

# World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

*World J Clin Cases* 2022 August 26; 10(24): 8432-8807



**EDITORIAL**

- 8432 Evolution of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* over the past 5 years  
*Muthu S*

**OPINION REVIEW**

- 8436 NF- $\kappa$ B: A novel therapeutic pathway for gastroesophageal reflux disease?  
*Zhang ML, Ran LQ, Wu MJ, Jia QC, Qin ZM, Peng YG*

**MINIREVIEWS**

- 8443 Obligate aerobic, gram-positive, weak acid-fast, nonmotile bacilli, *Tsukamurella tyrosinosolvans*: Minireview of a rare opportunistic pathogen  
*Usuda D, Tanaka R, Suzuki M, Shimozawa S, Takano H, Hotchi Y, Tokunaga S, Osugi I, Katou R, Ito S, Mishima K, Kondo A, Mizuno K, Takami H, Komatsu T, Oba J, Nomura T, Sugita M*
- 8450 Diffusion tensor imaging pipeline measures of cerebral white matter integrity: An overview of recent advances and prospects  
*Safri AA, Nassir CMNCM, Iman IN, Mohd Taib NH, Achuthan A, Mustapha M*
- 8463 Graft choices for anterolateral ligament knee reconstruction surgery: Current concepts  
*Chalidis B, Pitsilos C, Kitridis D, Givissis P*
- 8474 Overview of the anterolateral complex of the knee  
*Garcia-Mansilla I, Zicaro JP, Martinez EF, Astoul J, Yacuzzi C, Costa-Paz M*
- 8482 Complication of lengthening and the role of post-operative care, physical and psychological rehabilitation among fibula hemimelia  
*Salimi M, Sarallah R, Javanshir S, Mirghaderi SP, Salimi A, Khanzadeh S*

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Clinical and Translational Research**

- 8490 Pyroptosis-related genes play a significant role in the prognosis of gastric cancer  
*Guan SH, Wang XY, Shang P, Du QC, Li MZ, Xing X, Yan B*

**Retrospective Study**

- 8506 Effects of propofol combined with lidocaine on hemodynamics, serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone, interleukin-6, and cortisol in children  
*Shi S, Gan L, Jin CN, Liu RF*
- 8514 Correlation analysis of national elite Chinese male table tennis players' shoulder proprioception and muscle strength  
*Shang XD, Zhang EM, Chen ZL, Zhang L, Qian JH*

- 8525** Clinical value of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in early diagnosis of small hepatocellular carcinoma ( $\leq 2$  cm)

*Mei Q, Yu M, Chen Q*

- 8535** Identification of predictive factors for post-transarterial chemoembolization liver failure in hepatocellular carcinoma patients: A retrospective study

*Yuan M, Chen TY, Chen XR, Lu YF, Shi J, Zhang WS, Ye C, Tang BZ, Yang ZG*

- 8547** Clinical significance of half-hepatic blood flow occlusion technology in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma with cirrhosis

*Liu D, Fang JM, Chen XQ*

- 8556** Which octogenarian patients are at higher risk after cholecystectomy for symptomatic gallstone disease? A single center cohort study

*D'Acapito F, Solaini L, Di Pietrantonio D, Tauceri F, Mirarchi MT, Antelmi E, Flamini F, Amato A, Framarini M, Ercolani G*

#### Clinical Trials Study

- 8568** Computed tomography combined with gastroscopy for assessment of pancreatic segmental portal hypertension

*Wang YL, Zhang HW, Lin F*

#### Observational Study

- 8578** Psychological needs of parents of children with complicated congenital heart disease after admitting to pediatric intensive care unit: A questionnaire study

*Zhu JH, Jin CD, Tang XM*

#### Prospective Study

- 8587** Quantitative differentiation of malignant and benign thyroid nodules with multi-parameter diffusion-weighted imaging

*Zhu X, Wang J, Wang YC, Zhu ZF, Tang J, Wen XW, Fang Y, Han J*

#### Randomized Controlled Trial

- 8599** Application of unified protocol as a transdiagnostic treatment for emotional disorders during COVID-19: An internet-delivered randomized controlled trial

*Yan K, Yusufi MH, Nazari N*

- 8615** High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy during anesthesia recovery for older orthopedic surgery patients: A prospective randomized controlled trial

*Li XN, Zhou CC, Lin ZQ, Jia B, Li XY, Zhao GF, Ye F*

#### SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 8625** Assessment tools for differential diagnosis of neglect: Focusing on egocentric neglect and allocentric neglect

