

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73104

Title: Secondary positioning of rotationally asymmetric refractive multifocal intraocular lens in a patient with glaucoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04668002

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: DDS, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-17 16:34

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-17 16:44

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Point 01 “So far, this is the first report of rapid proliferation of lens epithelial cells followed by asymmetrical multifocal IOL insertion and recovery after capsule polishing combined with IOL rotation.” How are the authors aware of that? Have they looked into the literature? Point 02 “We speculated the reasons for deterioration of postoperative visual quality such as postoperative inflammation which could lead to proliferation of lens epithelial cells and migration to the anterior surface of IOL, even lead to corneal endothelium edema and opacity of refractive media.” The authors need to refer to the literature in order to back up these speculations. Therefore, some references will be needed for this sentence. Point 03 There is some repetition of the results in the Discussion, without a discussion per se. Example: “At a one-year follow-up, visual quality was assessed using OPD Scan III (Nidek Inc., Tokyo, Japan), the tilt was acceptable in both horizontal and vertical directions without adversely affecting visual quality. At the same time, the lens position was also positive with no obvious tilt when viewed using ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM).” Point 04 The authors should draw a clear conclusion at the end of the discussion.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73104

Title: Secondary positioning of rotationally asymmetric refractive multifocal intraocular lens in a patient with glaucoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04668002

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: DDS, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sweden

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-17

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-25 15:57

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-25 16:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript now seems to be suitable for publication.