World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2022 March 7; 28(9): 881-975





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 9 March 7, 2022

REVIEW

881 Innate and adaptive immune escape mechanisms of hepatitis B virus Zhao HJ, Hu YF, Han QJ, Zhang J

MINIREVIEWS

- 897 Dualistic role of platelets in living donor liver transplantation: Are they harmful? Liang C, Takahashi K, Furuya K, Ohkohchi N, Oda T
- 909 Applications of endoscopic ultrasound elastography in pancreatic diseases: From literature to real life Conti CB. Mulinacci G. Salerno R. Dinelli ME. Grassia R

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

918 Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator prevents ischemia/reperfusion induced intestinal apoptosis via inhibiting PI3K/AKT/NF-кВ pathway

Dong ZW, Liu H, Su FF, Fan XZ, Zhang Y, Liu P

Retrospective Cohort Study

933 Sex-based differences in histology, staging, and prognosis among 2983 gastric cancer surgery patients

Choi Y, Kim N, Kim KW, Jo HH, Park J, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, Lee DH, Oh HJ, Lee HS, Park YS, Ahn SH, Suh YS, Park DJ, Kim HH, Kim JW, Kim JW, Lee KW, Chang W, Park JH, Lee YJ, Lee KH, Kim YH

Retrospective Study

948 Postoperative morbidity adversely impacts oncological prognosis after curative resection for hilar cholangiocarcinoma

Liu ZP, Chen WY, Zhang YQ, Jiang Y, Bai J, Pan Y, Zhong SY, Zhong YP, Chen ZY, Dai HS

Observational Study

Relationship between clinical remission of perianal fistulas in Crohn's disease and serum adalimumab 961 concentrations: A multi-center cross-sectional study

Sirmai L, Pelletier AL, Gault N, Zallot C, Bouguen G, Bouchard D, Roland Nicaise P, Peyneau M, Sironneau S, Bittencourt MDC, Petitcollin A, Fernandez P, Roblin X, Siproudhis L, Abramowitz L

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

973 Radiomics-clinical nomogram for response to chemotherapy in synchronous liver metastasis of colorectal cancer: Good, but not good enough

Yan H. Yu TN



Contents

Weekly Volume 28 Number 9 March 7, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Shozo Osera, MD, PhD, Chief Physician, Department of Gastroenterology, Saku Central Hospital Advanced Care Center, 3400-28, Nakagomi, Saku 385-0051, Nagano, Japan. shou0122@hotmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.79; IF without journal self cites: 5.590; 5-year IF: 5.044; Ranking: 28 among 92 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2020 is 6.9 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: Gastroenterology is 19/136.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Ze-Mao Gong.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
March 7, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WÜ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2022 March 7; 28(9): 933-947

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i9.933

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Sex-based differences in histology, staging, and prognosis among 2983 gastric cancer surgery patients

Yonghoon Choi, Nayoung Kim, Ki Wook Kim, Hyeong Ho Jo, Jaehyung Park, Hyuk Yoon, Cheol Min Shin, Young Soo Park, Dong Ho Lee, Hyeon Jeong Oh, Hye Seung Lee, Young Suk Park, Sang-Hoon Ahn, Yun-Suhk Suh, Do Joong Park, Hyung-Ho Kim, Ji-Won Kim, Jin Won Kim, Keun-Wook Lee, Won Chang, Ji Hoon Park, Yoon Jin Lee, Kyoung Ho Lee, Young Hoon Kim

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Xu JB, Yu F

Received: September 19, 2021 Peer-review started: September 19, 2021 First decision: November 7, 2021 Revised: November 8, 2021 Accepted: January 27, 2022 Article in press: January 27, 2022 Published online: March 7, 2022



Yonghoon Choi, Nayoung Kim, Ki Wook Kim, Hyeong Ho Jo, Jaehyung Park, Hyuk Yoon, Cheol Min Shin, Young Soo Park, Dong Ho Lee, Ji-Won Kim, Jin Won Kim, Keun-Wook Lee, Department ofInternal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 13620, South Korea

Nayoung Kim, Dong Ho Lee, Keun-Wook Lee, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, South Korea

Hyeon Jeong Oh, Department of Pathology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 13620, South Korea

Hye Seung Lee, Department of Pathology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, South Korea

Young Suk Park, Sang-Hoon Ahn, Yun-Suhk Suh, Hyung-Ho Kim, Department of Surgery, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 13620, South Korea

Yun-Suhk Suh, Do Joong Park, Hyung-Ho Kim, Department of Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, South Korea

Won Chang, Ji Hoon Park, Yoon Jin Lee, Kyoung Ho Lee, Young Hoon Kim, Department of Radiology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam 13620, South Korea

Kyoung Ho Lee, Young Hoon Kim, Department of Radiology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, South Korea

Corresponding author: Nayoung Kim, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, 82, Gumi-ro 173 Beon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13620, South Korea. nakim49@snu.ac.kr

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Few studies have been conducted on sex differences in the incidence, pathophysiology, and prognosis of gastric cancer (GC).



AIM

To analyze the differences in GC characteristics according to sex in patients who underwent surgical treatment for GC.

METHODS

A total of 2983 patients diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma who received surgical treatment at the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital between 2003 and 2017 were included. Baseline clinicopathological characteristics, histologic type of GC, overall and GC-specific survival rates, and associated risk factors were analyzed.

RESULTS

Among the 2983 patients, 2005 (67.2%) and 978 (32.8%) were males and females, respectively. The average age of the female group (59.36 years) was significantly younger than that of the male group (61.66 years; P < 0.001). Cancer of the gastric body (P < 0.001) and diffuse-type histology (P< 0.001) were more common in females than in males. This trend was more prominent in females younger than 60 years of age, with a significantly higher proportion of diffuse-type cancer than in the male group. Regardless of sex, diffuse-type GC was more common in younger patients, and the proportion of intestinal-type GC increased with age. The overall survival rate was significantly higher in females (P < 0.001). However, this difference disappeared for GC-specific survival (P =0.168), except for the poor GC-specific survival rate in advanced-stage cancer (stage III or above) in females (P = 0.045). The risk factors for GC-related mortality were older age, upper location of GC, and diffuse- or mixed-type histology. In terms of comorbidities, more males died from diseases other than GC, including other malignancies such as lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and pancreatic cancer, and respiratory diseases such as interstitial lung disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, while there were relatively more cardiovascular or cerebrovascular deaths in females.

CONCLUSION

Sex-based differences in GC were observed in clinicopathological features, including age at diagnosis, tumor location, histologic type, survival rate, and comorbidities.

Key Words: Gastric cancer; Histology; Prognosis; Sex difference; Survival

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In the analyses of sex differences in gastric cancer (GC), the sex ratio between males and females was 2:1, but the incidence of diffuse-type cancer was higher in females until the age of 60 years. The average age of the female group was significantly younger, and cancer of the gastric body and diffusetype histology were more common than those in the males. In addition, there was poor GC-specific survival rate in advanced-stage cancer in females, while comorbidities including cancers of other organs and respiratory diseases were more common in males.

Citation: Choi Y, Kim N, Kim KW, Jo HH, Park J, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, Lee DH, Oh HJ, Lee HS, Park YS, Ahn SH, Suh YS, Park DJ, Kim HH, Kim JW, Kim JW, Lee KW, Chang W, Park JH, Lee YJ, Lee KH, Kim YH. Sex-based differences in histology, staging, and prognosis among 2983 gastric cancer surgery patients. World J Gastroenterol 2022; 28(9): 933-947

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i9/933.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i9.933

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is the fifth most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide[1]. Age-standardized incidence rates are approximately twice as high in males than in females[2]. Major risk factors for developing GC include Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection, family history of GC, dietary habits, ionizing radiation, smoking, alcohol, and pernicious anemia, and the difference in incidence between males and females is likely due to the difference in exposure to these risk factors[3-5]. However, these factors alone do not fully explain the different characteristics of GC between the sexes. Recent research has revealed the role of sex hormones in various diseases and the resulting sex differences. It is well known that sex differences exist in the location and prognosis of



various cancers, including colorectal cancer^[6,7], renal cell carcinoma^[8], and bladder cancer^[9]. In addition, sex differences are also known in central nervous system diseases such as cognitive disorders, Alzheimer's disease^[10], Parkinson's disease^[11], and autoimmune diseases^[12]. However, the role of sex hormones such as testosterone and estrogen in the etiology, response to therapy, and survival of patients with GC, and the involved mechanisms and pathways remain unclear. Also, few studies to date have detailed the epidemiological and prognostic differences in GC between males and females.