*Lee SH, Lim BC, Jeong CY, Kim JH, Jang WH*

**CASE REPORT**

- 8634** Exome analysis for Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: A case report  
*Li ZD, Rong L, He YJ, Ji YZ, Li X, Song FZ, Li XA*
- 8641** Discrepancy between non-invasive prenatal testing result and fetal karyotype caused by rare confined placental mosaicism: A case report  
*Li Z, Lai GR*
- 8648** Paroxysmal speech disorder as the initial symptom in a young adult with anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: A case report  
*Hu CC, Pan XL, Zhang MX, Chen HF*
- 8656** Anesthetics management of a renal angiomyolipoma using pulse pressure variation and non-invasive cardiac output monitoring: A case report  
*Jeon WJ, Shin WJ, Yoon YJ, Park CW, Shim JH, Cho SY*
- 8662** Traumatic giant cell tumor of rib: A case report  
*Chen YS, Kao HW, Huang HY, Huang TW*
- 8667** Analysis of two naval pilots' ejection injuries: Two case reports  
*Zeng J, Liu XP, Yi JC, Lu X, Liu DD, Jiang YQ, Liu YB, Tian JQ*
- 8673** Beware of the DeBakey type I aortic dissection hidden by ischemic stroke: Two case reports  
*Chen SQ, Luo WL, Liu W, Wang LZ*
- 8679** Unilateral lichen planus with Blaschko line distribution: A case report  
*Dong S, Zhu WJ, Xu M, Zhao XQ, Mou Y*
- 8686** Clinical features and progress of ischemic gastritis with high fatalities: Seven case reports  
*Shionoya K, Sasaki A, Moriya H, Kimura K, Nishino T, Kubota J, Sumida C, Tasaki J, Ichita C, Makazu M, Masuda S, Koizumi K, Kawachi J, Tsukiyama T, Kako M*
- 8695** Retinoblastoma in an older child with secondary glaucoma as the first clinical presenting symptom: A case report  
*Zhang Y, Tang L*
- 8703** Recurrent herpes zoster in a rheumatoid arthritis patient treated with tofacitinib: A case report and review of the literature  
*Lin QX, Meng HJ, Pang YY, Qu Y*
- 8709** Intra-abdominal ectopic bronchogenic cyst with a mucinous neoplasm harboring a *GNAS* mutation: A case report  
*Murakami T, Shimizu H, Yamazaki K, Nojima H, Usui A, Kosugi C, Shuto K, Obi S, Sato T, Yamazaki M, Koda K*
- 8718** Effects of intravascular photobiomodulation on motor deficits and brain perfusion images in intractable myasthenia gravis: A case report  
*Lan CH, Wu YC, Chiang CC, Chang ST*

- 8728** Spontaneous acute epidural hematoma secondary to skull and dural metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma: A case report  
*Lv GZ, Li GC, Tang WT, Zhou D, Yang Y*
- 8735** Malignant melanotic nerve sheath tumors in the spinal canal of psammomatous and non-psammomatous type: Two case reports  
*Yeom JA, Song YS, Lee IS, Han IH, Choi KU*
- 8742** When should endovascular gastrointestinal anastomosis transection Glissonean pedicle not be used in hepatectomy? A case report  
*Zhao J, Dang YL*
- 8749** VARS2 gene mutation leading to overall developmental delay in a child with epilepsy: A case report  
*Wu XH, Lin SZ, Zhou YQ, Wang WQ, Li JY, Chen QD*
- 8755** Junctional bradycardia in a patient with COVID-19: A case report  
*Aedh AI*
- 8761** Application of 3 dimension-printed injection-molded polyether ether ketone lunate prosthesis in the treatment of stage III Kienböck's disease: A case report  
*Yuan CS, Tang Y, Xie HQ, Liang TT, Li HT, Tang KL*
- 8768** High scored thyroid storm after stomach cancer perforation: A case report  
*Baik SM, Pae Y, Lee JM*
- 8775** Cholecystitis-an uncommon complication following thoracic duct embolization for chylothorax: A case report  
*Dung LV, Hien MM, Tra My TT, Luu DT, Linh LT, Duc NM*
- 8782** Endometrial squamous cell carcinoma originating from the cervix: A case report  
*Shu XY, Dai Z, Zhang S, Yang HX, Bi H*
- 8788** Type 2 autoimmune pancreatitis associated with severe ulcerative colitis: Three case reports  
*Ghali M, Bensted K, Williams DB, Ghaly S*
- 8797** Diffuse uterine leiomyomatosis: A case report and review of literature  
*Ren HM, Wang QZ, Wang JN, Hong GJ, Zhou S, Zhu JY, Li SJ*

