

Response to Reviewer #1

Comments

This is a well-analyzed case report, but the following improvements need to be made: The abstract and core tip of the manuscript needs to be condensed. According CARE Checklist-2016, Key Words should include "case report" It is best to add this description to the summary of the medical history: the neuroendocrine carcinoma of the pancreas secreting ACTH has a poor immune state.

Response

We are very grateful for the favorable comments of the Reviewer.

As suggested, the abstract and the core tip were condensed.

Please see pages 3 and 4 in the revised manuscript.

As suggested by the reviewer, we added "case report" in the Key Words.

Please see page 4 in the revised manuscript.

Also, as suggested, the sentence "the neuroendocrine carcinoma of the pancreas secreting ACTH has a poor immune state" was added in the text.

Please see page 14 in the revised manuscript.

Response to Reviewer #2

Comments

The Article, "A Rapidly Progressive Case of Adrenocorticotrophic Hormone-Secreting Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Carcinoma with Multiple Organ Infections and Widespread Thrombosis", describes a rare case of Ectopic adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH)-secreting neuroendocrine tumor in combination with other diseases. In my opinion, such rare phenomenon's, like ACTH-secreting neuroendocrine tumors, should be described in all possible ways that are available to the authors and published on an ongoing basis. Authors comprehensively approach the patient's medical examination and treatment and discuss in detail the findings of other studies on the topic. I see no limitations in

this article. The article is well written and in my opinion it is complete. Due to their rarity, the description of such cases is necessary to aggregate the information, which will ultimately lead to new discoveries in different areas of treatment of this problem.

Response

We are very grateful for the favorable comments of the Reviewer.

Response to Reviewer #3

Thank you for this interesting paper. All comments and suggestions are in the attached file.

Response

We are very grateful for the favorable comments of the Reviewer.

We have not found any attached files, but we supposed the attached files are the following issues described below.

Comment 1

LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

As the revision process results in changes to the content of the manuscript, language problems may exist in the revised manuscript. Thus, it is necessary to perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical, formatting, and other related errors be resolved so that the revised manuscript will meet the publication requirement (Grade A).

Response

The corresponding author is a native English speaker working in Japan; therefore, a certificate is unnecessary.

Comment 2

ABBREVIATIONS

In general, do not use non-standard abbreviations unless they appear at least two times in the text preceding the first usage/definition. Certain commonly used

abbreviations, such as DNA, RNA, HIV, LD50, PCR, HBV, ECG, WBC, RBC, CT, ESR, CSF, IgG, ELISA, PBS, ATP, EDTA, and mAb, do not need to be defined and can be used directly.

Response

As suggested, we followed all abbreviations' rules.

Comment 3

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor:

The manuscript elaborated a case of adrenocorticotrophic hormone-secreting pancreatic neuroendocrine carcinoma with multiple organ infections and widespread thrombosis. It seems the case was in a rare situation and therefore, can be considered for further review in this journal, however, there are several concerns to be clarified prior to the further review. 1. The title is revised to Adrenocorticotrophic Hormone-Secreting Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Carcinoma with Multiple Organ Infections and Widespread Thrombosis: a case report 2. The abstract should be more concise. 3. In the discussion, relevant cases or possible mechanisms are discussed in more detail.

Response

The title was changed as recommended. Please see page 1 in the revised manuscript. In addition, the abstract section was more condensed. Please see pages 3 and 4 in the revised manuscript.

Comment 4

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision

according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Response

All questions were answered.