

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72723

Title: Added value of systemic inflammation markers for monitoring response to

neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06209652 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-25 15:10

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-31 15:55

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. First, the authors did a huge amount of work on this topic and they are to be congratulated. In this study, ML-based integrated analysis is a new computer-based method, which has not been used in predicting response of NACT in breast cancer. I appreciate this is a practical way to solve clinical problem. 2. In this study, the authors draw a conclusion that ML performed better than models using conventional methods, and the SVM model performed best. I suggest that how to concretize the clinical application of SVM needs to be explained in detail by the author in the article. I firmly believe that it will be easier for readers to understand. 3. In this study, the authors have evaluated the performance of ML-based models, Some ML-based models performed better than models using conventional statistical methods in both ROC curves. If possible, more external data is used to verify the robustness of the model, which will make the results more convincing.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72723

Title: Added value of systemic inflammation markers for monitoring response to

neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05380317 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-01 02:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-01 02:57

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is a RETROSPECTIVE STUDY and the authors have mentioned that data period of study is "Between January 1, 2013 and December,1, 2021" December 1, 2021 has not arrived yet.