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- 8805** Comment on "Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome in a patient with metastatic breast cancer: A case report"  
*Kunić S, Ibrahimagić OĆ, Kojić B, Džananović D*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Al-Emam, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, King Khalid University, Abha 62521, Saudi Arabia. amalemam@kku.edu.sa

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases)* is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJCC* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJCC* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The *WJCC*'s CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: *Ying-Yi Yuan*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

April 16, 2013

**FREQUENCY**

Thrice Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

August 26, 2022

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

## Retrospective Study

# Effects of propofol combined with lidocaine on hemodynamics, serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone, interleukin-6, and cortisol in children

Song Shi, Lu Gan, Chun-Nv Jin, Rong-Fang Liu

**Specialty type:** Anesthesiology**Provenance and peer review:**

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Ando M, Japan;  
Lankadeva YR, Australia**Received:** January 17, 2022**Peer-review started:** January 17, 2022**First decision:** March 3, 2022**Revised:** March 17, 2022**Accepted:** July 18, 2022**Article in press:** July 18, 2022**Published online:** August 26, 2022**Song Shi, Lu Gan, Rong-Fang Liu**, Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, Baoding 071000, Hebei Province, China**Chun-Nv Jin**, Department of Anesthesiology, Baoding Maternal and Child Health Hospital, Baoding 071000, Hebei Province, China**Corresponding author:** Rong-Fang Liu, MD, Attending Doctor, Department of Anesthesiology, Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University, No. 212 Yuhua East Road, Baoding 071000, Hebei Province, China. [liurf2021@163.com](mailto:liurf2021@163.com)

## Abstract

### BACKGROUND

Children are a unique patient population. Anesthesia for pediatric abdominal surgery has long been achieved mainly with intravenous amiodarone and propofol alone or combined with other anesthetics. The incidence of complications and postoperative adverse reactions is relatively high owing to the imperfect development of various protocols for children. Choosing the most appropriate anesthesia program is an important means of reducing adverse reactions.

### AIM

To explore the clinical value of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted anesthesia in pediatric surgery.

### METHODS

A total of 120 children who underwent abdominal surgery at our hospital from January 2016 to March 2018 were selected and divided into groups A and B using the random number table method, with 60 patients in each group. Group B received ketamine for anesthesia, while group A received ketamine, propofol, and lidocaine. The pre- and postoperative heart rate (HR); mean arterial pressure (MAP); arterial oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>); serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and cortisol (Cor) levels; restlessness score during the recovery period [Paediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale (PAED)]; and adverse reactions were compared between the two groups.

### RESULTS

The HR, MAP, and SpO<sub>2</sub> Level at five minutes before initiating anesthesia were compared between groups A and B, and the difference was not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). At 10 and 20 minutes after anesthesia initiation, the HR and MAP were lower in group A compared with group B ( $P < 0.05$ ). The differences in preoperative serum ACTH, IL-6, and Cor levels between groups A and B were not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ); however, the postoperative serum ACTH, IL-6, and Cor levels in group A were lower compared with group B ( $P < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, the visual analog scale scores of group A at 2 h and 8 h postoperative were lower than those in group B, and the differences were statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). The mean PAED score in group A was lower than that in group B ( $P < 0.05$ ), and the incidence of restlessness in group A was 23.33% lower than that in group B (36.67 %) ( $P < 0.05$ ). The incidence of adverse reactions was lower in group A than in group B (6.25% *vs* 16.25%).

### CONCLUSION

The anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine and ketamine in pediatric surgery was better than that of ketamine alone, and had less influence on hemodynamics and pediatric stress response indices, lower incidence of restlessness in the recovery period, and lower incidence of adverse reactions.

**Key Words:** Ketamine; Propofol; Lidocaine; Anesthesia; Children

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Propofol is a general anesthesia drug with fast onset, short duration, and fast recovery, but it can cause obvious pain during injection. Injection pain can be reduced by lidocaine combined with propofol. This study was to observe the anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine in pediatric surgery, and to provide guidance and basis for clinical practice.

