PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 72857

Title: Radiomics-clinical nomogram for response to chemotherapy in synchronous liver metastasis of colorectal cancer: good, but not good enough

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05614441

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Hungary

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-07 10:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-07 19:00

Review time: 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

| **Peer-reviewer statements** | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [   ] Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: [   ] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors of the letter made a critique about the nomogram constructed by Ma et al. (WJG 27(38): 6465-6475). The contested parts of the article are legitimate, the original nomogram should have considered side, mutation-, MSI/MMR- and resectability status of the tumor. A minor suggestion is that instead of "issue" authors should use "limitation", in my opinion.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 72857

Title: Radiomics-clinical nomogram for response to chemotherapy in synchronous liver metastasis of colorectal cancer: good, but not good enough

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03806663

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-14 05:17

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-16 13:50

Review time: 2 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a concise letter to the editor, and it is important to know the reply of the authors of the original paper(Ma et al)