

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 72513

Title: Network meta-analyses: methodological prerequisites and clinical usefulness. A

mini review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06186852 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sudan

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-21 06:42

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-01 09:17

Review time: 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- In the manuscript, please write the name for abbreviations (NMA and NMAs) because the reader wants to know what do you mean by NMA and NMAs. I think you mean by this abbreviation is network meta-analysis, and you should adhere to one abbreviation only for network meta-analysis. - Please write Figure 2 in the manuscript; because it was missed during writing. - In the fifth row for "Improving interpretation of NMA findings" subsection, please correct the spelling for "Meah Difference" into "Mean Difference". Also, please write abbreviations for Standard Mean Differences and Mean Differences as SMD and MD, respectively. - If it possible, please mention in the introduction section that incorporating network meta-analysis in clinical guideline could help doctors in clinical practice in selecting the best available drug with good safety and efficacy from multiple drugs to use it for patient's care.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 72513

Title: Network meta-analyses: methodological prerequisites and clinical usefulness. A

mini review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05405614 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-08 20:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-09 06:16

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

NMAs in the abstract and introduction should be written in full at the first mention. The abstract should have been structured in such a way it will contain background, objectives, findings and conclusion. In this way, the abstract would be able to stand alone and convey full information.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 72513

Title: Network meta-analyses: methodological prerequisites and clinical usefulness. A

mini review

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05238122 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-19

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-05 12:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-14 17:49

Review time: 9 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

• The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript? • The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? • No key words • The manuscript adequately describe the background • The manuscript highlights the subject concisely and clearly • Figure 2 is a table and should have a title • References are appropriate and updated • However there are some notes as appeared in the attached file: o Language is good, however some phrases have to be more simplified o All the abbreviations in the text must be identified when first mentioned o The title (Prerequisites for a well-conducted NMA) have 4 subtitles that should be separated from the following titles o The paragraph started with "Prospective registration" is not entitled as one of the former prerequisites