

Submission ID # 71855

Parasitic leiomyoma in the trocar site after laparoscopic myomectomy: A case report

We would like to thank the reviewers for their comprehensive and critical review of our manuscript, entitled “**Parasitic leiomyoma in the trocar site after laparoscopic myomectomy: A case report,**” submitted to the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

We sincerely appreciate the editors and reviewers’ helpful comments regarding our study. We have revised the manuscript accordingly to address their concerns, and have incorporated the relevant information in line with their comments and suggestions. All the revisions introduced in the revised version of the manuscript have been highlighted. Please find our responses to the editors’ and reviewers’ comments mentioned below.

Responses to the comments from Reviewer #1

Reviewer #1 commented on the following two items:

Dear authors, The manuscript entitled " Parastic leiomyoma in the trocar site after laparoscopic myomectomy: A case report" is a well described study. Only minor revisions I have to recommend.

(1) Please check the whole manuscript for any grammar or expression errors

- ➔ We appreciate the reviewer's comment.
- ➔ For clarity and readability, we have used English language editing service.
- ➔ We have added the following sentence in the Acknowledgement section, **"We thank Editage (www.editage.co.kr) for editing and reviewing this manuscript for English language."** For your convenience, we highlighted the above sentences with yellow color in the revised manuscript.

(2) add the figure 1 in the main manuscript, rather than submitted as supplementary file. Well done

- ➔ According to the reviewer's comment, we have added the Figure 1 in the revised manuscript.

Responses to the comments from Reviewer #2

Reviewer #2 commented on the following two items:

Well compiled case report.

(1) The Authors should also give a comparison of parasitic leiomyomas in Open surgical cases Vs powered laparoscopic technique, as the laparoscopic technique offers other advantages also it should not be highlighted because of one rare complication. Also, authors have clarified it sincerely, but can be presented better.

(2) Authors should also mention the tumor marker for this benign mass lesion to help screen or diagnose patients early.

➔ According to the reviewer`s comment, we have added the following description to Discussion section: **“CA-125 is occasionally elevated in large parasitic leiomyomas. However, the current use of CA-125 as a biomarker for the diagnosis, differentiation and surveillance of parasitic leiomyoma is controversial and not recommended.”**. For your convenience, we highlighted the above sentence with yellow in the revised manuscript.

Responses to the comments from Science editor

Science editor commented on the following one items:

This manuscript introduces a case of paratactic leiomyoma in the trocar site after laparoscopy.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

(1) Please put Figure 1 in the manuscript and supplement the tumor markers or this benign mass lesion to help screen or diagnose patients early.

➔ According to the editor`s comment, we have added the Figure 1 in the revised manuscript.

➔ According to the editor`s comment, we have added the following description to Discussion section: **“CA-125 is occasionally elevated in large parasitic leiomyomas. However, the current use of CA-125 as a biomarker for the diagnosis, differentiation and surveillance of parasitic leiomyoma is controversial and not recommended.”**.

For your convenience, we highlighted the above sentence with yellow in the revised manuscript.

Responses to the comments from Company editor-in-chief

Company editor-in-chief commented on the following one items:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

(1) Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

➔ According to the editor's comment, we have prepared the Figure using PowerPoint.