

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 71754

Title: Risk modeling of femoral neck fracture based on geometric parameters of the

proximal epiphysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05077771 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-25 09:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-29 09:03

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article presents an observational study based on the geometric characteristics of the hip, and the correlation in fracture regeneration. It is obvious that the authors have done a lot of work in the experiment, as well as in the writing.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 71754

Title: Risk modeling of femoral neck fracture based on geometric parameters of the

proximal epiphysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05458177 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-07 05:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-07 05:11

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is rather chaotic and difficult to follow. There are sections: Introduction, Methods, Result, Discussion, Conclusion. Please manage the manuscript accordingly. For example, in this manuscript, there are "introduction" in conclusion section, or "methods" in results section.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 71754

Title: Risk modeling of femoral neck fracture based on geometric parameters of the

proximal epiphysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05458177 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-07 02:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-07 02:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The comments had been responded accordingly