

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71890

Title: Deep Sylvian Fissure Meningiomas: Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00738726

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-20 05:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-22 07:50

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This a well written manuscript exemplifying the surgical nuances of deep seated sylvian fissure meningiomas. I'd suggest the authors to add a final post-op MRI of their patients to depict the complete removal of the tumor. Also, the authors need to state for how long after the surgery they continued antiepileptics? Is the patient still on antiepileptics?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 71890

Title: Deep Sylvian Fissure Meningiomas: Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02730914

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Neurosurgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Qi-Gu Yao (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-06 11:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-10 22:48

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper "Deep Sylvian Fissure Meningiomas: Case Report and Literature Review" discusses the importance of accurate diagnostic and precise microsurgery of such tumors. This case very good emphasizes that the size of the tumor is not so important as the location! However, that fact could be better discussed in discussion/conclusion part. Also, more extensive comparison with other studies from supplement table is recommended. The paper needs extensive revision for language and grammar. Lines 76, 77, 81, 82: "middle meningeal artery" should be middle cerebral artery. Lines 90-95: "The tumor was buried in the back of the lateral fissure..." This part of the manuscript needs extensive revision for language and grammar. Were the neuronavigation and Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring used for surgery? If not, why not? (1st and 2nd surgery) Line 105: The patient developed aphasia (complete loss of speech abilities) or dysphasia (partial disruption of language abilities)? Line 126: "Intraoperative picture demonstrates the en bloc tumor removal" - probably should be complete tumor removal. ("En bloc resection involves the surgical removal of the entirety of a tumor without violating its capsule, and requires resection of the lesion encased by a continuous margin of healthy tissue.") Tumor was removed in piecemeal technique (line 117). Extensive editing for English is required also throughout the discussion section. Line 138: "Meningiomas are the most common brain tumors and the second primary central nervous system tumors" - my suggestion is: Meningiomas are the most common primary intracranial (instead of brain) tumors. Reference list should be checked (especially journal title abbreviations).