

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72874

Title: Subclavian artery stenting via bilateral radial artery access: case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06146559

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-01 09:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-01 10:44

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	☐ Grade A: Excellent ☒ Grade B: Very good ☐ Grade C: Good ☐ Grade D: Fair ☐ Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	☐ Grade A: Priority publishing ☒ Grade B: Minor language polishing ☐ Grade C: A great deal of language polishing ☐ Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	☐ Accept (High priority) ☒ Accept (General priority) ☐ Minor revision ☐ Major revision ☐ Rejection
Re-review	☒ Yes ☐ No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors presented a new approach to treat subclavian artery stenosis. This manuscript is well written and all points and criticisms of the endovascular technique have been mentioned. I have no comments about specific sections. Congratulations to Authors for this interesting paper.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 72874

Title: Subclavian artery stenting via bilateral radial artery access: case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06060603

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-02 03:30

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-10 00:35

Review time: 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	☒ Grade A: Excellent ☐ Grade B: Very good ☐ Grade C: Good ☐ Grade D: Fair ☐ Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	☐ Grade A: Priority publishing ☒ Grade B: Minor language polishing ☐ Grade C: A great deal of language polishing ☐ Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	☐ Accept (High priority) ☒ Accept (General priority) ☐ Minor revision ☐ Major revision ☐ Rejection
Re-review	☒ Yes ☐ No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described 4 patient who did Subclavian artery stenting via bilateral radial artery access. I think that this manuscript may be precious providing useful data to the literature and adding new evidence, but I have some concerns: Major concerns: 1. Studies have shown that cerebral angiography via radial artery access is safe. However, for stenting, there are no trials to prove its safety. This manuscript suggests that subclavian artery stenting via radial artery is feasible, but in this case, if the patient has complications, such as thrombosis, etc., is there a way to deal with it? 2. If the author could add a table to present the basic information and treatment details of the four patients, this manuscript will be more valuable. 3. How to ensure that the peripheral stent does not come out of the balloon when it is delivered directly by the guide wire without guiding catheter support, please specify.