PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Small and not representative sample. Apparently only females, only with children, so no representation of single female (not in any relationship), or single male nurses. Also being a nurse is different that being a doctor or any other medcial professional? Also, nurses that voluntarily accepted to spend over one hour on an interview did that to disclose their grievances against their employers? is it possible that only the most disgruntled nurses accepted participation? 2. Repetitive and long methods section. Needs lingustic polishing and condensing. 3. results must be results. Pick the most interesting parts from the tables. Do not discuss or compare other's findings 4. In general you should try to shorten everyting. Same sentences appear multiple times. 5.Lanuage needs to be polished, changed, improved. Use a native speaker. Use short concise sentences. 6. Conclusion needs to be enticing. i do not understand the first sentence.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for conducting this study entitled "Family   Relationship of Nurses in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Research" for possible publication in the esteemed journal "World Journal of Psychiatry". The manuscript describes a relationship of the nurses with their families in COVID-19 pandemic period. I have the following comments: 1. Please unify the writing of COVID-19 across the manuscript.   2. The manuscript needs minor corrections of the English language and editing. 3. The abstract is well written, summarize, and reflect the work described in the manuscript. However, it needs: a. Fractionation into background, aim, methods, results, and conclusion, according to the style of the journal. b. Minor English language and editing corrections. 4. Each keyword should be started with a capital letter and separated by a semicolon. 5. I think the title "Highlight" should be changed to "Core tip" according to the style of the journal. Besides, there is an unnecessary repetition of the words (this review) as well as you must change the word "review" into study or investigation. 6. You should follow the references style of the journal. 7. Remember that the term "COVID-19" is an abbreviation of Coronavirus Disease 2019. Besides, COVID-19 is a disease caused by a novel SARS-CoV-19 virus. Please not forget this. 8. Please take care of unnecessary and wrong repetition of the "coronavirus (COVID-19)" across the manuscript.   9. Are your participants females or males or both. Moreover, the inclusion and exclusion criteria should be written in more detail. 10. The closed-ended questions about the nurses' demographic characteristics and working conditions you mentioning are only 9 from 10. 11. Other notes I mentioned them in the main file. 12. During the enumeration process you should be ended with an and before the last one in the process. 13. Write the full term of the SPSS. Which version you used?. 14. The tables need many corrections as

they appear in the main manuscript file.

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the opportunity of allowing me to review this manuscript. herewith are some comments: Title is informative   Abstract The abstract must be able to stand alone. the study abstract is not well organized and it misses an important detail whether in the methodology or result sections.   "This study was planned", It is recommended to replace aimed.   it is suggested to change the phrase "to examine the family relationship of nurses in the COVID-19 pandemic" to "to examine the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on nurses' family relationship".   "Research shows nurses suffer from their family relationships breakdown ", which research do you mean? I think the authors here must discuss some background context to the impact of covid-19 on nurses' family relations not the current study findings as that would make the abstract confusing and hard to comprehend. please clarify.   Highlight: Introduction: line 3: "with these qualifications", what kind of qualifications? please clarify   there is a long and detailed discussion about Roy's modeس of adaption in the introduction which made this chapter imbalanced. please provide a citation to Roy's statement.   "Interruption of the family relationship is the breakdown of continuity in functioning effectively due to situational crises in a family, which is supportive and functions effectively under normal circumstances, and the disruption in the process of coping with stressors. This condition might be caused by the disease, breakdown of family routines, alteration in family function, loss of a family member, abandoning, separation, divorce, economic problems and emotional changes in members due to treatments.", these statements must be supported by references.   "Involved among the virus groups causing Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome". kindly rebuild this sentence to provide clearer meaning.   "It has been determined that the rate of nurses giving care to patients diagnosed with

