

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Orthopedics*

**Manuscript NO:** 73487

**Title:** Social media growth of orthopaedic surgery residency programs in response to the COVID-19 pandemic

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04490836

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-12-30 11:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-07 10:29

**Review time:** 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <b>Y</b> ] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ <b>Y</b> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Article is well written. Additional review on similar topics with recent advances in field of visual education can be added.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Orthopedics*

**Manuscript NO:** 73487

**Title:** Social media growth of orthopaedic surgery residency programs in response to the COVID-19 pandemic

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05235565

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MBBS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Honorary Research Fellow, Senior Postdoctoral Fellow, Senior Research Fellow, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-22 14:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-02 20:10

**Review time:** 11 Days and 5 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would firstly like to compliment the Authors for their effort in shedding light on a such interesting aspect for T&O training. I have gone through the paper with great attention and interest. I would like to inform the Authors that I have made recommendations in favor of publication of the article on this Journal after minor revisions. -The topic is very interesting and catchy. -The abstract is well built. -The paper is well structured and written (evidently by native English speakers). -The introduction is informative. -Aim is stated. -Methods are clearly and properly written. -Statistics, figures and tables are appropriate. -Results are well presented. -I would add more referencing on articles based on the use of social media within Orthopaedics or other specialties (if existing) and further expand the introduction with brief but informative statements. -I would give a slightly different angle to the aims, adding more clinical/training implications points. -Why was only google used? What was the rationale behind the choice of using only Instagram and Twitter? Please clarify or consider other social media platforms. -I believe more clinical/training implications or further relevant reasons why the presented findings could be relevant in the field should be added. Are the only reasons the following mentioned ones? " to connect with applicants, to announce virtual opportunities, to highlight resident wellness..., etc.". For example Social Media are being used for courses, meetings, advertising, online teaching sessions, etc.. -The discussion should be further developed based on the previous comment. The already discussed aspects are relevant and should be kept. -Conclusions should be reviewed and implemented accordingly after having taken into account the previous two points. -The



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

abstract should be reviewed accordingly after having revised the paper.