Reviewer 1	
1. The structure (order of imaging techniques) of	Thanks for pointing this out. We have edited the
some sections (Abstract,Introduction,Conclusion	order in abstract and in the manuscript. Also
section) of this manuscript is not clear or	another heading of Advanced imaging
confusing. Should the author adjust the order of	Techniques has been added after introduction.
imaging techniques in those sections, to be	
consistent with the order in the text (ultrasound	
techniques, CT techniques and MRI techniques	
2. About the capitalization of the first letter of	Edited as required
English words: Many words in the text have their	
initials capitalized. This is inconsistent with the	
periodical format requirements, please check and	
modify.	
3. There are no full names before the	edited
abbreviations of some professional terms, such as	
MRI, etc.	
4. Introduction section: Line 5: Multidetector	edited
Multidetector Computed Tomography (MDCT)	
formsMultidetector is a duplicate word.	
5. The brackets in the Figure legends are	Edited as per the journal specifications
inconsistent, please according to the requirements	
of the journal. Fig 1 A) Greyscale US image	
shows heteroechoic lesion from the lower pole of	
the right kidney. B,C,D,E) Multiphase CEUS	
images show no, and Fig 2. CEUS images of a	
solid-cystic lesion in the left kidney show thick	
nodular septal enhancement (arrow, A) and	

enhancement.	
6. Abstract section: However, few of the renal	edited
masses remain indeterminate even. Introduction	
section: P2L1: Current imaging methods for the	
evaluation of renal tumors suffer from few major	
drawbacks. Maybe the readers can't accurately	
understand the meaning of the original text. Few	
or a few? Please check them.	
). 7. Diffusion-weighted imaging section: Many	DKI has been further explained as to its specific
researchers are showing interest in evaluating the	role in RCC.
role of intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) and	
Diffusion kurtosis in differentiating benign from	
malignant renal masses and also in the grading.	
The author mentioned DKI without further	
explanation. It is suggested to delete DKI or add	
explanation.	
8.Figures:Good.	We thank the reviewer for the comment.
Reviewer#2:	
Specific Comments to Authors: The review is	We thank the reviewer for the encouraging
well written and provides a comprehensive	comment. We have expanded the application of
discussion of the topic. Nevertheless the part	FDG PET in RCC and also added FDG and non
concerning nuclear medicine is too short.	FDG tracers
Furthermore, the first sentence of this paragraph	
should be more precise. It is FDG PET the	

imaging method which is not so accurate in the	
discussed issue. Indeed reference 71 refers to	
FDG. I would suggest to expand this paragraph	
making a discrimination between fdg and non-fdg	
tracers.	