**Citation:** Shi S, Gan L, Jin CN, Liu RF. Effects of propofol combined with lidocaine on hemodynamics, serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone, interleukin-6, and cortisol in children. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(24): 8506-8513

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i24/8506.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i24.8506>

## INTRODUCTION

Pediatric abdominal surgery is a common type of pediatric surgery. Due to the poor tolerance of children and their susceptibility to crying and other adverse emotions, general anesthesia is typically used in clinical operations. Therefore, the selection of the most appropriate anesthetic drugs is of great significance for improving pediatric surgery outcomes. The best choice for anesthesia should not only meet the surgical requirements, but also allow children to recover in the shortest amount of time[1]. At present, the compound anesthesia method is commonly used for pediatric general anesthesia, as ketamine is a deep analgesic drug that has little effect on children's respiratory and circulatory systems, and is commonly used in clinical settings. However, when the dosage is too high or the operation time is prolonged, ketamine is associated with increased adverse reactions. In recent years, propofol has been found to be a fast and effective general anesthesia drug with the advantages of rapid onset, short duration, and rapid recovery. However, propofol injections cause obvious pain during the process; therefore, lidocaine is combined with propofol to reduce the injection pain[2]. This study observed the anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine in pediatric surgery to provide guidance and a basis for clinical practice.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Clinical data

A total of 120 children who underwent abdominal surgery at our hospital from January 2016 to March 2018 were selected and randomly divided into groups A and B, with 60 patients in each group.

Group A included 39 boys and 21 girls aged 1–12 years (mean  $6.3 \pm 2.6$  years) with an average weight of  $22.6 \pm 4.5$  kg and an average operation time of  $48.2 \pm 9.0$  min. Group B included 42 boys and 18 girls aged 1–12 years (mean  $6.1 \pm 3.2$  years) with a mean weight of  $23.0 \pm 4.9$  kg and an average operation time of  $50.0 \pm 10.2$  min. Age, sex, weight, and operation time were compared between the two groups

and the differences were not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Children who underwent elective surgery; (2) children 1-12 years old; (3) children who underwent surgeries performed by the same group of anesthesiologists and surgeons; and (4) provision of written informed consent from the parents or guardians.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) History of liver and kidney function diseases; (2) history of congenital heart diseases; (3) history of immune function and blood system diseases; and (4) history of major diseases associated with other systems.

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from the parents.

### **Methods of anesthesia**

Phenobarbital and atropine were intramuscularly injected preoperatively, and ketamine (5 mg/kg) was used for the induction of anesthesia.

Participants in group A were administered ketamine, propofol, and lidocaine (ketamine 100 mg, propofol 60 mg, and lidocaine 40 mg mixed with 10 mL liquid) at 0.2-0.4 mL/kg/h *via* a pump, according to the individual intraoperative requirements of each child, and was discontinued 5 min before the end of the operation.

Participants in group B were administered 1% ketamine intravenously for anesthesia.

### **Observation indicators and detection methods**

Heart rate (HR), mean arterial pressure (MAP), and arterial oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) at 5 min before anesthesia (T0), 10 min after anesthesia (T1), 20 min after anesthesia (T2), and at the end of surgery (T3) were monitored and compared between the two groups. Serum adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), interleukin-6 (IL-6), cortisol (Cor), emergence agitation score [Paediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale (PAED)], and adverse reactions were observed before and after the operation.

The agitation score, which included five indicators (eye contact, purposeful behavior, awareness of the surrounding environment, uneasiness, and consolability), was recorded upon awakening. The higher the score, the more serious the anesthesia emergence delirium, and a PAED score  $\geq 10$  indicated restlessness.

Fasting venous blood samples (5 mL) were obtained and centrifuged at 2500 rpm, and the serum was extracted for testing. ACTH, IL-6, and Cor levels were measured using the electrochemiluminescence method. The concentration of IL-6 was measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. All reagents were obtained from Nanjing Jiancheng Biological Products Co., Ltd., and strictly used in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

The degree of postoperative pain was evaluated using the visual analog scale (10 points indicating the highest level of pain and 0 points indicating the lowest). According to the subjective pain scores of the children, the higher the score, the more serious the pain.

### **Statistical analysis**

The measurement data were expressed as means  $\pm$  SD, and the comparisons between groups were performed using two independent sample *t*-tests. The  $\chi^2$  test was used for comparison of enumeration data between groups. A  $P < 0.05$  indicated a statistically significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

---

## **RESULTS**

---

### **Comparison of hemodynamic indexes of two groups of children**

At T0, the HR, MAP and SpO<sub>2</sub> Levels were compared between group A and group B, and the difference was not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). At T1 and T2, HR and MAP in group A were lower than those in group B ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 1).

