

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72663

Title: Application of a new anatomic hook-rod-pedicle screw system in young patients

with lumbar spondylolysis: a pilot study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05226081 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Medical Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-13 17:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-13 17:37

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

well written article



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72663

Title: Application of a new anatomic hook-rod-pedicle screw system in young patients

with lumbar spondylolysis: a pilot study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00069988 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Research Associate, Senior Scientist, Staff

Physician, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-23

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-14 15:55

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-14 16:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection



Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments are put in the word document as "Comments".