

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 71429

Title: Erratum: Author's research-fund support notation correction. Mass forming chronic pancreatitis mimicking pancreatic cystic neoplasm: A case report (World J Gastroenterology 2018; Jan 14; 23 (2): (297-302)

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02648349

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor, Research Dean

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-09 00:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-09 00:05

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The correction can be published.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 71429

Title: Erratum: Author's research-fund support notation correction. Mass forming chronic pancreatitis mimicking pancreatic cystic neoplasm: A case report (World J Gastroenterology 2018; Jan 14; 23 (2): (297-302) Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 03766000 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Assistant Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-08 10:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-14 07:20

Review time: 5 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The COI statement is OK.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 71429

Title: Erratum: Author's research-fund support notation correction. Mass forming chronic pancreatitis mimicking pancreatic cystic neoplasm: A case report (World J Gastroenterology 2018; Jan 14; 23 (2): (297-302)

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06103939

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-07 20:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-14 16:37

Review time: 6 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I understand the need to include the information of the funding source in the publication, but I do not think that it should be included in the section "Conflict of interests" (unless there are a specific reason for that). In general whatever is disclosed in that section indicates that there could be biases in the research due to some personal interest towards a particular result. To include funding information from a university research fund, the section "Supported by" is preferred. For instance, in a recent paper in this journal, https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i33/5575.htm it indicates the grant that funded the research in the section: Supported by: The Camden Health Research Initiative grant to Sangita Phadtare. And without any Conflict of Interest.