Dear editor

Thank you for your letter. I have carefully revised all your questions and answered them one by one. The details are as follows.

Responses to the comments in the section 3 and 4 science quality are as follows:

1. Some minor language polishing should be corrected.

The article has been linguistic polished.

2. The tables and references should be edited.

The format and content of Table 1-3 have been modified. References 1-21 have been modified according to the rules and PMID and DOI have been added. DOI or PMID cannot be found in the 18th reference, so the link to this article is attached at the end of the reference.

3. The limit of the study should be listed and discussed.

The limit of the study have been listed and discussed in the discussion section.

Responses to the problems in the section 5 abbreviations are as follows:

The abbreviations in the article have been modified according to the rules. For example, the full name of IOCS was added in the abstract, the full name of RBCs was deleted in the transfusion indication section and the fibrinogen abbreviation in observation index and evaluation criteria was added. All abbreviations used in the table are defined at the bottom of the table.

Responses to the problems in the section 6 editorial office's comments:

I have cut down the title word count of the manuscript and revised the three-line tables.