

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 71128

**Title:** Multicentric reticulohistiocytosis with prominent skin lesions and arthritis: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05850740

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-03

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-09-18 04:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-09-26 13:39

**Review time:** 8 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This paper describes a typical case of multicentric reticulohistiocytosis (MRH) in a Chinese man. The manuscript is well-structured and adequately covers relevant literature on MRH. The topic is not novel but is nevertheless educational. Incomplete follow-up and open-ended case outcomes is the main limitation of the case. I have two suggestions. 1. I suggest the author summarize the characteristics of MRH in a table, with comparison to common differential diagnosis, e.g. RA, PsA, DM. This would make it easier for clinicians to understand and apply the knowledge in daily clinical practice. 2. Please elaborate more on MRH in Asian people - what are the characteristics of MRH in this specific population, in contrast to European patients?