Lauren classification is an independent prognostic factor in patients with GC[13]. That is, intestinaltype GC shows better clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis than diffuse-type GC. Diffusetype cancer exhibits a higher recurrence rate than intestinal-type cancer, and the clinical appearance and survival of mixed-type cancers are known to be similar to those of diffuse-type GC[14]. Environmental factors reportedly play an important role in the development of intestinal-type versus diffuse-type GC [15]. There is also a sex-based difference in the histologic type of GC. That is, there is a marked predominance of older age and male sex in intestinal-type GC and a younger female predominance in diffusetype GC. Younger female patients seem to exhibit a higher percentage of diffuse-type GC, resulting in more aggressive tumor behavior^[14]; therefore, treatment methods may vary according to the Lauren type[16]. From this point of view, we hypothesized that an accurate analysis of sex-based differences in GC is possible in a well-designed surgical cohort with regular follow-up observations, clear histologic results, accurate information on family history, and social history such as smoking and alcohol consumption. Based on this background, the aim of this study was to analyze the sex-based differences in clinicopathological features and staging in GC, and to investigate prognostic factors including survival and death.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Initially, 3074 patients aged > 18 years were selected from a prospective surgical cohort of patients who were diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma and underwent surgical treatment at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (SNUBH) between 2003 and 2017 (Figure 1). Analyses of the effects of H. pylori eradication treatment, P53 overexpression and the incidence of metachronous GC in this cohort were previously published by our team[17-19]. The following patients were excluded: those with incomplete medical records or unclassified histology, who were lost to follow-up, had a prior history of other cancers at the time of diagnosis, or those who had other diseases with inoperable severity were excluded from the study. Finally, 2983 patients were included in the analysis (Figure 1). The medical records of these patients, including sex, age, death (including cause), histologic type of cancer, and social history such as alcohol consumption, smoking, and family history of GC were collected from surgical and medical cohorts, and reviewed using the Clinical Data Warehouse. The dates and causes of death of the enrolled patients were cross-reviewed with data from the National Statistical Office for verification.

Statistical analysis

The outcomes were overall survival and GC-specific survival. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses were used to identify risk factors, and variables with a P value < 0.2 in the univariate analyses, were used as covariates for the multivariate analysis. The Kaplan-Meier estimator method and log-rank tests were used to compare survival. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 25.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All data are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Ethical considerations

The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of SNUBH (IRB No. B-1902-523-107) and registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT03978481). All authors have access to the study data and have approved the final manuscript.

RESULTS

Baseline clinicopathological characteristics

The baseline clinicopathological features of the subjects are shown in Table 1. Of the 2983 patients, 2005 were males and 978 were females, indicating a 2:1 sex ratio, with an average age of 61.66 for males and 59.36 for females, indicating a significantly younger onset age in females (P < 0.001). A higher proportion of males had a history of alcohol consumption and smoking (drinking history, P < 0.001; smoking history, P < 0.001). Cancer of the gastric body and diffuse-type cancer were more common in females (tumor location, P < 0.001; histologic type, P < 0.001, respectively). Overexpression of P53 was more common in males than in females (P < 0.001). There were no differences in family history, cancer



Table 1 Clinicopathological features of patients with gastric cancer				
Characteristics	Total (<i>N</i> = 2983)	Female (<i>n</i> = 978)	Male (<i>n</i> = 2005)	P value
Age (yr, mean ± SD)	60.91 ± 12.31	59.36 ± 13.47	61.66 ± 11.63	< 0.001 ^a
Drinking history, <i>n</i> (%)				
No	1631 (54.7)	790 (80.8)	841 (41.9)	< 0.001 ^a
Yes	1352 (45.3)	188 (19.2)	1164 (58.1)	
Smoking history, n (%)				
No	1645 (55.1)	902 (92.2)	743 (37.1)	< 0.001 ^a
Yes	1338 (44.9)	76 (7.8)	1262 (62.9)	
Family history, n (%)				
No	2467 (82.7)	803 (82.1)	1664 (83.0)	0.548
Yes	516 (17.3)	175 (17.9)	341 (17.0)	
Tumor location, n (%)				
Upper	77 (2.6)	19 (2.0)	58 (2.9)	< 0.001 ^a
Middle	1332 (44.6)	497 (50.8)	835 (41.6)	
Lower	1574 (52.8)	462 (47.2)	1112 (55.5)	
Atrophic gastritis, <i>n</i> (%)				
No	2162 (72.5)	751 (76.8)	1411 (70.4)	< 0.001 ^a
Yes	821 (27.5)	227 (23.2)	594 (29.6)	
Intestinal metaplasia, n (%)				
No	1680 (56.3)	560 (57.3)	1120 (55.9)	0.469
Yes	1303 (43.7)	418 (42.7)	885 (44.1)	
T stage, <i>n</i> (%)				
T1	2134 (71.5)	696 (71.2)	1438 (71.7)	0.669
Τ2	330 (11.1)	102 (10.4)	228 (11.4)	
Т3	420 (14.1)	144 (14.7)	276 (13.8)	
Τ4	99 (3.3)	36 (3.7)	63 (3.1)	
N stage, <i>n</i> (%)				
N0	2215 (74.3)	696 (71.2)	1519 (75.7)	0.014 ^a
N1	423 (14.2)	163 (16.7)	260 (13.0)	
N2	174 (5.8)	66 (6.7)	108 (5.4)	
N3	171 (5.7)	53 (5.4)	118 (5.9)	
Stage, <i>n</i> (%)				
Ι	2312 (77.5)	743 (76.0)	1569 (78.3)	0.189
Ш	405 (13.6)	151 (15.4)	254 (12.7)	
ш	212 (7.1)	69 (7.1)	143 (7.1)	
IV	54 (1.8)	15 (1.5)	39 (1.9)	
Cancer type, n (%)				
EGC	2133 (71.5)	696 (71.2)	1437 (71.7)	0.774
AGC	850 (28.5)	282 (28.8)	568 (28.3)	
Histologic type, n (%) (Lauren classification)				
Intestinal	1843 (61.8)	447 (45.7)	1396 (69.6)	< 0.001 ^a
Diffuse	1014 (34.0)	494 (50.5)	520 (25.9)	



Mixed	126 (4.2)	37 (3.8)	89 (4.5)	
H. pylori status, n (%)				
Negative	1267 (42.5)	379 (38.8)	888 (44.3)	0.004 ^a
Positive	1716 (57.5)	599 (61.2)	1117 (55.7)	
P53, n (%)				
Negative	1917 (64.3)	706 (72.2)	1211 (60.4)	< 0.001 ^a
Positive	1066 (35.7)	272 (27.8)	794 (39.6)	

^aP < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. SD: Standard deviation; EGC: Early gastric cancer; AGC: Advanced gastric cancer; H. pylori: Helicobacter pylori.



Figure 1 Study flow chart of patient enrollment and exclusion process. GC: Gastric cancer.

staging, or *H. pylori* infection at the time of diagnosis between males and females (family history, P = 0.548; cancer stage, P = 0.189; and *H. pylori* status, P = 0.062, respectively).

