Answers to the reviewers

Reviewer 1

Your results are very valuable in its field. Previous studies have mainly focused on the treatment and prognosis of patients with breast cancer after receiving NAC treatment. There are only a few studies and existing information on the prediction of pathological reactivity. In this interesting retrospective study, authors analyzed and explored the pathological reactivity of breast cancer after NAC. They also analyzed the possible mechanism of this change. This may potentially serve as a reference for the individualized treatment and prognosis of clinical NAC-assisted breast cancer treatment. In addition, this study also conducted an in-depth discussion on the diagnosis and efficacy analysis of breast cancer based on the relevant parameters of SUE technology that can provide a reference for clinical practice. I recommend that the manuscript can be published after polishing the English. Sincerely

Answer: Thank you for your approval

Reviewer 2

This study is designed well, and the results are interesting. The authors proposed to explore the accuracy of SUE on the evaluation of preoperative NAC in breast cancer. The figures and tables help the readers to make a more understanding of the study. After a minor revision, it can be accepted for publication. Comments: 1. A minor language editing is required. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. Tables should be edited. Is it possible to swap the horizontal and vertical rows in the table 2 and table 4? This might make it clearer. 3. The limit of the study should be discussed.

Answer: 1.The language was further polished while the polish report was submitted

  2. Have been edited the Tables.

  3. Have been discussed the limit of the study.