### **Comparison of serum ACTH, IL-6 and Cor levels in the two groups of children**

Preoperative serum ACTH, IL-6 and Cor levels in group A and group B were compared, and the difference is not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). The levels of serum ACTH, IL-6 and Cor in group A were lower than those in group B after operation ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

### **Comparison of the occurrence of restlessness during the wake of the two groups of children**

The score of PAED in group A was lower than that in group B ( $P < 0.05$ ). The incidence of dysphoria in group A (23.33%) was lower than that in group B (36.67%) ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 3).

**Table 1 Comparison of hemodynamic indexes of two groups of children (mean  $\pm$  SD)**

Groups	T0	T1	T2	T3
HR (times/min)				
A group	113.6 $\pm$ 8.2	120.5 $\pm$ 8.0	118.9 $\pm$ 7.5	115.5 $\pm$ 8.4
B group	115.0 $\pm$ 9.0	126.1 $\pm$ 7.4	125.0 $\pm$ 8.3	116.8 $\pm$ 8.0
F value	F1 = 13.025, F2 = 15.776, F3 = 8.169			
P value	P1 = 0.000, P2 = 0.000, P3 = 0.000			
MAP (mmHg)				
A group	85.2 $\pm$ 6.9	92.7 $\pm$ 5.5	92.0 $\pm$ 5.9	87.0 $\pm$ 5.3
B group	84.8 $\pm$ 6.5	96.0 $\pm$ 6.2	95.1 $\pm$ 6.8	89.1 $\pm$ 6.5
F value	F1 = 9.881, F2 = 13.764, F3 = 6.990			
P value	P1 = 0.000, P2 = 0.000, P3 = 0.000			
SpO <sub>2</sub> (%)				
A group	98.2 $\pm$ 0.6	97.7 $\pm$ 0.7	97.8 $\pm$ 0.6	98.0 $\pm$ 0.5
B group	98.3 $\pm$ 0.7	97.6 $\pm$ 0.6	97.9 $\pm$ 0.8	97.8 $\pm$ 0.7
F value	F1 = 2.514, F2 = 6.395, F3 = 1.552			
P value	P1 = 0.168, P2 = 0.000, P3 = 0.351			

F1 and P1 are between groups, F2 and P2 are time effect, F3 and P3 are interaction. HR: Heart rate; MAP: mean arterial pressure; SpO<sub>2</sub>: Arterial oxygen saturation.

**Table 2 Comparison of serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone, interleukin-6, and cortisol levels in two groups of children (mean  $\pm$  SD)**

Groups	ACTH (ng/L)		IL-6 (ng/L)		Cor (nmol/L)	
	Preoperative	12 h after operation	Preoperative	12 h after operation	Preoperative	12 h after operation
A group (n = 60)	116.3 $\pm$ 15.7	130.2 $\pm$ 18.2	5.77 $\pm$ 2.01	12.41 $\pm$ 4.29	24.18 $\pm$ 4.26	36.80 $\pm$ 6.90
B group (n = 60)	114.7 $\pm$ 13.5	145.0 $\pm$ 22.1	6.03 $\pm$ 2.28	18.15 $\pm$ 5.88	25.04 $\pm$ 5.51	53.36 $\pm$ 8.15
t value	0.599	-4.004	-0.663	-6.109	-0.956	-12.012
P value	0.551	0.000	0.509	0.000	0.341	0.000

ACTH: Adrenocorticotrophic hormone; IL-6: Interleukin-6; Cor: Cortisol.

**Table 3 Comparison of the occurrence of restlessness in the two groups of children during the waking period**

Groups	PAED score (points)	Incidence of restlessness, n (%)
A group (n = 60)	6.2 $\pm$ 2.5	14 (23.33)
B group (n = 60)	8.1 $\pm$ 2.9	22 (36.67)
t/ $\chi^2$ value	-3.844	4.104
P value	0.000	0.043

PAED: Paediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale.

### **Comparison of extubation time, awake time, and out-of-room time between the two groups of children**

The extubation time, awake time and leaving room time were compared between group A and group B, and the difference was not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 4).

**Table 4 Comparison of extubation time, awake time, and out-of-room time between the two groups (mean ± SD)**

Groups	Pull-out time (min)	Wake time (min)	Off room time (min)
A group (n = 60)	7.66 ± 1.84	10.59 ± 2.30	22.63 ± 3.81
B group (n = 60)	8.01 ± 1.92	11.36 ± 2.45	23.70 ± 3.54
t value	-1.019	-1.775	-1.594
P value	0.310	0.078	0.114

### Comparison of postoperative visual analog scale scores between the two groups of children

The visual analog scale scores of group A at 2 h and 8 h after operation were lower than those of group B, and the differences were statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 5).