Differences in cancer histology by sex and age

To identify the histological changes in GC by age, the entire group of patients was divided into groups of under 40, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70+ years, and the trend of an increasing percentage of intestinal-type cancers with age, in both males and females, was noted (Supplementary Table 1). Considering the number of patients and histological ratios, there were more female patients under the age of 40 years and older male patients (Supplementary Figure 1). A higher number of female GC patients were under 40 years of age, while diffuse-type cancer was more common in both males and females than the other histological types (Figure 2). Among the male patients, the proportion of intestinal-type cancer remained high at 50-59 years of age (Figure 2). The ratio of intestinal- and diffuse-type GC in females approximately 20 years after menopause was similar to that of male patients aged \geq 70 years (Figure 2).

Meanwhile, a significant correlation was observed between histological type and GC location, with a high ratio of diffuse-type cancer and stomach body cancer in females and a high ratio of intestinal-type cancer and stomach antral cancer in males (Pearson correlation analysis, P < 0.001).

Overall and cancer-specific survival

A statistically significant female predominance was identified in overall survival (P < 0.001), while a non-significant male predominance was identified in GC-specific survival (Figure 3). Increasing age, proximal tumor location, and diffuse- or mixed-type histology were identified as risk factors for GC-related morbidity (Table 2). In terms of cancer stage, there were no significant differences in patients

arishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate analyses for gastric cancer related morbidity				
Variable	Univariate analysis	P value	Multivariate analysis	P value
Sex				
Male	Ref	0.169	Ref	0.672
Female	1.22 (0.92-1.61)		1.06 (0.80-1.42)	
Age				
< 60	Ref	0.001 ^a	Ref	< 0.001 ^a
≥ 60	1.64 (1.23-2.18)		2.02 (1.50-2.73)	
Drinking history				
No	Ref	0.996		
Yes	1.00 (0.76-1.32)			
Smoking history				
No	Ref	0.283		
Yes	1.16 (0.88-1.53)			
Family history				
No	Ref	0.189	Ref	0.165
Yes	0.77 (0.51-1.14)		0.75 (0.51-1.12)	
Tumor location				
Upper	Ref	0.003 ^a	Ref	< 0.001 ^a
Middle	1.65 (0.41-6.71)		1.40 (0.34-5.71)	
Lower	2.61 (0.65-10.54)		2.63 (0.65-10.64)	
Atrophic gastritis				
No	Ref	0.871		
Yes	0.97 (0.71-1.34)			
Intestinal metaplasia				
No	Ref	0.412		
Yes	0.89 (0.67-1.18)			
Histologic type (Lauren classification)				
Intestinal	Ref	< 0.001 ^a	Ref	< 0.001 ^a
Diffuse	2.16 (1.62-2.89)		3.07 (2.25-4.19)	
Mixed	2.25 (1.29-3.92)		2.50 (1.43-4.35)	
P53				
Negative	Ref	0.651		
Positive	1.07 (0.80-1.42)			

 $^{a}P < 0.05$ indicates statistical significance.

P < 0.2 were used for multivariable analyses.

with stage I or II GC, whereas a statistically significant male predominance was observed in patients with advanced-stage cancer (stage III or above, P = 0.045; Figure 4). Histologically, patients with intestinal-type GC had a significantly higher survival rate than those with diffuse-type GC, and there were no statistically significant differences between males and females in intestinal- or diffuse-type GC (Supplementary Figure 2).

In the assessment of comorbidities, we investigated sex-based causes of death. Patients with a prior history of other cancers at the time of diagnosis or having severe diseases with inoperable conditions were excluded from the study, as mentioned above. Among the patients, 453 died including 135 males (6.7%) and 86 females (8.8%) died of GC. Significantly more males died from diseases other than GC (193 males and 39 females). In males, there were more deaths from malignancies such as lung cancer,





Figure 2 Proportion of histological types of gastric cancer according to sex and age. The trend of an increasing proportion of intestinal-type cancers with increasing age was observed in both males and females. In males, the proportion of intestinal-type cancer increased steeply from an age of 50 years. In females, the proportion of diffuse-type cancer remained high until 60 years of age. The ratio of intestinal- and diffuse-type gastric cancer in females became similar to that of male patients aged 70 years or older, about 20 years after menopause.



Figure 3 Survival according to sex and initial cancer stage. (A) Overall and (B) gastric cancer-specific survival. A statistically significant female predominance in overall survival was identified (P < 0.001), while a non-significant male predominance was identified in gastric cancer-specific survival. P values were calculated using the log-rank test.

> hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic cancer, and respiratory diseases such as interstitial lung disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, while there were relatively more cardiovascular or cerebrovascular deaths in females. Details regarding these are given in Supplementary Tables 2-4.

Subgroup analyses by sex and histology

The results of the subgroup analyses based on sex and histology are presented in Table 3 and Supplementary Table 3. In females, intestinal-type GC was associated with older age and a family history of GC, while diffuse-type GC was associated with younger age and P53 negativity. In males, intestinal-type GC was associated with older age, while diffuse-type GC tended to be associated with younger age and smoking history.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to provide evidence of sex differences in GC with exact histologic diagnosis and long-term follow-up in nearly 3000 patients. In our data, a statistically significant overall survival benefit in females and a non-significant GC-specific survival in males were



Table 3 Clinicopathological features by sex and histologic type Intestinal type Diffuse type Characteristics Female (n = 447) Male (n = 1396) P value Female (n = 494) Male (n = 520) P value Age (yr, mean ± SD) 65.72 ± 10.71 64.06 ± 10.34 0.792 53.60 ± 13.06 55.82 ± 12.48 0.229 Drinking history, n (%) < 0.001^a < 0.001^a No 385 (86.1) 619 (44.3) 374 (75.7) 183 (35.2) 337 (64.8) Yes 62 (13.9) 777 (55.7) 120 (24.3) Smoking history, n (%) < 0.001^a < 0.001^a No 420 (94.0) 530 (38.0) 447 (90.5) 175 (33.7) Yes 27 (6.0) 866 (62.0) 47 (9.5) 345 (66.3) Family history, n (%) No 348 (77.9) 1138 (81.5) 0.088 419 (84.8) 447 (86.0) 0.606 99 (22.1) 258 (18.5) 75 (15.2) 73 (14.0) Yes Tumor location, n (%) 44 (3.2) 0.053 10 (1.9) < 0.001^a Upper 12 (2.7) 7 (1.4) 139 (31.1) Middle 517 (37.0) 334 (67.6) 284 (54.6) 296 (66.2) 835 (59.8) 153 (31.0) 226 (43.5) Lower Atrophic gastritis, n (%) No 320 (71.6) 951 (68.1) 0.168 400 (81.0) 389 (74.8) 0.018^a Yes 127 (28.4) 445 (31.9) 94 (19.0) 131 (25.2) Intestinal metaplasia, n (%) No 229 (51.2) 751 (53.8) 0.344 303 (61.3) 306 (58.8) 0.418 Yes 218 (48.8) 645 (46.2) 191 (38.7) 214 (41.2) T stage, *n* (%) T1 355 (79.4) 1087 (77.9) 0.529 314 (63.6) 305 (58.6) 0.445 T2 40 (9.0) 138 (9.9) 57 (11.5) 66 (12.7) T3 40 (9.0) 145 (10.4) 99 (20.0) 118 (22.7) T4 12 (2.6) 26 (1.8) 24 (4.9) 31 (6.0) N stage, n (%) N0 356 (79.6) 1140 (81.7) 0.745 319 (64.6) 335 (64.4) 0.055 149 (10.7) N1 56 (12.5) 97 (19.6) 85 (16.4) N2 20 (4.5) 62 (4.4) 42 (8.5) 38 (7.3) N3 15 (3.4) 45 (3.2) 36 (7.3) 62 (11.9) Stage, n (%) 1171 (83.9) 0.152 Ι 375 (83.9) 0.49 342 (69.2) 347 (66.7) II 47 (10.5) 142 (10.2) 96 (19.5) 89 (17.1) III 23 (5.1) 65 (4.6) 43 (8.7) 66 (12.7) IV 2 (0.5) 18 (1.3) 13 (2.6) 18 (3.5) Cancer type, n (%) EGC 355 (79.4) 1086 (77.8) 0.469 314 (63.6) 305 (58.7) 0.109 AGC 92 (20.6) 310 (22.2) 180 (36.4) 215 (41.3) P53, n (%) < 0.001^a Negative 293 (65.5) 770 (55.2) 385 (77.9) 393 (75.6) 0.374



