge gap 5 Case presentation. The details of the patient were thoroughly described. 6 Treatment. Page 7, line 12: Was the procedure done in one session or as a staged procedure? type of anesthesia, tourniquet use was not described. Page 7, line 18 What is the type of (strong ) sutures? What is the technique of suturing? if there is an arthroscopic photo for the avulsion fracture and its repair it will be of value Page 8, line 7:- I guess we can use a more humble word when the postoperative x-ray is described, could be Postoperative plain radiographs revealed satisfactory reduction and fixation How long was the surgery if done as a single-stage? the interval between both procedures if done as a staged procedure? Page 9, Line 5:- What is the reason for a second look arthroscopy? and why the screw was removed if the patient has 100/100 Lysholm score? 7 Discussion. Very well written 8 Illustrations and tables. The table is excellent, more intraoperative photos and a clinical photo for the patient would be very good 9 References. Adequate and most of them are up-to-date 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Very good presentation with acceptable language, only minor punctuation are required

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 71020

**Title:** Surgical treatment for a combined ACL and PCL avulsion fracture: a case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02694731

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Adjunct Professor, Doctor, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Switzerland

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-12-09 14:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-20 10:30

**Review time:** 10 Days and 19 Hours

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No   |
| <b>Peer-reviewer</b>      | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous   |



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I do not have not more than two additional comments, please see attached PDF-File