



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 71809

**Title:** Free fatty acids, glucose, and insulin in type 2 diabetes mellitus

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02446101

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-10-07 11:06

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-10-07 11:33

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [  ] Yes [  ] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript was designed to discuss the rationality of the phrase 'insulin resistance' and hoped to replaced it with 'reduction in glucose effectiveness and insulin sensitivity'. It's an interesting manuscript and provides some interesting idea. However, there're still two issues which should be addressed. 1. Academic terms are mainly used in academic communication and must conform to the principles of convenience, simplicity and wide acceptance. In fact, 'insulin resistance 'has been widely recognized. Replacing it with other words is not easy to be accepted and may cause misunderstanding. Therefore, I personally do not agree with the author's opinion. Nevertheless, I still think it is a meaningful thing to put forward this proposal and trigger academic discussion. 2. The last paragraph is too brief, so it is suggested to add more content and discuss it more systematically to facilitate readers' understanding. So, revision should be recommended.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Diabetes*

**Manuscript NO:** 71809

**Title:** Free fatty acids, glucose, and insulin in type 2 diabetes mellitus

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05278434

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-09-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-10-08 01:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-10-16 22:03

**Review time:** 8 Days and 20 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Insulin resistance is a relatively broad concept, but the basic meaning is that the insulin level is in the normal range, but its blood sugar lowering effect is reduced. The effectiveness of glucose mentioned by the author is essentially a factor for  $\beta$ cell function, but the original article "Association of  $\beta$ -cell function and insulin resistance with pediatric type 2 diabetes among Chinese children", only uses the HOMAs model to evaluate B cell function and insulin resistance, and does not involve the study of its mechanism. It is correct to add a review of insulin resistance in the original text, but I don't think it is accurate to replace "insulin resistance" completely with "reduction in glucose effectiveness and insulin sensitivity".