### Comparison of the incidence of adverse reactions in children between the two groups

The incidence of adverse reactions in group A (6.25%) was lower than that in group B (16.25%) ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 6).

## DISCUSSION

Abdominal surgery is a common surgical procedure in pediatric patients. Due to their unique anatomical and physiological characteristics and relatively narrow airways, they have an increased risk of airway resistance during surgery. Therefore, pediatric patients consume more oxygen during surgery as they are prone to hypoxia. Moreover, children lack type I muscle fibers in the diaphragm and intercostal muscles; therefore, respiratory muscle fatigue can easily occur during breathing. Therefore, abdominal surgery is often performed with controlled mechanical breathing[3]. Due to the incomplete development of various physiological functions, imperfect development of the myocardial structure, poor myocardial systolic function, poor ventricular compliance, and thin abdominal walls in children, a series of complications can occur during the operation. Therefore, selecting the most appropriate anesthesia program is extremely important[4,5]. Historically, general anesthesia has been mainly used in pediatric abdominal surgery. General anesthesia can meet the needs of analgesia and sedation in children, and anesthetic equipment facilitates unobstructed breathing, effectively reducing the risk of reflux aspiration during the operation and ensuring the safety of the operation. However, in the process of general anesthesia, children's bodies show obvious stress responses, and hemodynamic fluctuations usually occur. In order to reduce these adverse reactions, anesthesia is deepened by increasing the anesthetic and analgesic drug doses; however, this can lead to liver and kidney dysfunction. Therefore, adverse reactions such as delayed recovery, recovery, and postoperative respiratory depression are prone to occur[6,7]. In addition, it was also reported that general anesthesia could inhibit the cerebral cortex of children and was unable to block the conduction process of surgical nociceptive stimulation towards the sympathetic nerve, evidenced by an increase in the excitability of the sympathetic and adrenal medulla systems and hemodynamic fluctuation. Therefore, effective regulation of stress responses during anesthesia and achievement of good muscle relaxation and analgesia has been an important research topic in anesthesia for pediatric abdominal surgery[8,9].

In this study, propofol combined with lidocaine was used to assist general anesthesia in pediatric abdominal surgery. The role of propofol is to lower the level of consciousness and reduce body movements, as well as other general anesthetic actions in which the release and transmission of neurotransmitters play an important role. Among them, ligand-gated ion channels play an important role in general anesthesia, including  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid receptors, an important central nervous system inhibitory neurotransmitter which has a significant regulatory effect on the release of other neurotransmitters in the body. Propofol can inhibit the influx of ions in the body and inhibit the increased glutamate release caused by presynaptic membrane depolarization, which enhances the postsynaptic effect of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid. Its role in respiratory smooth muscle function and the cardiovascular system is mediated by calcium channels. The decreased sodium-potassium-ATPase activity in the central nervous systems of children results in decreased electrochemical gradients caused by sodium ions and increased calcium ion concentration, which leads to increased acetylcholine content in the body, resulting in general anesthesia[10,11]. However, the required dose of propofol alone is high, and the analgesic effect is only observed in some children. Adverse reactions, including myocardial inhibition and blood pressure reduction can also occur[12].

Lidocaine, an amide-type local anesthetic, can be dispersed outside the blood vessels after entering human blood. The drug can react with hepatic microsomal mixed functional oxidase, an amide enzyme, and can be metabolized in multiple organs. The study also found that lidocaine used in anesthesia can inhibit human hippocampal neuronal sodium channels, thereby inhibiting central nervous system action potential, blocking nerve conduction, and causing a central inhibitory and anesthetic effect[13].

**Table 5 Comparison of postoperative visual analog scale scores between two groups of children (mean ± SD)**

Groups	2 h after operation	8 h after operation	12 h after operation	24 h after operation
A group (n = 60)	2.77 ± 0.64	3.38 ± 0.61	3.41 ± 0.74	2.18 ± 0.50
B group (n = 60)	3.10 ± 0.61	3.76 ± 0.74	3.60 ± 0.82	2.34 ± 0.58
t value	-2.891	-3.069	-1.332	-1.618
P value	0.005	0.003	0.185	0.108

**Table 6 Comparison of the incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups, n (%)**

Group	Feel sick and vomit	Tongue drop	Lethargy	Adverse reactions
A group (n = 60)	3	1	1	5 (6.25)
B group (n = 60)	7	3	3	13 (16.25)
$\chi^2$ value				4.183
P value				0.041

