

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71882

Title: All-arthroscopic anatomical reconstruction of ankle joint lateral collateral ligament

with LARS artificial ligament for chronic ankle instability

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05395420 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MS, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-30 00:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-30 01:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors I commend ur work on the LARS for ankle instability. I have a few concerns that needs to be answer before i could recommend ur work for publication. 1. All acronyms to be expanded in its first use including LARS and no acronyms in title and if needed in brackets 2. Kindly introduce on the LARS in the introduction and the rationale behind its choice and proceed further into the aim of study to test its reliability as an alternate donor for lig reconstruction in the ankle instability scenarios which is done in the discussion part of the script 3. The methodology part of the study needs to be restricted to the selection criteria and the surgical technique and assessment methods and statistical evluation methods and u ahve give the results in this section which needs to be shifted to the results part of the paper 4. Kinldy perform a language correction with the help of a native language speaker as there are a few spell checks needed at some places and grammatical flaws in the statements made.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 71882

Title: All-arthroscopic anatomical reconstruction of ankle joint lateral collateral ligament

with LARS artificial ligament for chronic ankle instability

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06040804 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-02 09:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-21 01:28

Review time: 18 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Of course: the most interesting thing about this manuscript, is the clinical applicability. Conclusions can be improved, but methodology is correct and I think is suitable to publish.