

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73845

Title: Hepatocellular adenoma: Where are we now?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03731081

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-06 22:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-08 05:59

Review time: 1 Day and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statementsConflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors presented in their manuscript the genetic classification of hepatocellular adenoma. This classification is of practical importance for determining the risk of complications, in particular the risk of malignancy. The classification is a modern stratification of the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma. Classification is well related to morphology. The article needs to be used to determine the strategy for the treatment of hepatocellular adenoma. I recommend this manuscript for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73845

Title: Hepatocellular adenoma: Where are we now?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03013604

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FEBG, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-12 06:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-12 16:14

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and comprehensive update on hepatocellular adenoma (HCA) focusing on genetic and underlying pathways that diver HCA pathogenesis. The manuscript could be considered for publication after adopting journal's requirements. I would reconsider the proposed type of the manuscript as it is not written as an editorial.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73845

Title: Hepatocellular adenoma: Where are we now?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503561

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-12 01:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-20 08:30

Review time: 8 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written review: 1 The Title reflects the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 The Abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. 3 Key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study 5 The manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? 6 The research objectives are achieved by the experiments used in this study. The review is comprehensive and tutorial. 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? 8 The figures, diagrams and tables are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. 9. References. Generally sufficient. I wonder HCA occurs in some of genetic metabolic disease, most famously glycogen disease type Ia (von Gierke). I wonder the authors could mention on how we should put this category in this classification beautifully stated here. The reference should be added. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation is appropriate. 13. There are no problems in ethics.