

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71426

Title: Anesthesia management for cesarean section in a pregnant woman with odontogenic infections

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04026023

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-09 09:21

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-09 10:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe an interesting report of emergency caesarean section in parturient with masseter space infection. The article is overall well written. Please change intraspinal anaesthesia to spinal anesthesia or subarachnoid block which is a standard term. The discussion should be continuous and not under subsections. In title instead of odontogenic infection, maxillofacial infection would be better, also include difficult airway in title. Some language improvement will increase the quality of the manuscript. In report mention the airway assessment in greater details and mention that she was explained the pro-cons of various anaesthesia techniques. The article should focus more on the assessment and formulating plans for the airway management. The discussion should include pro-con of intubation using awake fiberoptic versus spinal anaesthesia in this case. Dose of 3ml bupivacaine is very high for pregnant patient, please explain the rationale, especially if a CSE technique was used. instead of lumbar epidural combined block, use the term 'combined spinal epidural block' which is a standard term. you have not mentioned the type of needles used for the block, whether a combined spinal epidural was used or separate spaces were used, mention the needle types, gauges and manufacturer

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71426

Title: Anesthesia management for cesarean section in a pregnant woman with odontogenic infections

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05230016

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-10 05:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-12 09:00

Review time: 2 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript describes an interesting case of a pregnant lady with odontogenic infection scheduled for cesarean section. The decision making and anesthetic plan is appropriate, though nothing new has been done. Still, this manuscript highlights the various preparations to be made in such a case and can be helpful. Following points raised in the manuscript need to be addressed 1. Few Grammatical errors mentioned in the edited document. 2. The dose of 0.5% Bupivacaine used in subarachnoid block needs to be justified. 3. Outcome of the fetus needs to be highlighted.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71426

Title: Anesthesia management for cesarean section in a pregnant woman with odontogenic infections

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05230016

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 07:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-24 07:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A few grammatical errors are still present, which may be corrected at the time of proof reading. The manuscript is interesting and concise.