

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled " Diagnosis of an extremely rare case of malignant adenomyoepithelioma in pleomorphic adenoma: A case report" (ID: 73469). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval.

The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

1.Keywords should be appropriate. Formatting should be done according to journal guidelines.

Response: The key words have been modified. The article format has been modified according to journal guidelines.

2.High power view of figures should also be added.

Response: High power view of photograph has added, Figure 6 showed the tumor cells were heterotypic, with a high ratio of cytoplasm to nuclei; multiple mitotic figures ×400 HE

3.References should be rewritten and to be mentioned accurately in the text as per journal requirement.

Response: References has rewritten. We are very sorry for our incorrect witting. Thank you for your advice.

Reviewer #2:

1. The title should be slightly modified.

Response: The title has modified.

2. Precise the Abstract.

Response: Abstract has been streamlined.

3. Main contribution should be highlighted in Introduction.

Response: Introduction has been modified.

4. Some references need to be added in Introduction. Author should cite the following references: (i)

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8628084> (ii)

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.01767> (iii)

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11045-020-00756-7>

Response: These articles have been quoted in the text.

5. Case reports with analysis and Discussion should be described details and clearly and Should be Introduced novelty in this case report.

Response: Thank you for your advice. The discussion section has been modified.

6. Future scopes and limitations should be added as a section.

Response: Future scopes and limitations have added as a section.