

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73915

Title: Rare Pattern of Maisonneuve Fracture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05142912 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-10 16:12

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-10 16:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Good day. I would like to thank you for submitting this rare case report. It is an interesting case to read. It is well written and organized. You can add the findings of some imaging next to the figure ligands.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73915

Title: Rare Pattern of Maisonneuve Fracture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03518978 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-15 11:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-22 18:52

**Review time:** 7 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have tried to report a rare case of Maisonneuve fracture and possible Mechanism. The patient underwent open reduction and internal fixation in our hospital. A 6-month postoperative follow-up confirmed a good clinical outcome. The possible mechanism is supination adduction combined with pronation external rotation. Generally, this is an interesting case and report. However, there are some concerns which need to further clarify: 1. The preoperative x-rays in Figure 1 didn't show any abnormal. It's better to show some x-rays of Mortise view and/or stress view, which could find some abnormal in the syndesmosis. 2. It is not true to claim that "To our knowledge, this is the first time that this fracture pattern has been reported, and there are no similar cases in the English literature." There are more than 100 publications regarding Maisonneuve fracture. Some of them are listed as following. Bartoníček J, Rammelt S, Kamin K, Tuček M. "Double Maisonneuve fracture": an unknown fracture pattern. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2021 Sep 14. doi: 10.1007/s00068-021-01786-x. Online ahead of print.PMID: 34519863 Liu GP, Li JG, Gong X, Li JM. Maisonneuve injury with no fibula fracture: A case report. World J Clin Cases. 2021 May 26;9(15):3733-3740. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v9.i15.3733.PMID: 34046477 He JQ, Ma XL, Xin JY, Cao HB, Li N, Sun ZH, Wang GX, Fu X, Zhao B, Hu FK. Pathoanatomy and Injury Mechanism of Typical Maisonneuve Fracture. Orthop Surg. 2020 Dec;12(6):1644-1651. doi: 10.1111/os.12733. Epub 2020 Sep 7.PMID: 32896104 Inokuchi R, Jujo Y, Iwashita K, Takao M.Maisonneuve fracture: a type of ankle fracture.BMJ Case Rep. 2019 Nov 4;12(11):e231961. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2019-231961.PMID: 31690688 Alencar Neto JB, Cavalcante MLC, Pinto Neto LH, Lucena IF, Garrido RJ, Rocha PHMD. Maisonneuve Variant Lesion with Proximal Tibiofibular Dislocation.Rev



https://www.wjgnet.com

Bras Ortop (Sao Paulo). 2019 May;54(3):339-342. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1692625. Epub 2019 Jun 27.PMID: 31363291 Bartoníček J, Rammelt S, Kašper Š, Malík J, Tuček M. Pathoanatomy of Maisonneuve fracture based on radiologic and CT examination. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2019 Apr;139(4):497-506. doi: 10.1007/s00402-018-3099-2. Epub 2018 Dec 14.PMID: 30552509 Richmond RR, Henebry AD. A Maisonneuve Fracture in an Active Duty Sailor: A Case Report. Mil Med. 2018 May 1;183(5-6):e278-e280. doi: 10.1093/milmed/usx080.PMID: 29415223



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 73915

Title: Rare Pattern of Maisonneuve Fracture: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03518978 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-09 06:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-12 13:06

**Review time:** 3 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have addressed my concerns. I agree to publish this paper. Thanks!