

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72298

Title: Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy Misdiagnosed as Acute Myocardial Infarction under

the "Chest Pain Center" Model-A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05476795

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-16 00:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-16 01:02

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors wrote a case report on a patient who was misdiagnosed with AMI who turned out to have Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy. The importance of having a widespread chest pain centre was emphasized by the authors in order provide a prompt and accurate treatment for chest pains. Overall, the manuscript was elaborated, with minor grammatical errors. Perhaps a few comparisons with similar studies/ cases should be added to this manuscript to allow readers to compare this case to other cases.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72298

Title: Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy Misdiagnosed as Acute Myocardial Infarction under

the "Chest Pain Center" Model-A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755592

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FCPS, MBBS

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-14 20:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-21 20:49

Review time: 7 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

overall it is an interesting case for the readers and has been attempted good. It needs minor corrections. Grammar needs further improvement. Discussion section has few short comings. Reference not given to a paragraph which is marked in the attached file. Provide reference to that. Also there is some repetition in discussion section , remove that(already marked in original file)