COVID-19 in their unit is 82.1%.", that is a confusing statement. is this the percentage of nursing manpower worked with covid-19 patients? there is no clear conceptual definition of family relationship this section does not provide detailed information about what literature was produced regarding the psychological impact of covid-19 on nurses' family relationships and what previous studies found. methods: overall, the method used was appropriate to answer the study questions. however, some typos need to be corrected. Results: well organized and thematic analysis was the most appropriate. Discussion: Subtheme 1: Mother-child relationship: the author must interpret their findings and support that with literature references. studies that explored infant-mother separation indicated that both children and mothers face serious physical and psychological consequences and bonding problems. it could be more beneficial to discuss further how this separation affects mothers. Subtheme 2: Relationship between the partners: covid has also caused financial burdens. more people were not able to keep their job and stayed more at home. according to participant 4 "being home all the time means more fights". this perspective is essential and needs to be addressed further. in this section, the ineffective role performance is discussed more than how covid affected partners relationship. please further interpret your findings and move ineffective role performance to the next section. Theme 2: Ineffectiveness in Role Performance the demographics of the sample doesn't provide information about participants' gender which made the discussion confusing because males and female perform certain and specific roles within their family. the majority of responses were in feminine pronouns, yet in the discussion, it is in masculine pronouns. this section also required further discussion as the majority of responses focused on the motherhood roles. it is necessary to explore the meaning of that issues and how it impacts nurses. Theme 3: Ineffective Individual Coping well written conclusion: well written Relevance to clinical practice: well written. are there any study limitations?

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73948

Title: Family relationship of nurses in COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative study

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109990

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBChB, N/A

Professional title: Academic Research, Full Professor, Senior Editor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iraq

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-09

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-25 05:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-25 09:38

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[　] Grade A: Excellent　[　] Grade B: Very good　[Y] Grade C: Good [　] Grade D: Fair　[　] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[　] Grade A: Priority publishing　[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [　] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing　[　] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[　] Accept (High priority)　[　] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision　[　] Major revision　[　] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous　[　] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [　] Yes　[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for revising this article entitled "Family Relationship of Nurses in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Research" for possible publication in the esteemed journal "World Journal of Clinical Cases". The manuscript describes a relationship of the nurses with their families in COVID-19 pandemic period. I have the following comments: 1. You didn't highlight your changes by a color. 2. Take care about the beginning of a sentence or paragraph with a capital letter and with an appropriate space. 3. The abstract: Minor English language and editing corrections like fear of The Coronavirus Disease 2019(COVID-19) and andfaced. 4. Each keyword should be started with a capital letter. 5. Introduction a. (physical, mental, social, moral) changed to (physical, mental, social, and moral) b. You should follow the references style of the journal. c. Please correct these: 1. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection 2. the COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-19) virus causes may be asymptomatic and mild or severe symptomatic and serious acute respiratory tract infections. d. Please take care of unnecessary and wrong repetition of the "coronavirus (COVID-19)" across the manuscript. Besides, you don't need to repeat the full term of the COVID-19. 6. Materials and Methods a. Correct the spelling of these words (personel, Reseachers, assuptions, metodology, ackwowledges, contex, nurses's, familiy, soscial, and reseach) b. It is better to use the numbering process of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. c. The pages 8-13 are blank. d. Please try to avoid the repetition for example "Nine of these nurses were excluded from the study due to communication problems and device problems during the interview.", "The nurses participating in the study were chosen from the provinces of Istanbul, Ankara and Gaziantep, where the number of COVID-19 cases is higher." e. "The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences(SPSS) 25" corrected to "The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25" 7. Results a. Correct the

spelling of the words "fulfil"   b. Check the 31.84±23.07. c. In addition, 33.9% were working in the intensive care unit, 27.8% in the COVID-19 service and 44.4% in other services (internal medicine, surgery, emergency services). 33.9 + 27.8 + 44.4% = 106.1!!!! please correct these percentages. d. Table 1 and 2 are absent from the manuscript. 8. Discussion: a. No limitations of study were mentioned like small sample size. b. Correct the spelling of the word "undescribable" c. "h" change it to "hour"