PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71529

Title: Aseptic abscess in the abdominal wall accompanied by monoclonal gammopathy simulating the local recurrence of rectal cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02823583

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-29 07:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-29 07:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors,   Thank you for an interesting case report. Rare cases are good to be discussed as it can ease diagnosis for colleagues in the future. I have one minor commend: The section final diagnosis could possibly be moved after the treatment section as before that you possibly did not have a certain diagnosis.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous   [   ] Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: [   ] Yes   [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have only a few technical comments. In the downloaded version of the manuscript, there is a huge number of missing spaces, while several words merged into one large one, which made it extremely difficult to read the manuscript.   In the Reference section, I noticed that several Journals were named incorrectly (Springer - Springerplus is right, Ref. 1; Bmc Cancer - BMC Cancer is right, Ref. 7; Bmc Infect Dis - BMC... is right, Ref. 11; Acg - ACG is right, Ref. 13). Otherwise, the article is interesting, well written, and contains very convincing images.