

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73076

Title: Rectal nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, glyceryl trinitrate, or their prophylaxis of combinations in the post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis: A network meta-analysis Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 05722857 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Associate Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Czech Republic Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-10 Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-17 11:37 Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-17 20:28 **Review time:** 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent analysis of possible postERCP AP prevention. Interesting results that the combination of the two suggested options (NSAID plus nitrate) is the most effective. Very robust literature search with excellent data extraction and analysis. Question for the authors: why is always rectal use of NSAID recommended why not i.v.? Is there any data on that in the literature? Not everybody likes suppositoria application :) Could authors add several sencences addresing this in the Discussion?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73076

Title: Rectal nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, glyceryl trinitrate, or their prophylaxis of combinations in the post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis: A network meta-analysis Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 05226098 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Associate Professor, Director Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-10 Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 03:04 Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-24 12:47 **Review time:** 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This network meta-analysis showed that the combination of rectal indomethacin 100 mg with sublingual glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) was the most effective strategy for preventing PEP; however, there was only two research on the combination of rectal diclofenac and sublingual GTN. It is unclear whether it is correct to evaluate with this two research. It should be evaluated by a large number of RCTs.