

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 73401

Title: Stress Cardiomyopathy in Critical Care: A Case Series of 109 patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06187298 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 13:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-24 17:30

**Review time:** 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for providing such a large batch of patients with Stress Cardiomyopathy, a situation not common to ICUs. Also, the study design and management are commendable.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

Manuscript NO: 73401

Title: Stress Cardiomyopathy in Critical Care: A Case Series of 109 patients

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429326 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-23 02:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-05 15:29

**Review time:** 12 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The retrospective observation study is about a case series of patients with stress cardiomyopathy (SC) which is often under-recognized. It firstly reports and compares incidences, characteristics, complications and outcomes of SC stratified by the type of units (CCUs and MSICUs). When patients develop sudden or worsening unexplained hemodynamic instability, arrhythmias or respiratory failure, intensivists should have a high index of clinical suspicion for SC. 2. The title reflects the main subject of the case report well. The abstract summarizes and reflects the main subject of the study. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 3. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and significance of this study. 4.The authors should correct/rewrite some words: all "underrecognized" and "underreported" may be corrected to "under-recognized" and "under-reported". 5.Line 34, "49" should be corrected to "forty-nine". L 40, "needing" should be corrected to "needed". L 211, "echocardiographc" should be corrected to "echocardiographic". L 247, "Point of Care Ultrasound" should be deleted. 6. In Fig. 1, is it "day" or other things for "time for diagnosis" ? 7. References are sufficient. 8. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. 9. The manuscript met the requirements of biostatistics and ethics.