

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72800

Title: Combined thoracic paravertebral block and interscalene brachial plexus block for

modified radical mastectomy: A case series

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05820349

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Canada

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-09 13:53

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-11 20:22

Review time: 2 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for this interesting manuscript. The description of the reginal anesthesia techniques was adequate and in-depth. There are a few points that I would have liked addressed in more depth: 1. What is the advange of using IBPB and TPVB over other loco-regional techniques (epidural anesthesia +/- TPVB)? 2. All patients included in this case series have normal or minimally increased BMI. Could you discuss the potential implications of this technique in obese patients? 3. How did you quantify the presence/absence of anestehsia-associated complications? 4. How was the postoperative pain measured?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72800

Title: Combined thoracic paravertebral block and interscalene brachial plexus block for

modified radical mastectomy: A case series

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03025524

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-04 03:16

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-12 14:07

Review time: 8 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is aimed to describe the authors' experience of anesthesia and pain management in 10 patients with multiple comorbidities. The title is "Combined thoracic paravertebral block and interscalene brachial plexus block for modified radical mastectomy: A case series". 1. This is a case-series. 2. Several factors influence the outcome of this management. Please discuss these. 3. Please review the literature and add more details in the discussion. 4. Please add the limitations and the disadvantages of this management. 5. What is the new knowledge of the article? 6. Please recommend to the readers "How to apply this knowledge in clinical practice?".