

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72899

Title: The role of clinical data and multidetector computed tomography findings in acute

superior mesenteric artery embolism

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04367479 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 05:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-29 04:51

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The findings are original and are relevant for the clinical decision making. An external validation of this study would add to its value.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72899

Title: The role of clinical data and multidetector computed tomography findings in acute

superior mesenteric artery embolism

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02835073 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-25 10:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 08:42

Review time: 2 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

it is good enough for publication