Positive	154 (34.5)	626 (44.8)	109 (22.1)	127 (24.4)	
----------	------------	------------	------------	------------	--

A В 1.0 1.0 Male 1 Male Female Female 0.9 0.9 P = 0.2730.8 0.8 0.7 07 P = 0.8850.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 100 150 200 50 100 150 200 0 50 n Survival (mo) Survival (mo) Number at risk Number at risk Male 93 13 206 79 Male 1110 514 Female 124 69 17 Female 578 293 52 С 1.0 1 Male Female 0.8 0.5 0.4 0.2 P = 0.0450.0 50 100 200 0 150 Survival (mo) Number at risk Male 106 26 4 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i9.933 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. 41 15 2 Female

^aP < 0.05 indicates statistical significance. SD: Standard deviation; EGC: Early gastric cancer; AGC: Advanced gastric cancer.

Figure 4 Gastric cancer-specific survival in (A) stage I, (B) stage II, and (C) stage above III. There were no significant differences between males and females in stages I and II, but a statistically significant male predominance was observed in advanced-stage cancer (stage III or above, P = 0.045). P values were calculated using the log-rank test.

> observed. In both males and females, a high proportion of diffuse-type cancers was observed among younger patients, while intestinal-type cancer became more prominent with increasing age. However, more females of all ages had diffuse-type cancer, while the ratio of diffuse-type to intestinal-type cancer was higher in females until the age of 60 years. In addition, the incidence of higher proportion of diffuse-type and gastric body cancers in females, compared to intestinal-type and antral cancers in males could be the reasons for higher N stage and poor GC-specific survival in females. Furthermore, there were also differences in comorbidities, including causes of death other than GC, between males and females.

> There are few studies on the prognosis of GC by sex, and sex-based differences in GC are not clear, as in other cancers such as colorectal cancer [6,7]. A recent study based on the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database in the United States reported survival advantages in females[20]. A female advantage was observed in both overall survival and GC-specific survival, and the prognosis of GC and the risk of developing GC were significantly worse in males than in females in that study, so the authors insisted on the necessity for early intervention in high-risk male patients due to their relatively poor prognosis^[20]. However, this difference from our results could be due to a difference in the histologic type of GC, with a higher proportion of adenocarcinoma and a lower proportion of signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC), especially in females. In a large meta-analysis of data obtained from the Korea Central Cancer Registry and National Statistical Office reported by Song et al[21], the prognosis of female GC patients was also better than that of male GC patients, with differential incidence and

mortality patterns among age groups. However, females tend to have a worse prognosis when they are diagnosed later than 40 years of age. In that study, the histologic type or anatomic subsites of GC could not be identified. Since the 2000s, many early GC (EGC) patients have been identified and treated through a national endoscopic surveillance project in Korea, possibly showing different results from data prior to the 2000s[22]. Contrary to earlier results, a recent study in Korea reported poor prognosis in females^[23], similar to the present study. The authors concluded that female GC patients were significantly younger, had more poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas, and were more likely to have SRC than male GC patients. In addition, females with advanced GC (AGC) and SRC had significantly poorer overall survival rates. In our data, among patients with advanced-stage disease (stage III or above), females had significantly lower GC-specific survival rates than males. In our data, the ratio of diffuse-type (undifferentiated) GC was relatively high, especially among younger females. Diffuse-type GC is known to be related to genetic factors such as E-cadherin mutations, feature a poorer prognosis due to rapid growth and poor treatment response, and is generally more common in younger patients [24,25]. Comparing the characteristics of GC in Korea and the United States, more upper-third and differentiated cancers were observed in the United States, while Korean patients showed fewer upperthird cancers with poorer cancer differentiation, deeper invasion, and poorer prognosis; hence, a difference in GC characteristics between Korean and United States populations is suspected[26].

The same results have been shown in previous studies in relation to histologic type and GC location, as more diffuse-type, gastric body location cases were noted in females versus more intestinal-type, stomach antrum location cases in males[27,28]. Based on previous reports on GC location, the distribution was reported as cardia 4%-8%, body 15%-30%, and antrum 60%-80% in a study of EGC in Korea [29]. In another Korean study of patients who underwent endoscopic resection for EGC, the most common location for EGC was the antrum (57.5%) and lesser curvature (37.8%), and body cancers were associated with younger patient age, larger tumor size, and more frequent poorly differentiated or SRC histology than cancers at other sites[30]. Our data are consistent with those of the aforementioned studies, and this relation to histologic type and GC location is believed to be due to differences in the composition of gastric mucous cells, such as the gastric body with a large distribution of parietal cells and the antrum with a large distribution of G cells[31].

The effects of sex hormones may cause this sex-based difference in GC. Epidemiological studies have reported that exogenous sex hormone exposure reduces the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma[32,33] and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma^[34], and a decrease in the risk of GC^[35] and colorectal cancer [33,36] have been reported in females taking oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy. A large cohort study in Japan also reported that females in early menarche had a decreased risk of GC, especially differentiated-type GC, in subgroup analyses of histologic subtypes[37]. In addition, in a Chinese study of approximately 2000 surgically treated GC patients, the proportion of female GC patients showed a decreasing tendency, and the proportion of male GC patients showed an increasing tendency with age, but this trend stopped after 60 years of age[38]. Furthermore, a recent study in Korea reported that no premenopausal females had intestinal-type GC and that the ratio of intestinal-type GC increased in females after menopause and became similar to that of males about 10 years after menopause; this parity was associated with an increased risk of intestinal-type GC in females after menopause^[27].

These results suggest that estrogen plays a role in curbing the development of GC in females, especially in intestinal-type GC. However, the specific mechanisms of estrogen in different histologic subtypes have not yet been established. Several studies have attempted to explain this by investigating the role of estrogen receptors (ER) in GC. First, Yi *et al*[39] showed that ERα expression was associated with diffuse-type GC and shorter disease-free survival. Wang et al [40] reported that well-differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma has a higher expression rate of $ER\beta$ and that poorly differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma is associated with a reduction or loss of $ER\beta$. According to previous studies, diffusetype GC may be initiated by the downregulation of E-cadherin by 17β-estradiol (E2), the most potent isoform of estrogen, through $ER\alpha[41-43]$.

In addition to the action of estrogen, Gan *et al*[44] reported that the four sex hormone receptors, ER α , $ER\beta$, progesterone receptor, and androgen receptor (AR), were expressed independently and showed a decreased expression pattern in gastric tumors compared to adjacent normal tissues, suggesting that sex hormone receptors may be partly involved in gastric carcinogenesis. Jukic et al[2] reported a significantly higher frequency of cases with AR-positive cells in the stroma of intestinal-type GC in males than in females, which may be the reason for the greater invasiveness of this cancer type in males and presented the possibility of AR-targeted agents in GC treatment. Another study by Hsu et al[45] showed that males were more likely to develop tumor recurrence and liver metastasis than females, especially in cases of stage III GC. The authors suggested that the cause was higher programmed death ligand 1 expression in males and GC patients aged 65 years or older, and supporting data suggest that sex hormones are the basis of these differences [46,47].

The changes in the proportion of intestinal-type and diffuse-type cancers in the present study suggest that estrogen might have a protective effect on intestinal-type GC[27,28]. Thus, intestinal-type GC is much less common in young females than in males, and the prevalence of intestinal-type GC increases in females after menopause, which is likely to be similar to males about 20 years after menopause according to our data (approximately 70 years of age). Additional in-depth studies are needed to



confirm the role of sex hormones, including estrogen, in the pathogenesis and progression of GC, depending on the tissue type.