The combined use of these two anesthetics has an important synergistic effect because propofol acts directly on the blood vessel walls to release pain mediators. Meanwhile lidocaine acts as a kinin inhibitor and stabilizer by blocking and thereby reducing the release of pain mediators[14]. The combined application of lidocaine and ketamine can enhance the sedative and hypnotic effect of propofol. Lidocaine inhibits propofol from binding to protein, which increases the amount of free propofol in the body and enhances the anesthetic effect of propofol. In addition, lidocaine can promote the recovery of sodium-potassium-ATPase activity in the sarcoplasmic reticulum, inhibit the overload of calcium ions, and reduce myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury in children. When used together, the advantages of these two drugs complement each other, making it ideal for the application of surgical anesthesia[15].

Studies have shown that the levels of adrenocorticotrophic hormone and cortisol in the body increase after the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal cortex axis in the perioperative period, which plays a role in promoting gluconeogenesis, proteolysis, and inhibiting inflammatory responses in the body. Cytokines are biologically active peptide compounds released by human immune effector cells, among which IL-6 is an important inflammatory response factor in the human body and has a regulatory effect on systemic inflammatory and immune responses. Cytokines increase significantly and then gradually decrease with decreased stress responses. The incidence of adverse reactions in group A was 6.25% lower than that in group B (16.25%), indicating that the application of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted ketamine in pediatric abdominal surgery anesthesia can reduce the occurrence of adverse reactions to anesthesia. The advantage of this study is that our findings confirm the anesthesia effect and safety of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted ketamine in pediatric abdominal surgery anesthesia, and provide a basis for identifying the optimal anesthesia plan for clinical pediatric abdominal surgery. Due to the limited number of children, prospective studies have not been carried out, and the follow-up time was short; therefore, multi-center studies with large sample sizes and randomized controlled trials are needed to validate our results.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, the anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted ketamine for pediatric anesthesia was better than that of ketamine alone, with less influence on hemodynamics and pediatric stress response indices, lower incidence of restlessness during the recovery period, and lower incidence of adverse reactions.

## ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

### Research background

Pediatric abdominal surgery is a common type of pediatric surgery. Due to the poor tolerance of children and prone to crying and bad emotions such as crying, general anesthesia is mostly selected in the clinical operation. Therefore, reasonable choice of anesthetic drugs is of great significance to ensure

the effect of surgery in children.

### **Research motivation**

In this study, the effect of propofol compound lidocaine-assisted anesthesia in pediatric surgery was observed.

### **Research objectives**

This study aimed to explore the clinical value of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted anesthesia in pediatric surgery.

### **Research methods**

A total of 120 children who underwent abdominal surgery selected and divided into groups A and B using the random number table method, with 60 patients in each group. Group B received ketamine for anesthesia, while group A received ketamine, propofol, and lidocaine. The pre- and postoperative heart rate; mean arterial pressure; arterial oxygen saturation; serum adrenocorticotrophic hormone, interleukin-6, and cortisol levels were compared between the two groups.

### **Research results**

The anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine and ketamine in pediatric surgery is better than that of ketamine alone, and had less influence on hemodynamics and stress response indices, lower incidence of restlessness in the recovery period, and lower incidence of adverse reactions.

### **Research conclusions**

The anesthetic effect of propofol combined with lidocaine and ketamine in pediatric surgery was better than that of ketamine alone.

### **Research perspectives**

This study explored the clinical value of propofol combined with lidocaine-assisted anesthesia in pediatric surgery.

---

## **FOOTNOTES**

**Author contributions:** Shi S, Lu G and Liu RF designed this retrospective study and wrote the paper; Shi S and Liu RF contributed equally to this study, and considered as so-first authors; Shi S, Lu G, Liu RF and Jin CN were responsible for sorting the data.

**Institutional review board statement:** This study was reviewed and approved by the Affiliated Hospital of Hebei University.

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**ORCID number:** Song Shi 0000-0002-8249-9372; Lu Gan 0000-0003-4754-5561; Chun-Nv Jin 0000-0002-3299-7672; Rong-Fang Liu 0000-0002-2031-9655.

