

Title: Surgery in platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma

Manuscript ID: 72031

Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Response to Reviewers' comments

Dear Editor,

We thank you for your careful consideration of our manuscript. We appreciate your response and overall positive initial feedback and made modifications to improve the manuscript. After carefully reviewing the comments made by the Reviewers, we have modified the manuscript to improve the presentation of our results and their discussion, therefore providing a complete context for the research that may be of interest to your readers.

We hope that you will find the revised paper suitable for publication, and we look forward to contributing to your journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us with other questions or concerns regarding the manuscript.

Best regards,

Reviewer 1

Comment 1: *Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)*

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The article is written very well and covers an important topic of effect of surgery on platinum resistant epithelial ovarian cancers. Very little data is available in this situation and the results from this retrospective research definitely adds to the knowledge. Article is written very well and has nice flow with almost no grammatical errors.

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comments. The manuscript was proofread.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1: *Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)*

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Thank you very much for giving me a chance to review the manuscript entitled "Surgery in platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian carcinoma". The result of this study concluded that the secondary cytoreductive surgery is feasible for the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent EOC. I think the results of this study might help caring the platinum-resistant recurrent EOC patients and will create more references regarding the treatment in these patients. This manuscript is valuable enough to published in these journal.

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comments.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Comment 1: *This study evaluate the feasibility of secondary cytoreductive surgery for the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent EOC. The author suggested that R0 resection and postoperative chemotherapy could significantly prolong PFS and OS, while R0 resection also significantly prolonged the CFI. Therefore, secondary cytoreductive surgery is feasible for the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent EOC. This study provides references for the selection of clinical therapeutic regimens. However, the absence of a control group was the limitation of this study. Thus, additional large prospective, multi-center, randomized clinical trials are needed to provide further high-level evidence.*

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comments. We agree that the absence of a control group is a limitation. It is mentioned in the Limitations.

Comment 3: *Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...” .*

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comment. We revised the figures accordingly.

Comment 4: *The author(s) must provide the Institutional Review Board Approval Form or Document in Chinese.Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).*

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comment. We are now providing the original document.