

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

Manuscript NO: 72831

Title: Comparison between SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative pneumonia in children:
A retrospective analysis at the beginning of the pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05393454

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-30 07:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-03 13:00

Review time: 4 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "presence" may be as "the presence"; "COVID-19" as "the COVID-19"; "International" as "the International"; "like in the most parts in" as "in most parts of"; "the medical" as "medical"; "5,9% cases" as "5,9% of cases"; "a previous" as "previous"; "resulted" as "resulted from"; "accurate" as "an accurate"; "chest" as "a chest"; "a close" as "close"; "are showed" as "are shown"; "a mild" as "mild"; "of pandemic" as "of the pandemic"; "pediatric" as "the pediatric"; "Another" as "In another"; "of CRP" as "in CRP". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly. 2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the word "pandemic" may be as "pandemics"; "whowere" as "who were"; "echo-cardiography" as "echocardiography"; "condition" as "conditions"; "unpaired" as "an unpaired"; "p value" as "p-value"; "inaround" as "in around"; "group," as "groups," "70,8%" as "70.8%"; "11,8%" as "11.8%"; "32,1%" as "32.1%". The typos not mentioned here also to be checked and corrected properly. 3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, ECG, Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), etc.,). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated. The abbreviation should be avoided in the keywords. 5. In the statistical analysis the authors should include the version of the software along with the software

used and it may be given in a separate heading “Statistical analysis”. 6. The table legends (Table 2) should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the table without having to refer back to the main text of the manuscript. 7. The initial given along with the name of the authors cited in the text should be removed and also it should be arranged as per the journal instructions (Zhao Y et al.). This should be checked all over the manuscript.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

Manuscript NO: 72831

Title: Comparison between SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative pneumonia in children:
A retrospective analysis at the beginning of the pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05533296

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-08 16:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-08 16:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☐] Anonymous [☒] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The great retrospective case series.