

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

Thanks a lot for providing the opportunity to reply to the reviewers' comments. Our replies are as under:

Reviewer 1:

Specific Comments To Authors:

This is a case of well-managed rare cardiac thrombosis by RCC. This is the only interesting aspect. Authors reached to firm conclusions such as "Majority of cases present with atypical symptoms and metastasize to unpredictable sites at the time of its detection. When a ball-shaped lesion is detected in the right atrium, we should aim to differentiate bland venous thrombus from a tumor thrombus secondary to intracardiac metastasis, as it aids in appropriate therapeutic management and prognosis." Atypical symptoms and metastatic sites are seen, but these do not constitute "majority" of the cases. Also, "when a ball-shaped ..." sentence gives the impression that cardiac thrombus/metastasis is a not rare event. These sentences should be modified.

The 2 sentences in the conclusion have been modified as suggested.

Reviewer 2:

Specific Comments To Authors:

The author presented a rare case of a 58-year-old man with a ball-shaped thrombus in the right atrium at the time of first incidental identification of RCC in the emergency department, trying to point out the importance of differentiating the bland thrombus from a tumor thrombus. The manuscript was well-organized, however, there are still some issues need to be addressed: 1) According to the requirement of CARE Checklist-2016: information for writing a case report, there are lack of 1) timeline which requires Information from this case report organized into a timeline (table or figure), 2) 8c Consider tables or figures linking assessment, diagnoses and interventions. 2) On Page 5: "58-year old male" should be "58-year-old"; "while only 1% of the total cases have the tumor extending in to the right atrium" should be "while only 1% of the total cases have the tumor extending into the right atrium"; "ten kilogram" should be "ten-kilogram". The authors need to double-check the writing of manuscript. 3) On page 6, the author described the findings of CT pulmonary-angiogram, but didn't provide any CT images. Please add

supportive CT images showing pulmonary metastases,
right pulmonary embolism, etc.

- 1) Information from this case report has been organized into a timeline following the CARE guidelines (attached as Fig 5 in the revised manuscript)
- 2) Relevant figures linking assessment and diagnosis have been included in the manuscript (Fig 1-4)
- 3) “58-year old” has been changed to “58-year-old” as suggested
- 4) “while only 1% of the total cases have the tumor extending in to the right atrium” has been changed to “while only 1% of the total cases have the tumor extending into the right atrium” as suggested
- 5) “ten kilogram” has been changed to “ten-kilogram” as suggested
- 6) CT pulmonary angiogram images showing right pulmonary embolism and pulmonary metastases have been added as Fig 3 and Fig 4 respectively

Additionally, the authors have also added PMID for the references

Regards,
Sohil