

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71355

Title: Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor after breast prosthesis: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03470893

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DVM, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-06 15:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-11 03:01

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is indeed an interesting CASE, and the author also discusses related literature on this topic. It is suggested that more diagnosis suggestions can be made for this kind of case in the future. After all, the routine examination of blood can not be diagnosed.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71355

Title: Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor after breast prosthesis: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05764344

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-12 20:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-12 20:56

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- Do you recommend any additional imaging modality after ultrasonography and color Doppler study before surgical intervention for such cases? - At discussion: please add the number of reference after the name of authors (Kovach et al.).