**S-Editor:** Wang JL

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Wang JL

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Kiski D**, Malec E, Schmidt C. Use of dexmedetomidine in pediatric cardiac anesthesia. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2019; **32**: 334-342 [PMID: 30893120 DOI: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000731]
- 2 **Ehsan Z**, Mahmoud M, Shott SR, Amin RS, Ishman SL. The effects of anesthesia and opioids on the upper airway: A systematic review. *Laryngoscope* 2016; **126**: 270-284 [PMID: 26198715 DOI: 10.1002/lary.25399]
- 3 **Valentim AM**, Félix LM, Carvalho L, Diniz E, Antunes LM. A New Anaesthetic Protocol for Adult Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*): Propofol Combined with Lidocaine. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0147747 [PMID: 26808508 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147747]
- 4 **Lee JM**, Gee E, Liu CA. Anesthesia for Innovative Pediatric Surgical Procedures. *Anesthesiol Clin* 2020; **38**: 493-508 [PMID: 32792179 DOI: 10.1016/j.anclin.2020.06.004]
- 5 **Londino AV 3rd**, Jagannathan N. Anesthesia in Diagnostic and Therapeutic Pediatric Bronchoscopy. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2019; **52**: 1037-1048 [PMID: 31521368 DOI: 10.1016/j.otc.2019.08.005]
- 6 **Re M**, Canfrán S, Largo C, Gómez de Segura IA. Effect of Lidocaine-Ketamine Infusions Combined with Morphine or Fentanyl in Sevoflurane-Anesthetized Pigs. *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci* 2016; **55**: 317-320 [PMID: 27177566]
- 7 **Dholakia U**, Clark-Price SC, Keating SCJ, Stern AW. Anesthetic effects and body weight changes associated with ketamine-xylazine-lidocaine administered to CD-1 mice. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0184911 [PMID: 28910423 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0184911]
- 8 **Panti A**, Cafrita IC, Clark L. Effect of intravenous lidocaine on cough response to endotracheal intubation in propofol-anaesthetized dogs. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 2016; **43**: 405-411 [PMID: 26671878 DOI: 10.1111/vaa.12332]
- 9 **Eijlers R**, Utens EMWJ, Staals LM, de Nijs PFA, Berghmans JM, Wijnen RMH, Hillegers MHJ, Dierckx B, Legerstee JS. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Virtual Reality in Pediatrics: Effects on Pain and Anxiety. *Anesth Analg* 2019; **129**: 1344-1353 [PMID: 31136330 DOI: 10.1213/ANE.00000000000004165]
- 10 **Drechsel V**, Schneebauer G, Sandbichler AM, Fiechtner B, Pelster B. Oxygen consumption and acid secretion in isolated gas gland cells of the European eel *Anguilla anguilla*. *J Comp Physiol B* 2022; **192**: 447-457 [PMID: 35289381 DOI: 10.1007/s00360-022-01432-x]
- 11 **Nunes EJ**, Kebede N, Bagdas D, Addy NA. Cholinergic and dopaminergic-mediated motivated behavior in healthy states and in substance use and mood disorders. *J Exp Anal Behav* 2022; **117**: 404-419 [PMID: 35286712 DOI: 10.1002/jeab.747]
- 12 **Županić S**, Lazibat I, Rubinić Majdak M, Jeličić M. Treatment of Myasthenia Gravis Patients with COVID-19: Review of the Literature. *Acta Clin Croat* 2022; **60**: 496-509 [PMID: 35282492 DOI: 10.20471/acc.2021.60.03.21]
- 13 **Carroll NC**. Clubfoot in the twentieth century: where we were and where we may be going in the twenty-first century. *J Pediatr Orthop B* 2012; **21**: 1-6 [PMID: 21946867 DOI: 10.1097/BPB.0b013e32834a99f2]
- 14 **Gholipour Baradari A**, Firouzian A, Zamani Kiasari A, Aarabi M, Emadi SA, Davanlou A, Motamed N, Yousefi Abdolmaleki E. Effect of Etomidate Versus Combination of Propofol-Ketamine and Thiopental-Ketamine on Hemodynamic Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation: A Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med* 2016; **6**: e30071 [PMID: 27110526 DOI: 10.5812/aapm.30071]
- 15 **Guttman-Yassky E**, Teixeira HD, Simpson EL, Papp KA, Pangan AL, Blauvelt A, Thaçi D, Chu CY, Hong HC, Katoh N, Paller AS, Calimlim B, Gu Y, Hu X, Liu M, Yang Y, Liu J, Tenorio AR, Chu AD, Irvine AD. Once-daily upadacitinib versus placebo in adolescents and adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis (Measure Up 1 and Measure Up 2): results from two replicate double-blind, randomised controlled phase 3 trials. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 2151-2168 [PMID: 34023008 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00588-2]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