The pattern of P53 overexpression also differed by sex; P53 overexpression was more frequent in males and intestinal-type GC patients. In our previous report on P53 overexpression, the clinical and prognostic significance differed by histological type of GC; P53 overexpression was more common in intestinal-type GC, but was associated with a poor prognosis for diffuse-type GC[18]. Therefore, it is also likely to act as a factor that affects GC prognosis differently in males and females.

Our study has several limitations. First, the enrolled subjects were patients who underwent surgical treatment after receiving a diagnosis of GC; therefore, early cases treated with endoscopic resection and advanced inoperable cases were not included. Hence, in terms of GC-related survival and mortality, the data from our study are likely to be slightly different from those of all patients with GC. To compensate for this limitation, we are conducting a follow-up study of patients diagnosed with and treated for over 14000 GC in SNUBH. The results of our data analyses to this point showed no significant differences between males and females according to the treatment method. Second, there are no data on estrogen exposure such as menopause, childbirth, and breastfeeding in this study, making it difficult to provide additional evidence that estrogen has protective effects against intestinal-type cancer. Further research, including a history of sex hormone use, is required. Third, the eradication of *H. pylori* was not confirmed in all patients, although postoperative H. pylori eradication treatment may affect prognosis or survival [17]. In the future, additional research is needed that considers both *H. pylori* infection and sex. In contrast, our research has several strengths over existing studies. Studies involving subjects before the year 2000 reported that the prognosis of GC was relatively good in females; however, these studies did not reflect the situation in East Asia, where the prevalence of GC is high[20], or the exact histologic type of GC was not analyzed[21]. A relatively recent large-scale Korean study reflecting histological types reported that the prognosis of GC was poorer in females than in males, similar to our results[23]. In this study, the changes in the histology of GC according to age was examined, and the change in the ratio according to aging and menopause was confirmed, suggesting that female hormones would affect the development and progression of GC. However, we further analyzed the comorbidities of GC patients with respect to survival.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, differences in the epidemiology of GC incidence, including a higher proportion of diffuse-type histology and mortality, and poorer survival in AGC in females, were observed. The proportion of diffuse-type cancer was found to be higher in younger patients, the frequency of intestinal-type histology increased with age, and the ratio of diffuse-type cancer was higher until the age of 60 years in females. Differences in Lauren histologic type and tumor location by sex were also observed, with a high proportion of diffuse-type and gastric body location in females. Comorbidities, including other malignancies and respiratory diseases, are more common in males. These differences may originate from hormonal factors and should be considered in the diagnosis, treatment, and prediction of prognosis of GC in individuals.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Despite the nationwide large-scale screening campaign, the incidence of gastric cancer (GC) in Korea is still high. The incidence is approximately twice as high in males than in females.

Research motivation

However, studies so far have not fully explained the different characteristics of GC between the sexes. These differences might be due to the difference in exposure to the known risk factors for GC, such as frequent Helicobacter pylori infection, smoking, and alcohol consumption in males, but we thought that there is a possibility that sex hormones were based on this difference.

Research objectives

This study aimed to analyze sex-based differences in clinicopathological features, staging, survival, and comorbidities in GC.

Research methods

A total of 2983 patients diagnosed with gastric adenocarcinoma who received surgical treatment at the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital between 2003 and 2017 were included, and clinicopathological characteristics, histologic type of GC, overall and GC-specific survival rates, and associated risk



factors were analyzed.

Research results

The male to female ratio was 2:1, and the average age of the female group was lower than that of the male group. Diffuse-type GC was more common in younger patients, especially in females younger than 60 years of age, and the proportion of intestinal-type GC increased with age. The overall survival rate was significantly higher in females, whereas GC-specific survival tended to be higher in males. Comorbidities, including other malignancies and respiratory diseases, are more common in males.

Research conclusions

Differences in the epidemiology of GC incidence, including a higher proportion of diffuse-type histology, mortality, including poorer survival in the advanced stage in females, and comorbidities were observed. These differences may be due to hormonal factors.

Research perspectives

We believe that a larger study including patients who received non-surgical treatment is needed. Individual sex hormone data, including menopause, childbirth, and breastfeeding, would be analyzed to prove the protective effect of estrogen against intestinal-type GC.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Choi Y analyzed the data, provided statistical support, and drafted the article; Kim N designed this study, collected the data, and edited the manuscript; Kim KW, Jo HH, Park J, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, and Lee DH performed endoscopies for the diagnosis of gastric cancer and edited the text; Park YS, Ahn SH, Suh YS, and Park DJ performed surgeries for gastric cancer; Kim HH kindly provided surgical cohort information, advised on the design of this study and supervised the manuscript preparation; HJO and HSL performed the histologic diagnosis of gastric cancer; Kim JW, Kim JW and Lee KW administered chemotherapy to patients with advanced gastric cancer; and Chang W, Park JH, Lee YJ, Lee KH, and Kim YH performed the radiologic studies; all authors reviewed the final manuscript and provided comments.

Supported by National Research Foundation of Korea.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of SNUBH (IRB No. B-1902-523-107).

Informed consent statement: Patients were not required to give informed consent to the study because the analysis used anonymous clinical data that were obtained after each patient agreed to treatment by written consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

Data sharing statement: To gain access to data, data requestors will need to sign a data access agreement. Proposals should be directed to the Ethics Committee of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement - checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: South Korea

ORCID number: Yonghoon Choi 0000-0002-1331-969X; Nayoung Kim 0000-0002-9397-0406; Ki Wook Kim 0000-0003-3936-2490; Hyeong Ho Jo 0000-0002-4950-5435; Jaehyung Park 0000-0003-1142-264X; Hyuk Yoon 0000-0002-2657-0349; Cheol Min Shin 0000-0003-2265-9845; Young Soo Park 0000-0001-8945-0507; Dong Ho Lee 0000-0002-6376-410X; Hyeon Jeong Oh 0000-0002-9998-3988; Hye Seung Lee 0000-0002-1667-7986; Young Suk Park 0000-0002-6352-9759; Sang-Hoon Ahn 0000-0001-8827-3625; Yun-Suhk Suh 0000-0003-3319-8482; Do Joong Park 0000-0001-9644-6127; Hyung-Ho Kim 0000-0002-8916-0048; Ji-Won Kim 0000-0001-6426-9074; Jin Won Kim 0000-0002-1357-7015; Keun-Wook Lee 0000-0002-8491-703X; Won Chang 0000-0001-7367-9841; Ji Hoon Park 0000-0002-6794-4909; Yoon Jin Lee 0000-0002-3572-029X; Kyoung Ho Lee 0000-0001-6045-765X; Young Hoon Kim 0000-0001-5554-3828.

