

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 73183

Title: Endoscopic Resection of Superficial Bowel Neoplasia: The Unmet Needs in the

Egyptian Practice

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05911820

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-16 21:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-22 23:27

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General: This was an interesting paper that shows the current understanding and status of endoscopic treatment of early gastrointestinal neoplasms in Egypt. However, there are several issues in this paper. Following comments should be referred to improve it. Major: 1. The questionnaire was used on 2300 people, and the response rate was 36.2%. We don't know the characteristics of the population objectively. There was a large percentage of answers from doctors at university hospitals, but are endoscopes not performed at general clinics? It seems to me that there is a considerable selection bias. For example, in Japan, general clinics perform a large number of endoscopies and play an important role. Please explain. 2. What percentage of internists, gastroenterologists, and surgeons perform endoscopy, respectively? Please explain. Minor: 1. REFERNCES 5 and 23 are in the same literature. Please correct it. 2. REFERENCES are in different formats. Please correct it.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 73183

Title: Endoscopic Resection of Superficial Bowel Neoplasia: The Unmet Needs in the

Egyptian Practice

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03251421

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-26 05:08

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-26 07:42

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic for this article is novel, since few studies focusing on physicians' attitudes and intellectual competencies. However, few adaptations are required to meet the acceptance standard. 1. The inappropriate design of the questionnaire. The 'knowledge' domain was designed for all physicians, however, the questions were not professional enough to distinguish physicians' knowledge. 2. There were 68.3% participants from university hospitals, however, there were no description about clinical resource distribution. Does university hospitals perform the majority of endoscopic treatment in Egypt? If not, there would be an inescapable selection bias. 3. Please introduce the current status about endoscopic treatment in Egypt in detail, otherwise the discussion would not be practical. 3. Reference 19 was not in the same formats with others, please correct it.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 73183

Title: Endoscopic Resection of Superficial Bowel Neoplasia: The Unmet Needs in the

Egyptian Practice

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00186081

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, FEBG, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-12

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-12 13:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-12 15:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors put forward an interesting survey, focusing on physicians' attitudes and intellectual competencies on superficial bowel neoplasia. The authors responded adequately to the reviewers. Please clarify the meaning of : 'In the Egyptian community, tertiary referral centers (university hospitals, teaching institutions) are rather equipped than the general and central hospitals as per the data from the current survey. Consequently, these centers offer most of the national daycare service and training." Do you mean better equipped?