

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72823

Title: Bone flare after initiation of novel hormonal therapy in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05536533

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MS, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-17 07:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-17 07:50

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written case report. What is the role of bisphosphonate in bone flare? Kindly discuss on this line

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72823

Title: Bone flare after initiation of novel hormonal therapy in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04127879

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-17 19:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-03 06:16

Review time: 16 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is not well written, text formatting is not sufficient. This case report manuscript seems to not improve the current literature at all. The aim is not represented in the discussion or in the conclusions, the whole manuscript seems to be a transcription of the patient's medical record. The finale message is not strong, patients not reporting embarrassing episodes are common. The current manuscript is rejected.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72823

Title: Bone flare after initiation of novel hormonal therapy in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503243

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-26 15:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-03 10:55

Review time: 7 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Peer-reviewer
statements**Peer-Review: [☐] Anonymous [☒] OnymousConflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors describe the evolution of metastatic prostate carcinoma after treatment with alutamide and ADT therapy. The authors conclude that the effectiveness of such treatment should be made after PSMA-PET-CT examination that in this case report showed that aggregation of PSMA on the bone was significantly reduced. Well written manuscript and useful for clinicians facing with such problems

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72823

Title: Bone flare after initiation of novel hormonal therapy in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00735081

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-27 03:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-07 06:47

Review time: 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

CASE SUMMARY On the last sentence Considering that the pelvic pain symptoms continued to decrease, treatment strategies were considered to be effective. What did 'the pelvic pain symptoms' mean? Is this phrase the symptom of bone metastases? If 'the pelvic pain symptoms' means the part of bone metastatic disease, the authors should mention of the relation of that phrase to clinical symptoms of bone metastases. 'Three months later, bone imaging and MRI showed that bone metastasis was more advanced than before.' What modality of bone imaging? Is it bone scan? CT scan, plane X-ray? All modality but MRI? Authors should show what modality of imagings. **CONCLUSION** 'If lesions increase in bone scan, then PSA, PSMA PET-CT, and other examinations should be used to determine whether the treatment's effectiveness.' The author should definite the kind of the lesions. Is it bone metastasis lesion? Were the lesions increasing only on the finding of bone scan? Authors mentioned that MRI showed that bone metastasis was more advanced than before. **Core Tip** We describe a case of metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer with bone flare after alutamide and ADT therapy, and summarized the characteristics of the clinical manifestations of bone flares after treatment with novel hormonal therapy in a patient with a prostate adenocarcinoma. The contents of the three underlines overlap. Authors could organize this sentences into shorter ones. **Introduction** However, "bone flare" phenomenon occurred 3 months post treatment, and then decreased in further follow-up evaluations [2]. Please provide a specific notation for the definition of the term "bone flare" in this quote. **Outcome** Considering that the pelvic pain symptoms continued to decrease, treatment strategies were considered to be effective. Authors never mention about the pelvic pain symptoms

complained by the patient in the part of physical examination. It is not clear this decreasing pelvic pain whether related from this disease in this sentence alone. Authors should state about pelvic pain in the part of physical examination. Discussion Authors described that Ryan et al. [2] pointed out that after abiraterone treatment for 3 months, the PSA level decreased by more than 50%, while bone scans showed new progression in bone metastases or PSMA aggregation. On the other hand, the case of authors showed new progression in bone scans alone not in PSMA aggregation. Authors should state the difference between Ryan cases and their case. Fig 1 Authors described that bone imaging indicated progression on bone. But bone accumulation inf A, B: before the treatment decreased in CD: after treatment. This imaging absolutely showed improve of metastases after treatment. Authors should also demonstrate MRI indicated progression of the bone metastasis three months later.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72823

Title: Bone flare after initiation of novel hormonal therapy in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04127879

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-10 14:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-10 14:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors addressed all the reviewers comments improving the impact of the present study