S-Editor: Yan JP L-Editor: A P-Editor: Yan JP



REFERENCES

Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdel-Rahman O, Abdelalim A, Abdoli A, Abdollahpour I, Abdulle ASM, Abebe ND, Abraha HN, Abu-Raddad LJ, Abualhasan A, Adedeji IA, Advani SM, Afarideh M, Afshari M, Aghaali M, Agius D, Agrawal S, Ahmadi A, Ahmadian E, Ahmadpour E, Ahmed MB, Akbari ME, Akinyemiju T, Al-Aly Z, AlAbdulKader AM, Alahdab F, Alam T, Alamene GM, Alemnew BTT, Alene KA, Alinia C, Alipour V, Aljunid SM, Bakeshei FA, Almadi MAH, Almasi-Hashiani A, Alsharif U, Alsowaidi S, Alvis-Guzman N, Amini E, Amini S, Amoako YA, Anbari Z, Anber NH, Andrei CL, Anjomshoa M, Ansari F, Ansariadi A, Appiah SCY, Arab-Zozani M, Arabloo J, Arefi Z, Aremu O, Areri HA, Artaman A, Asayesh H, Asfaw ET, Ashagre AF, Assadi R, Ataeinia B, Atalay HT, Ataro Z, Atique S, Ausloos M, Avila-Burgos L, Avokpaho EFGA, Awasthi A, Awoke N, Ayala Quintanilla BP, Ayanore MA, Ayele HT, Babaee E, Bacha U, Badawi A, Bagherzadeh M, Bagli E, Balakrishnan S, Balouchi A, Bärnighausen TW, Battista RJ, Behzadifar M, Bekele BB, Belay YB, Belayneh YM, Berfield KKS, Berhane A, Bernabe E, Beuran M, Bhakta N, Bhattacharyya K, Biadgo B, Bijani A, Bin Sayeed MS, Birungi C, Bisignano C, Bitew H, Bjørge T, Bleyer A, Bogale KA, Bojia HA, Borzì AM, Bosetti C, Bou-Orm IR, Brenner H, Brewer JD, Briko AN, Briko NI, Bustamante-Teixeira MT, Butt ZA, Carreras G, Carrero JJ, Carvalho F, Castro C, Castro F, Catalá-López F, Cerin E, Chaiah Y, Chanie WF, Chattu VK, Chaturvedi P, Chauhan NS, Chehrazi M, Chiang PP, Chichiabellu TY, Chido-Amajuoyi OG, Chimed-Ochir O, Choi JJ, Christopher DJ, Chu DT, Constantin MM, Costa VM, Crocetti E, Crowe CS, Curado MP, Dahlawi SMA, Damiani G, Darwish AH, Daryani A, das Neves J, Demeke FM, Demis AB, Demissie BW, Demoz GT, Denova-Gutiérrez E, Derakhshani A, Deribe KS, Desai R, Desalegn BB, Desta M, Dey S, Dharmaratne SD, Dhimal M, Diaz D, Dinberu MTT, Djalalinia S, Doku DT, Drake TM, Dubey M, Dubljanin E, Duken EE, Ebrahimi H, Effiong A, Eftekhari A, El Sayed I, Zaki MES, El-Jaafary SI, El-Khatib Z, Elemineh DA, Elkout H, Ellenbogen RG, Elsharkawy A, Emamian MH, Endalew DA, Endries AY, Eshrati B, Fadhil I, Fallah Omrani V, Faramarzi M, Farhangi MA, Farioli A, Farzadfar F, Fentahun N, Fernandes E, Feyissa GT, Filip I, Fischer F, Fisher JL, Force LM, Foroutan M, Freitas M, Fukumoto T, Futran ND, Gallus S, Gankpe FG, Gayesa RT, Gebrehiwot TT, Gebremeskel GG, Gedefaw GA, Gelaw BK, Geta B, Getachew S, Gezae KE, Ghafourifard M, Ghajar A, Ghashghaee A, Gholamian A, Gill PS, Ginindza TTG, Girmay A, Gizaw M, Gomez RS, Gopalani SV, Gorini G, Goulart BNG, Grada A, Ribeiro Guerra M, Guimaraes ALS, Gupta PC, Gupta R, Hadkhale K, Haj-Mirzaian A, Hamadeh RR, Hamidi S, Hanfore LK, Haro JM, Hasankhani M, Hasanzadeh A, Hassen HY, Hay RJ, Hay SI, Henok A, Henry NJ, Herteliu C, Hidru HD, Hoang CL, Hole MK, Hoogar P, Horita N, Hosgood HD, Hosseini M, Hosseinzadeh M, Hostiuc M, Hostiuc S, Househ M, Hussen MM, Ileanu B, Ilic MD, Innos K, Irvani SSN, Iseh KR, Islam SMS, Islami F, Jafari Balalami N, Jafarinia M, Jahangiry L, Jahani MA, Jahanmehr N, Jakovljevic M, James SL, Javanbakht M, Jayaraman S, Jee SH, Jenabi E, Jha RP, Jonas JB, Jonnagaddala J, Joo T, Jungari SB, Jürisson M, Kabir A, Kamangar F, Karch A, Karimi N, Karimian A, Kasaeian A, Kasahun GG, Kassa B, Kassa TD, Kassaw MW, Kaul A, Keivoro PN, Kelbore AG, Kerbo AA, Khader YS, Khalilarimandi M, Khan EA, Khan G, Khang YH, Khatab K, Khater A, Khayamzadeh M, Khazaee-Pool M, Khazaei S, Khoja AT, Khosravi MH, Khubchandani J, Kianipour N, Kim D, Kim YJ, Kisa A, Kisa S, Kissimova-Skarbek K, Komaki H, Koyanagi A, Krohn KJ, Bicer BK, Kugbey N, Kumar V, Kuupiel D, La Vecchia C, Lad DP, Lake EA, Lakew AM, Lal DK, Lami FH, Lan Q, Lasrado S, Lauriola P, Lazarus JV, Leigh J, Leshargie CT, Liao Y, Limenih MA, Listl S, Lopez AD, Lopukhov PD, Lunevicius R, Madadin M, Magdeldin S, El Razek HMA, Majeed A, Maleki A, Malekzadeh R, Manafi A, Manafi N, Manamo WA, Mansourian M, Mansournia MA, Mantovani LG, Maroufizadeh S, Martini SMS, Mashamba-Thompson TP, Massenburg BB, Maswabi MT, Mathur MR, McAlinden C, McKee M, Meheretu HAA, Mehrotra R, Mehta V, Meier T, Melaku YA, Meles GG, Meles HG, Melese A, Melku M, Memiah PTN, Mendoza W, Menezes RG, Merat S, Meretoja TJ, Mestrovic T, Miazgowski B, Miazgowski T, Mihretie KMM, Miller TR, Mills EJ, Mir SM, Mirzaei H, Mirzaei HR, Mishra R, Moazen B, Mohammad DK, Mohammad KA, Mohammad Y, Darwesh AM, Mohammadbeigi A, Mohammadi H, Mohammadi M, Mohammadian M, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, Mohammadoo-Khorasani M, Mohammadpourhodki R, Mohammed AS, Mohammed JA, Mohammed S, Mohebi F, Mokdad AH, Monasta L, Moodley Y, Moosazadeh M, Moossavi M, Moradi G, Moradi-Joo M, Moradi-Lakeh M, Moradpour F, Morawska L, Morgado-da-Costa J, Morisaki N, Morrison SD, Mosapour A, Mousavi SM, Muche AA, Muhammed OSS, Musa J, Nabhan AF, Naderi M, Nagarajan AJ, Nagel G, Nahvijou A, Naik G, Najafi F, Naldi L, Nam HS, Nasiri N, Nazari J, Negoi I, Neupane S, Newcomb PA, Nggada HA, Ngunjiri JW, Nguyen CT, Nikniaz L, Ningrum DNA, Nirayo YL, Nixon MR, Nnaji CA, Nojomi M, Nosratnejad S, Shiadeh MN, Obsa MS, Ofori-Asenso R, Ogbo FA, Oh IH, Olagunju AT, Olagunju TO, Oluwasanu MM, Omonisi AE, Onwujekwe OE, Oommen AM, Oren E, Ortega-Altamirano DDV, Ota E, Otstavnov SS, Owolabi MO, P A M, Padubidri JR, Pakhale S, Pakpour AH, Pana A, Park EK, Parsian H, Pashaei T, Patel S, Patil ST, Pennini A, Pereira DM, Piccinelli C, Pillay JD, Pirestani M, Pishgar F, Postma MJ, Pourjafar H, Pourmalek F, Pourshams A, Prakash S, Prasad N, Qorbani M, Rabiee M, Rabiee N, Radfar A, Rafiei A, Rahim F, Rahimi M, Rahman MA, Rajati F, Rana SM, Raoofi S, Rath GK, Rawaf DL, Rawaf S, Reiner RC, Renzaho AMN, Rezaei N, Rezapour A, Ribeiro AI, Ribeiro D, Ronfani L, Roro EM, Roshandel G, Rostami A, Saad RS, Sabbagh P, Sabour S, Saddik B, Safiri S, Sahebkar A, Salahshoor MR, Salehi F, Salem H, Salem MR, Salimzadeh H, Salomon JA, Samv AM, Sanabria J, Santric Milicevic MM, Sartorius B, Sarveazad A, Sathian B, Satpathy M, Savic M, Sawhney M, Sayyah M, Schneider IJC, Schöttker B, Sekerija M, Sepanlou SG, Sepehrimanesh M, Seyedmousavi S, Shaahmadi F, Shabaninejad H, Shahbaz M, Shaikh MA, Shamshirian A, Shamsizadeh M, Sharafi H, Sharafi Z, Sharif M, Sharifi A, Sharifi H, Sharma R, Sheikh A, Shirkoohi R, Shukla SR, Si S, Siabani S, Silva DAS, Silveira DGA, Singh A, Singh JA, Sisay S, Sitas F, Sobngwi E, Soofi M, Soriano JB, Stathopoulou V, Sufiyan MB, Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Tabuchi T, Takahashi K, Tamtaji OR, Tarawneh MR, Tassew SG, Taymoori P, Tehrani-Banihashemi A, Temsah MH, Temsah O, Tesfay BE, Tesfay FH, Teshale MY, Tessema GA, Thapa S, Tlaye KG, Topor-Madry R, Tovani-Palone MR, Traini E, Tran BX, Tran KB, Tsadik AG, Ullah I, Uthman OA, Vacante M, Vaezi M, Varona Pérez P, Veisani Y, Vidale S, Violante FS, Vlassov V, Vollset SE, Vos T, Vosoughi K, Vu GT, Vujcic IS, Wabinga H, Wachamo TM, Wagnew FS, Waheed Y, Weldegebreal F, Weldesamuel GT, Wijeratne T, Wondafrash DZ, Wonde TE, Wondmieneh AB, Workie HM, Yadav R, Yadegar A, Yadollahpour A, Yaseri M, Yazdi-Feyzabadi V, Yeshaneh A, Yimam MA, Yimer EM, Yisma E, Yonemoto N, Younis MZ, Yousefi B, Yousefifard M, Yu C, Zabeh E, Zadnik V, Moghadam TZ, Zaidi Z, Zamani M, Zandian H, Zangeneh A, Zaki L, Zendehdel K, Zenebe ZM, Zewale TA, Ziapour A, Zodpey S, Murray CJL. Global, Regional, and



National Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-Years for 29 Cancer Groups, 1990 to 2017: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol 2019; 5: 1749-1768 [PMID: 31560378 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.2996]

- 2 Jukic Z, Radulovic P, Stojković R, Mijic A, Grah J, Kruslin B, Ferencic Z, Fucic A. Gender Difference in Distribution of Estrogen and Androgen Receptors in Intestinal-type Gastric Cancer. Anticancer Res 2017; 37: 197-202 [PMID: 28011491 DOI: 10.21873/anticanres.11306]
- 3 Kelley JR, Duggan JM. Gastric cancer epidemiology and risk factors. J Clin Epidemiol 2003; 56: 1-9 [PMID: 12589864 DOI: 10.1016/s0895-4356(02)00534-6]
- Massarrat S, Stolte M. Development of gastric cancer and its prevention. Arch Iran Med 2014; 17: 514-520 [PMID: 4 24979566]
- 5 Lee TY, Wang CB, Chen TT, Kuo KN, Wu MS, Lin JT, Wu CY; Taiwan Gastrointestinal Disease and Helicobacter Consortium. A tool to predict risk for gastric cancer in patients with peptic ulcer disease on the basis of a nationwide cohort. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 13: 287-293.e1 [PMID: 25083561 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2014.07.043]
- DeCosse JJ, Ngoi SS, Jacobson JS, Cennerazzo WJ. Gender and colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev 1993; 2: 105-115 6 [PMID: 8461861 DOI: 10.1097/00008469-199303000-00003]
- 7 Yang Y, Wang G, He J, Ren S, Wu F, Zhang J, Wang F. Gender differences in colorectal cancer survival: A meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2017; 141: 1942-1949 [PMID: 28599355 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.30827]
- Aron M, Nguyen MM, Stein RJ, Gill IS. Impact of gender in renal cell carcinoma: an analysis of the SEER database. Eur Urol 2008; 54: 133-140 [PMID: 18160207 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2007.12.001]
- 9 Mungan NA, Aben KK, Schoenberg MP, Visser O, Coebergh JW, Witjes JA, Kiemeney LA. Gender differences in stageadjusted bladder cancer survival. Urology 2000; 55: 876-880 [PMID: 10840099 DOI: 10.1016/s0090-4295(00)00523-9]
- 10 Li R, Singh M. Sex differences in cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Front Neuroendocrinol 2014; 35: 385-403 [PMID: 24434111 DOI: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2014.01.002]
- Cerri S, Mus L, Blandini F. Parkinson's Disease in Women and Men: What's the Difference? J Parkinsons Dis 2019; 9: 11 501-515 [PMID: 31282427 DOI: 10.3233/JPD-191683]
- Ngo ST, Steyn FJ, McCombe PA. Gender differences in autoimmune disease. Front Neuroendocrinol 2014; 35: 347-369 [PMID: 24793874 DOI: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2014.04.004]
- 13 Berlth F, Bollschweiler E, Drebber U, Hoelscher AH, Moenig S. Pathohistological classification systems in gastric cancer: diagnostic relevance and prognostic value. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 5679-5684 [PMID: 24914328 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i19.5679]
- 14 Chen YC, Fang WL, Wang RF, Liu CA, Yang MH, Lo SS, Wu CW, Li AF, Shyr YM, Huang KH. Clinicopathological Variation of Lauren Classification in Gastric Cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 2016; 22: 197-202 [PMID: 26502923 DOI: 10.1007/s12253-015-9996-6
- 15 Gong EJ, Lee JY, Bae SE, Park YS, Choi KD, Song HJ, Lee GH, Jung HY, Jeong WJ, Cheon GJ, Yook JH, Kim BS. Characteristics of non-cardia gastric cancer with a high serum anti-Helicobacter pylori IgG titer and its association with diffuse-type histology. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0195264 [PMID: 29621300 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0195264]
- Mengardo V, Treppiedi E, Bencivenga M, Dal Cero M, Giacopuzzi S. Tailored treatment for signet ring cell gastric cancer. 16 Updates Surg 2018; 70: 167-171 [PMID: 29948660 DOI: 10.1007/s13304-018-0550-4]
- Choi Y, Kim N, Yun CY, Choi YJ, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, Ahn SH, Joong Park D, Lee HS, Kim JW, Lee KW, 17 Chang W, Park JH, Lee YJ, Lee KH, Kim YH, Lee DH, Kim HH. Effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication after subtotal gastrectomy on the survival rate of patients with gastric cancer: follow-up for up to 15 years. Gastric Cancer 2020; 23: 1051-1063 [PMID: 32361784 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-020-01076-2]
- 18 Kim KW, Kim N, Choi Y, Kim WS, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, Lee DH, Ahn SH, Park DJ, Kim HH, Lee HS, Kim JW, Lee KW, Chang W, Park JH, Lee YJ, Lee KH, Kim YH. Different effects of p53 protein overexpression on the survival of gastric cancer patients according to Lauren histologic classification: a retrospective study. Gastric Cancer 2021; 24: 844-857 [PMID: 33598811 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-021-01163-y]
- Choi Y, Kim N, Yoon H, Shin CM, Park YS, Lee DH, Ahn SH, Suh YS, Park DJ, Kim HH. The Incidence and Risk Factors for Metachronous Gastric Cancer in the Remnant Stomach after Gastric Cancer Surgery. Gut Liver 2021 [PMID: 34462394 DOI: 10.5009/gnl210202]
- 20 Li H, Wei Z, Wang C, Chen W, He Y, Zhang C. Gender Differences in Gastric Cancer Survival: 99,922 Cases Based on the SEER Database. J Gastrointest Surg 2020; 24: 1747-1757 [PMID: 31346960 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-019-04304-y]
- Song M, Kang D, Yang JJ, Choi JY, Sung H, Lee Y, Yoon HS, Choi Y, Kong SH, Lee HJ, Yang HK, Kim WH. Age and 21 sex interactions in gastric cancer incidence and mortality trends in Korea. Gastric Cancer 2015; 18: 580-589 [PMID: 25091081 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-014-0411-x]
- 22 Jun JK, Choi KS, Lee HY, Suh M, Park B, Song SH, Jung KW, Lee CW, Choi IJ, Park EC, Lee D. Effectiveness of the Korean National Cancer Screening Program in Reducing Gastric Cancer Mortality. Gastroenterology 2017; 152: 1319-1328.e7 [PMID: 28147224 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.01.029]
- 23 Kim HW, Kim JH, Lim BJ, Kim H, Park JJ, Youn YH, Park H, Noh SH, Kim JW, Choi SH. Sex Disparity in Gastric Cancer: Female Sex is a Poor Prognostic Factor for Advanced Gastric Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2016; 23: 4344-4351 [PMID: 27469120 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-016-5448-0]
- Waldum HL, Fossmark R. Types of Gastric Carcinomas. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19 [PMID: 30567376 DOI: 24 10.3390/ijms19124109]
- Ansari S, Gantuya B, Tuan VP, Yamaoka Y. Diffuse Gastric Cancer: A Summary of Analogous Contributing Factors for 25 Its Molecular Pathogenicity. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19 [PMID: 30115886 DOI: 10.3390/ijms19082424]
- 26 Shim JH, Song KY, Jeon HM, Park CH, Jacks LM, Gonen M, Shah MA, Brennan MF, Coit DG, Strong VE. Is gastric cancer different in Korea and the United States? Ann Surg Oncol 2014; 21: 2332-2339 [PMID: 24599411 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-014-3608-7
- 27 Kim SM, Min BH, Lee J, An JY, Lee JH, Sohn TS, Bae JM, Kim JJ, Kang WK, Kim S, Choi MG. Protective Effects of Female Reproductive Factors on Lauren Intestinal-Type Gastric Adenocarcinoma. Yonsei Med J 2018; 59: 28-34 [PMID:



