

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71644

Title: Glomangiomatosis: case report with immunohistochemical study and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02936529

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCS (Hon), MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-17 13:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-19 13:12

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Glomangiomatosis: case report with immunohistochemical study and literature review The article describes a case with many nodular masses in the soft tissues of the right foot and calf, with a review of the Chinese and English-language literature. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript and the abstract summarize the work described in the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describe the background of the subject and quality of case presentation is adequate. In resume, it is writen concisely and coherently presented. The manuscript interpret the findings adequately and clearly and logically. The authors discuss the relevance to clinical practice sufficiently and illustrations and tables are illustrative. The manuscript cite appropriately references in the introduction and discussion sections. In resume, it is a well-written review, the authors clearly summarized the case, and the importance of discussing such a rare disease. The only suggestion is english polishing of the manuscript, mainly concordances.