29214773 DOI: 10.3349/ymj.2018.59.1.28]

- 28 Jung YJ, Kim HJ, Park CH, Park SJ, Kim N. Effects of Reproductive Factors on Lauren Intestinal-Type Gastric Cancers in Females: A Multicenter Retrospective Study in South Korea. Gut Liver 2022 [PMID: 35000933 DOI: 10.5009/gnl210293]
- 29 Kim SJ, Choi CW. Common Locations of Gastric Cancer: Review of Research from the Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection Era. J Korean Med Sci 2019; 34: e231 [PMID: 31496141 DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2019.34.e231]
- 30 Kim K, Cho Y, Sohn JH, Kim DH, Do IG, Lee HJ, Do SI, Ahn S, Lee HW, Chae SW. Clinicopathologic characteristics of early gastric cancer according to specific intragastric location. BMC Gastroenterol 2019; 19: 24 [PMID: 30736729 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-019-0949-5]
- Walsh JH, Lam SK. Physiology and pathology of gastrin. Clin Gastroenterol 1980; 9: 567-591 [PMID: 7000395] 31
- Lagergren K, Lagergren J, Brusselaers N. Hormone replacement therapy and oral contraceptives and risk of oesophageal 32 adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2014; 135: 2183-2190 [PMID: 24676860 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.28869]
- Green J, Czanner G, Reeves G, Watson J, Wise L, Roddam A, Beral V. Menopausal hormone therapy and risk of 33 gastrointestinal cancer: nested case-control study within a prospective cohort, and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2012; 130: 2387-2396 [PMID: 21671473 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.26236]
- Freedman ND, Lacey JV Jr, Hollenbeck AR, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. The association of menstrual and 34 reproductive factors with upper gastrointestinal tract cancers in the NIH-AARP cohort. Cancer 2010; 116: 1572-1581 [PMID: 20186831 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.24880]
- Camargo MC, Goto Y, Zabaleta J, Morgan DR, Correa P, Rabkin CS. Sex hormones, hormonal interventions, and gastric 35 cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012; 21: 20-38 [PMID: 22028402 DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0834]
- Song J, Jin Z, Han H, Li M, Guo Y, Guo H, Guo W, He J. Hormone replacement therapies, oral contraceptives, 36 reproductive factors and colorectal adenoma risk: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. Colorectal Dis 2019; 21: 748-759 [PMID: 30748083 DOI: 10.1111/codi.14582]
- 37 Persson C, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Kurahashi N, Iwasaki M, Ye W, Tsugane S; JPHC Study Group. Female reproductive factors and the risk of gastric cancer in a large-scale population-based cohort study in Japan (JPHC study). Eur J Cancer Prev 2008; 17: 345-353 [PMID: 18562960 DOI: 10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3282f521e4]
- 38 Yu J, He Y, Guo Z. Age trend of the male to female sex ratio in surgical gastric cancer patients at a single institution. World J Surg Oncol 2014; 12: 269 [PMID: 25141903 DOI: 10.1186/1477-7819-12-269]
- Yi JH, Do IG, Jang J, Kim ST, Kim KM, Park SH, Park JO, Park YS, Lim HY, Kang WK, Lee J. Anti-tumor efficacy of 39 fulvestrant in estrogen receptor positive gastric cancer. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 7592 [PMID: 25534230 DOI: 10.1038/srep07592]
- Wang M, Pan JY, Song GR, Chen HB, An LJ, Qu SX. Altered expression of estrogen receptor alpha and beta in advanced 40 gastric adenocarcinoma: correlation with prothymosin alpha and clinicopathological parameters. Eur J Surg Oncol 2007; 33: 195-201 [PMID: 17046193 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2006.09.009]
- 41 Park SH, Cheung LW, Wong AS, Leung PC. Estrogen regulates Snail and Slug in the down-regulation of E-cadherin and induces metastatic potential of ovarian cancer cells through estrogen receptor alpha. Mol Endocrinol 2008; 22: 2085-2098 [PMID: 18550773 DOI: 10.1210/me.2007-0512]
- Helguero LA, Lindberg K, Gardmo C, Schwend T, Gustafsson JA, Haldosén LA. Different roles of estrogen receptors 42 alpha and beta in the regulation of E-cadherin protein levels in a mouse mammary epithelial cell line. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 8695-8704 [PMID: 18974111 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0788]
- 43 Oesterreich S, Deng W, Jiang S, Cui X, Ivanova M, Schiff R, Kang K, Hadsell DL, Behrens J, Lee AV. Estrogen-mediated down-regulation of E-cadherin in breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 5203-5208 [PMID: 14500345 DOI: 10.1097/00130404-200309000-00013]
- Gan L, He J, Zhang X, Zhang YJ, Yu GZ, Chen Y, Pan J, Wang JJ, Wang X. Expression profile and prognostic role of sex hormone receptors in gastric cancer. BMC Cancer 2012; 12: 566 [PMID: 23199240 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-566]
- Hsu LW, Huang KH, Chen MH, Fang WL, Chao Y, Lo SS, Li AF, Wu CW, Shyr YM. Genetic alterations in gastric cancer 45 patients according to sex. Aging (Albany NY) 2020; 13: 376-388 [PMID: 33288737 DOI: 10.18632/aging.202142]
- Böger C, Behrens HM, Mathiak M, Krüger S, Kalthoff H, Röcken C. PD-L1 is an independent prognostic predictor in 46 gastric cancer of Western patients. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 24269-24283 [PMID: 27009855 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.8169]
- 47 Özdemir BC, Dotto GP. Sex Hormones and Anticancer Immunity. Clin Cancer Res 2019; 25: 4603-4610 [PMID: 30890551 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0137]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

